

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

> Northwest District Branch Office 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, MS 55 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000

Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

May 31, 2012

SENT VIA EMAIL <u>fcsh@gtcom.net</u>

Van W. Johnson, Director Solid Waste Collection Franklin County 33 Market Street, Suite 203 Apalachicola, Florida 32320

Dear Mr. Johnson:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The program identification number for this facility is **0370012**. Your permit **expires on May 20, 2017**. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

Based on the facility inspection results, the Tallahassee Branch Office reported a status of **In Compliance** for your facility. However, the following issue may require your attention.

# The manufacturer's "Cremation Equipment Maintenance Log" appears to be used incorrectly. Please properly record the dates and use the log checklist according to the manufacturer's intended format.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. The inspection report is enclosed. Your facility compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Office. Your local contact is Tracy White at (850) 245-2960 or <u>tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us</u>.

Sincerely,

Marlane Castellanor

Marlane Castellanos Branch Manager

MC/tw Enclosures cc: Rick Bradburn; Carol Melton; Mary Beth Curle (FDEP, NW District Office)



## ANIMAL CREMATORY



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT	/DISCOVERY (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0370012 DATE: <u>5/03/2012</u>	ARRIVE:	DEPART	<u>.                                    </u>
FACILITY NAME: FRANKLIN COUNTY ANIMAL	CONTROL		
FACILITY LOCATION: 210 SR 65			
EASTPOINT 32328-	-3616		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: VA Email: fcsh@gtcom.net CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/20/2012 / 5/20/201 (effective date) (end date)		PHONE: (850)670-8 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	167
Facility Section			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)         ☑ IN COMPLIANCE       ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE       ☑ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
<ul> <li>PART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING</u></li> <li>1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>William Key</u> Brief Notes:</li> </ul>			(check $\square$ only one box for each question)
<ol> <li>Is the Authorized Representative still VAN JOHNSC If no, who is?:</li> </ol>	)N*?		YesNo
If different, did the facility provide an administrative 3. Is the facility contact still ?	update within 30 day	s?	YesNo YesNo
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least			

#### Emissions Unit Section <u>1 – Animal Crematory-prim/2ndarychmbrs, LP, tempM&R, opacM, 75lbs/hr</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(che	eck 🗹	only one
1. a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or		or each o	question)
after August 30, 1989?	🖂	Yes	No
b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the			
secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence t			
at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?		Yes	No
<ol> <li>Manufacturer's recommended capacity: Dis for batch unit Dis/hr for ram-charges.</li> <li>Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?</li></ol>		Ves	□No
<ul> <li>4. Date of last inspection: <u>2/15/2011</u></li> </ul>		105	
5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:			
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?			No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?		Yes	🖾No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	N/A	Vas	□No
d. Date of last VE test: 11/18/2011		165	INU
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the te	est?	Yes	No
f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?		Yes	No
If no, what was the problem (if known)?			

PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check ☑ box for each o	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🗌 Yes	🖾No
a. Operating capacity during test?		
<ul> <li>c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?</li> <li>d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li> <li>e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.</li> </ul>		□No □No
<ul> <li>f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?</li></ul>		No
<ul> <li>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?</li> <li>a. Operating capacity during test? [] lbs for batch unit [] lbs/hr for ram-charged unit</li> <li>b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?</li> <li>Yes []. No</li> </ul>	Yes	⊠No
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	No
<ul> <li>e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.</li> <li>f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes</li> </ul>		No
<b>3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar</b> If yes, what reason?	rds?	🖾No

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	Yes	🖾No
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10 (	(worst)
<ul> <li>2. Continuous Monitoring Systems –         <ul> <li>a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?</li> <li>b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at 1,800<sup>1</sup> 1,600<sup>2</sup> degrees was determined?</li></ul></li></ul>	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
<ul> <li>c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?</li> <li>(1) All temperature measurements</li></ul>	Yes	DNo
<ul> <li>(2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations</li></ul>	Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No No
<ul> <li>d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings</li> <li>e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) - (3)</li></ul>	Xes	No No
<ul> <li>control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?</li></ul>	- 🛛 Yes	No
accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?		No
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
<ol> <li>If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:         <ul> <li>actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li> <li>secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crema process begins in the primary chamber?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	• 🗌 Yes tion 🗌 Yes	□No □No
<ol> <li>If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:         <ul> <li>a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li> <li>b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crema process begins in the primary chamber?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	tion	□No
	(check 🗹	only one
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	box for each	
<ol> <li>Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate con are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?</li> <li>If yes, what other materials?</li> </ol>	ntainers,	⊠No
<ol> <li>Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?</li></ol>	Yes?	□No ⊠No

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	5	
<ol> <li>Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?</li> <li>Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?</li> <li>Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?</li></ol>	Yes Yes	□No □No □No □No □No	
PART VII:       EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS       (check I only one box)         IN COMPLIANCE       MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE       SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			

#### **Facility Section (continued)**

SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representation associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit		
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	🗌 Yes	⊠No □No
<ul> <li>New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been <ul> <li>a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li> <li>b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?</li> <li>c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?</li></ul></li></ul>	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> </ul>	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No
submitted 30 days prior to the change?	Yes	No

Tracy White

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

5/03/2012

Date of Inspection

I may to three

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** I met with William Key. Records were provided as requested. The manufacturer maintenance log sheets did not appear to be used correctly. However, individual dates were recorded. I observed the incinerator. It was not in operation. The temperature/time data logger appeared to be operational. Records were also maintained. Recommendations:

The manufacturer's "Cremation Equipment Maintenance Log" appears to be used incorrectly. Please properly record the dates and use the log checklist according to the manufacturer's intended format.

If plastic containers, bags, etc. are combusted in the incinerator, please supply the manufacturer's composition sheets.