

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE :	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCO	VERY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0112693 DA	TE: <u>11/2/10</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1320</u>	DEPART: <u>1500</u>		
FACILITY NAME: FOREST LAWN CENTRAL					
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 499 NW 27TH AVEN	TUE			
	FORT LAUDERDAL	E 33311-8664			
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	D REPRESENTATIVE: GE	ERONIMO MENA PHO	ONE: (954)491-0490		
CONTACT NAME: sa	ame	PHO	ONE:		
ENTITLEMENT PERI	OD: 7/18/2008 / 7/18/20 (effective date) (end date)	13			
		. 🖼			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: TESTING/RE	ECORDKEEPING REQUIRITE box(es))	<u>EMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401	, F.A.C.		
(check ☑ appropria1. Were there any ob2. Was a visible emi		is site visit according to EPA	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No	
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any ob Was a visible emi 62-297, F.A.C.)?- In order to demon days prior to the A 	te box(es)) pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during th	is site visit according to EPA is site wisit according to EPA ince, was an annual visible e	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date?		
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any ob Was a visible emi 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demon days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401 In order to demon completed within a) Carbon Monor 	te box(es)) ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during th astrate individual source compli AGP Notification form submiss (5)(i), F.A.C.) strate individual source compli n 60 days prior to the AGP Noti xide (CO) emissions equal to on	is site visit according to EPA iance, was an annual visible e sion, and within 60 days prior iance were the remaining app ification form submission? (R r below the requirements of 1	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? licable standards testing tule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by	☐Yes ☐ No	
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any ob Was a visible emi 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demon days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401 In order to demon completed within a) Carbon Monor volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test per control of the property of the prop	te box(es)) pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during th astrate individual source compli AGP Notification form submiss (5)(i), F.A.C.) sstrate individual source compli a 60 days prior to the AGP Noti xide (CO) emissions equal to or c, corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hou 62-297, F.A.C.)? erformed according to EPA Me	iance, was an annual visible ession, and within 60 days prior iffication form submission? (Ref.: Chapter 62-297	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? licable standards testing cule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by according to EPA Method , F.A.C.)?	☐Yes ☐ No	
(check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any ob 2. Was a visible emi 62-297, F.A.C.)?- 3. In order to demon days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401 4. In order to demon completed within a) Carbon Monor volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test pe c) Particulate ma dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter.62-	te box(es)) ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during th astrate individual source compli AGP Notification form submiss (5)(i), F.A.C.) strate individual source compli n 60 days prior to the AGP Noti xide (CO) emissions equal to or s, corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hou 62-297, F.A.C.)? erformed according to EPA Me tter emissions test with results c foot (ft³) of flue gas, corrected -297, F.A.C.)?	iance, was an annual visible e sion, and within 60 days prior iance were the remaining applification form submission? (Rr below the requirements of 1 arly average basis and tested a sethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297 equal to 02 and tested according to 15 and 15 are the control of 15 arly average basis and tested a sethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297 equal to 07 and tested according to 15 are the control of 15 are the contr	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? licable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by according to EPA Method, F.A.C.)? ments of 0.080 grains per ng to EPA Method 5		
(check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any ob 2. Was a visible emi 62-297, F.A.C.)?- 3. In order to demon days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401 4. In order to demon completed within a) Carbon Monor volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test pe c) Particulate ma dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter.62 5. Was all emissions capacity? 6. Was CO & PM co	te box(es)) ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during th	iance, was an annual visible ession, and within 60 days prior iance were the remaining applification form submission? (Ref. below the requirements of 1 arly average basis and tested a cethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297 equal to or below the requirements of 1 to 7% O ₂ and tested according a the manufactor of the company of the report for a serious side of the company	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? licable standards testing tule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by according to EPA Method F.A.C.)? ments of 0.080 grains per ng to EPA Method 5 curers recommended identical crematory unit?	<pre></pre>	

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to reco	rd temperatures in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber	
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	UYes U No
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the	recording of such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	
2) Monitoring device	Yes No
3) Performance Testing Measurements	Yes No
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	Yes No
6) Adjustments	Yes No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes No
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes No
Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one box)	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F	? Yes No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than $1400^{\circ}F$?	Yes No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	Yes No
I. If constructed ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence	time
@ 1800° F?	Yes No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crema	ation
process begins in the primary chamber?	- Yes No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that t	hey
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	
this location?	Yes No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	☐Yes ☐ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	□Yes □ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES A. New or Modified Process Equipment	– Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.			
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially descent notification form?	replacement?			
Art Pennetta	11/2/10			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	n/a			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
COMMENTS: Crematory unit is on site but not yet active. There are no plans to activate the unit at this time.				