

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

> Northwest District Branch Office 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, MS 55 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000

Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

September 29, 2011

SENT VIA E-MAIL ray.mobley@famu.edu

Dr. Ray Mobley, DVM Florida A&M Research & Extension Service 4259 Bainbridge Highway Quincy, Florida 32352

Dear Dr. Mobley:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The Air Program identification number for this facility is 0390043. Your facility permit **expires on June 30, 2012**. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

The Tallahassee Branch Office reported a status of In Compliance for your facility. The inspection checklist is enclosed. Your facility compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. If you have any questions, your local contact is Tracy White at 850/245-2960 or <u>tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us</u>.

Sincerely,

Marlan Castellanos

Marlane Castellanos Branch Manager

MC/tw Enclosures

cc: Deborah Maloy R.V.T, Florida A&M (<u>Deborah.maloy@famu.edu</u>)
 Dr. Glen Wright, Florida A&M (<u>glen.wright@famu.edu</u>)
 Rick Bradburn, Mary Beth Curle, Carol Melton (FDEP, Pensacola)



ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISC RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT | | |
|---|--|--|
| AIRS ID#: 0390043 DATE: <u>9/20/2011</u> ARRIVE: | DEPART: | |
| FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA A&M RESEARCH & EXTENSION CENTER | | |
| FACILITY LOCATION: 4259 Bainbridge Hwy | | |
| QUINCY 32352 | | |
| Email:ray.mobley@famu.eduMCONTACT NAME:PH | HONE: (850)412-5252 (obile: HONE: (obile: | |
| Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE | | |
| | | |
| PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Godfrey Nurse Brief Notes: | (check 🗹 only one box for each question) | |
| 2. Is the Authorized Representative still RAY MOBLEY? | | |
| If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still ? If no, who is?: <u>Deborah Maloy</u> | | |
| 4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance? | | |

Emissions Unit Section

| PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u> 1. a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or | (check ☑ box for each | only one question) |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| after August 30, 1989? | 🖂 Yes | □No |
| b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit? | X Yes | No |
| Manufacturer's recommended capacity: <u>300</u> ⊠ lbs for batch unit □ lbs/hr for ram-charged unit. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007? | Yes | No |
| 5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? N/A d. Date of last VE test: <u>7/21/2010</u> e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? If no, what was the problem (if known)? | · ☐ Yes ⊠ Yes · ⊠ Yes | □No ⊠No □No □No □No |

| PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u> | (check 🗹 box for each | only one question) |
|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? | Yes | 🖾No |
| a. Operating capacity during test? | | |
| c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? | | No No |
| e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes | | No |
| 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? | Yes | ⊠No |
| ☐ Yes ☐No c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? ☐ Yes ☐No | Yes | No |
| e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? | | No |
| 3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar If yes, what reason? | rds? | No |

| PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS | (check ☑ only one box for each question) | |
|--|---|----------------------------|
| 1. Were there any objectionable odors detected? | Yes | 🖾No |
| An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected | Scale: 1-10 (| (worst) |
| 2. Continuous Monitoring Systems – a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at ∑ 1,800¹ □ 1,600² degrees was determined? | ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes | □No □No |
| c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? (1) All temperature measurements | Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes | No No No No No |
| (6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices | - □ Yes ⊠ Yes cally | □No □No □No □No |
| (2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity exceeds 15% opacity ? | - 🗌 Yes | No |
| | (check 🗹 | only one |
| PART IV: <u>SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES</u> If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? | tion | a question) |
| 2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? | tion | No |
| | (check 🗹 | only one |
| PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u> Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate co are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit? | | n question) |
| If yes, what other materials? 2. Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer? | ☐ Yes | No |

| PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u> | (check ☑ box for each | 5 |
|--|--------------------------|--|
| Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics? If no, skip a b. a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary? | - Yes - Yes - Yes | No No No No No |
| PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box) | | |

| IN COMPLIANCE | MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE |
|---------------|----------------------|
| | |
| | |

SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

Facility Section (continued)

| SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES | (check ☑ box for each | only one question) |
|--|--------------------------|--|
| Administrative Changes: | | |
| Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representat associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? | s or Yes | ⊠No ⊠No |
| New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: | | |
| 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been | Yes | □.No □.No □.No □.No □.No |

Tracy White

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

I may to here

Inspector's Signature

9/20/2011

Date of Inspection

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS:

At the front office I met with Godfrey Nurse. I also talked, by phone, with Dr. Glen Wright. Mr. Nurse and Dr. Wright indicated that the crematory had been operated only once during the 2011 calendar year. I requested operating records. Mr. Nurse did not know the location of the records, and Ms. Maloy was not at the facility. Since the operating records did not appear to be available, I requested that they send me an e-mail or documentation that listed the day(s) of operation for the last 12 month period.

I did not review any records at the site. I then drove to the crematory location. It was not in operation and no changes to equipment were noted.

Note: Parts of this checklist could not be completed due to insufficient information during the inspection.

Also, the last compliance test was in 2010. Annual compliance testing is required (Annual 2011testing not yet on file or not completed).