

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) 🛛 COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) 🗌		
RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO	:
AIRS ID#: 0510025 DATE: <u>04/03/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:30 a.m.</u>	DEPART: <u>10:45 a.m.</u>
FACILITY NAME: LABELLE PLANT		
FACILITY LOCATION: 1477 FORESTRY DIVI	ISION RD	
LABELLE 33935-300	01	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: RAI Email: CONTACT NAME: FLOR JIMENEZ	NDY WHITMER PHONE Mobile: PHONE	, ,
Email: florj@industriablock.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/12/2011 / 6/12/2016 (effective date) (end date)	Mobile:	
I	Facility Section	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (c	heck o nly one box)	
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COM	PLIANCE SIGNIFICAN	NT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING		(check ☑ only one
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Fabian Sanchez</u>		box for each question)
Brief Notes: <u>Production Manager for facility.</u>		
2. Is the Authorized Representative still RANDY WHITE If no, who is?:	MER?	X YesNo
If different, did the facility provide an administrative u 3. Is the facility contact still FLOR JIMENEZ? If no, who is?:		
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's in If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 1.		

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-silo west, 2400 CF w/silotop dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

1 - CCB Plant-silo West, 2400 CF W/silotop dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions		
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each c	•
Date of last inspection: 03/01/10 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: (0.00)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	X Yes	No No No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each c	•
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconcemissions by:	fined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		□ No□ No□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? -	X Yes	□ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: (0.00)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		□ No□ No

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-silo east, 2400 CF w/silotop dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

2 – CCB Plant-silo east, 2400 CF w/silotop dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions		
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ✓ box for each o	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 03/01/10 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: (0.00)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		No No No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check b ox for each of	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfiemissions by:	ined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		□ No
particulate matter?	. —	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		☐ No ☐ No

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Emissions Unit Section 3 –CCB Plant-batcher/mixer w/dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ✓ only one box for each question)	
 Date of last inspection: 03/01/10 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last ins If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity) b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?)? Yes No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check ☑ only one	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hopper Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Are</u>	box for each question)	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonal emissions by:	ple precautions to control unconfined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which is a paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressa control emissions?	, and yards? Yes No nt chemicals when necessary to	
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved ar owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work a particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks	reas to reduce airborne	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions		
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% op c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2. Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gener permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No
gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared 1.3 MM gal propared 1.5 MM g	<u>ne/yr</u> ≤ 1. e/yr	00?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	otion X Yes	☐ No
GENERAL CONDITIONS		
Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control		_
devices?2. Does the owner or operator:	- Yes	⊠ No
a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	Yes	☐ No
b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ▼	only one
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both	h stationary and relocatable \int \text{box for eac}	h question)
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants?		.)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and		
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		☐ No
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.		
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department of e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business date.		□ No
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notice		110
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five bus		☐ No
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifi		
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least fi	ve business days prior to relocation? Yes	☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate		
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions ur		□ No
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine p If YES, what was the purpose?	urpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)?	∐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long		
co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes	□ No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		∐ No
<u>CHANGES</u>		only one
Administrative Changes:	box for each	h question)
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of	of the facility or authorized representative not	
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocati	ion of the facility or any emissions units or	
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor add		⊠ No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 day	ys of the change? Yes	∐ No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
a. Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	⊠ No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement		⊠ No
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is sul	bstantially different? Yes	$\overline{\boxtimes}$ No
d. A change in ownership?	\(\times\) Yes	☐ No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registra		
30 days prior to the change?	<u>Yes</u>	∐ No
ROBERT J. STEWART	03/28/2012	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	03/2014	
Robert J. Stewart		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
COMMENTS: Facility is in compliance at this time.		