WHERTAL PROTECTION
John Manne
FLORIDA
FLORIDA

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 0112693 DATE: 6/18/09 ARRIVE: 0945 DEPART: 1030	
FACILITY NAME: FOREST LAWN CENTRAL	
FACILITY LOCATION: 499 NW 27TH AVENUE	
FORT LAUDERDALE 33311-8664	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: GERONIMO MENA PHONE: (954)491-0490	
CONTACT NAME: same PHONE:	
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/18/2008 / 7/18/2013 (effective date) (end date)	
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u> (check <i>I</i> only one box)	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	
 ☑ IN COMPLIANCE	□ Yes □ No □Yes □ No
 ☑ IN COMPLIANCE	
 N COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? Was a visible emissions test conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonstrate individual source compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) In order to demonstrate individual source compliance were the remaining applicable standards testing completed within 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submission? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) In order to demonstrate individual source compliance were the requirements of 100 parts per million by volume, dry basis, corrected to 7% O₂ on an hourly average basis and tested according to EPA Method 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? b) Oxygen test performed according to EPA Method 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? c) Particulate matter emissions test with results equal to or below the requirements of 0.080 grains per dry standard cubic foot (ft³) of flue gas, corrected to 7% O₂ and tested according to EPA Method 5 	□Yes □ No □Yes □ No □Yes □No □Yes □ No □Yes □ No
 N COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? Was a visible emissions test conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonstrate individual source compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) In order to demonstrate individual source compliance were the remaining applicable standards testing completed within 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submission? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) In order to demonstrate individual source compliance were the requirements of 100 parts per million by volume, dry basis, corrected to 7% O₂ on an hourly average basis and tested according to EPA Method 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? b) Oxygen test performed according to EPA Method 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? c) Particulate matter emissions test with results equal to or below the requirements of 0.080 grains per dry standard cubic foot (ft³) of flue gas, corrected to 7% O₂ and tested according to EPA Method 5 	 Yes □ No Yes □ No Yes □ No Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))

1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record to minimum and accordence there is a 1.0 accord according time in the secondary showhere there is a 1.0 accord according to the secondary showhere th	
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed ?	
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the rec	cording of such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	∐Yes ∐ No
2) Monitoring device	
3) Performance Testing Measurements	∐Yes ∐ No
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	∐Yes ∐ No
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	Yes D No
6) Adjustments	∐Yes ∐ No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes No
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one D box)	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
3. If constructed <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	Yes No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	Yes No
······································	
4. If constructed <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence tin	
@ 1800° F?	Yes No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than $1600^{\circ}F$	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic	
process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	Yes No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	
this location?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	Yes 🗌 No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the due	ration
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No

PART IV:	SPECIAL	CONDITIONS	AND PRO	<u>CEDURES</u> –	Rule 62-296.401	, F.A.C.
A. Nev	<u>v or Modifie</u>	ed Process Equip	pment			

1. Since the last inspection has there been		
a) installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
recent notification form?	Yes	No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or	r	
local program office?	Yes	No
2. If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent that a Department air construction permit	t	
was required, have all operators been retrained to operate the modified unit?	Yes	No
3. In the case of new or modified equipment, where a Department air construction permit was		
required, has the owner submitted copies of all operator training certificates?	Yes	No
a) submitted within the 15 day required window following the training?	Yes	No

Art Pennetta

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

6/18/09

Date of Inspection

12/09

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Crematory unit is on site but not yet active. The facility is planning on beginning operation in late 2009.