

## Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Branch Office 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, MS 55 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll
Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard, Jr. Secretary

February 25, 2011

SENT VIA EMAIL fcsh@gtcom.net

Van W. Johnson, Director Solid Waste Collection Franklin County 33 Market Street, Suite 203 Apalachicola, Florida 32320

Dear Mr. Johnson:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The program identification number for this facility is **0370012**. Your permit **expires on April 26, 2012**. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

Based on the facility inspection results, the Tallahassee Branch Office reported a status of **In Compliance** for your facility. However please note the items below.

- Manufacturer's recommended maintenance log checklist sheets were not properly completed.
- This office has not yet received the manufacturer's data sheets for any plastic body containers (bags) that may be incinerated in the unit. Please submit the sheets to this office.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. The inspection checklist and its comments section are enclosed. Your facility compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Program Office. If you have any questions, your local contact is Tracy White at (850) 245-2960 or <a href="mailto:tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us">tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us</a>.

Sincerely,

Marlane Castellanos

Maclane Castellanon

Branch Manager

MC/tw

**Enclosures** 

cc: Rick Bradburn, Carol Melton, Mary Beth Curle, FDEP, Pensacola.



## **ANIMAL CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D  ARMS COMPLA	ISCOVERY (CI)					
AIRS ID#: 0370012 DATE: <u>2/15/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:35</u>	DEPART: _					
FACILITY NAME: FRANKLIN COUNTY ANIM	1AL CONTROL						
<b>FACILITY LOCATION:</b> 210 Hwy 65 East							
EAST POINT 33	3773						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: fcswd@gtcom.net CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/26/2007 / 4/26/(effective date) (end date)	/2012	PHONE: (850)670-8167 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:					
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Albert Floy  Brief Notes: Was not present during inspection	_		(check <b>o</b> only one ox for each question)				
2. Is the Authorized Representative still VAN JOHN If no, who is?:	NSON?	·	⊠ Yes □No				
If different, did the facility provide an administrat  3. Is the facility contact still?  If no, who is?:	tive update within 30 days?		☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda If yes, was the compliance authority notified at le	ay's inspection?ast 15 days in advance?	·   ·	☐ Yes				

## **Emissions Unit Section**

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>☑</b> box for each of	only one
1. a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?	Yes	No
<ul> <li>b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?</li></ul>	∑ Yes     ✓ Yes	□No
5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:  a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?  b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?  c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	<ul><li>∑ Yes</li><li>☐ Yes</li><li>∑ Yes</li></ul>	□No ⊠No
d. Date of last VE test: 11/19/2010  e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?  f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?  If no, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes	□No □No
PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹 box for each of	only one question)
<ul> <li>1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?</li></ul>	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes		□No
•	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes I Yes I Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>

PA	ART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹 only one	
		box for each	question)
1.	Were there any objectionable odors detected?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:  Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10 (	worst)
2.	Continuous Monitoring Systems –		
a	Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	⊠ Yes	□No
b	Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at $\boxtimes 1,800^1$ $\square$ 1,600 $^2$ degrees was determined?	⊠ Yes	□No
c.	Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?  (1) All temperature measurements  (2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements;	⊠ Yes	□No
	monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations(3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on)	⊠ Yes	□No □No
	(4) Adjustments	· 🛛 Yes	□No
	(5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices  (6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices		□No □No
	Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	🔯 Yes	□No □No
	control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	- 🛛 Yes	□No
	(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity exceeds 15% opacity?		□No
	(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	- 🛛 Yes	□No
		(check <b>☑</b>	only one
PA	ART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	box for each	
1.	If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than <b>1400°F</b>		
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1400°F</b> before the cremat	tion_	□No
2	process begins in the primary chamber?  If the application to construct <b>ON</b> or <b>AFTER</b> August 30, 1989 is the:	∐ Yes	∐No
2.	a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than <b>1600°F</b> throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1600°F</b> before the cremat		□No
	process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes	□No
		(check <b>☑</b>	only one
PA	ART V: ALLOWED MATERIALS	box for each	question)
1.	Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate con are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?		⊠No
2.	Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?	⊠ Yes ? □ Yes	□No ⊠No

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>		(check ☑ only one box for each question)				
<ol> <li>Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?</li></ol>	<ul><li>∑ Yes</li><li>∑ Yes</li><li>∑ Yes</li></ul>	□No □No □No □No □No				
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	IANCE					
Facility Section (continued)						
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES  Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)				
<ul> <li>Administrative Changes:</li> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representation associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> <li>If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li></ul>	ts or Yes	⊠No □No				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	Yes Yes	<ul><li>∷No</li><li>∴No</li><li>∴No</li><li>∴No</li><li>∴No</li><li>∴No</li><li>∴No</li></ul>				
Tracy White 2/15/2011						
Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Date of Inspection						
Inspector's Signature  Approximate Date of Next Inspector	pection					
COMMENTS:  Albert Floyd was not at the site. The crematory unit did not appear to be in operation. No changes were no	ted to equipm	ent etc				

I met with another site employee and he provided the operation records. Temperature charts were maintained for the last 12 month period. Maintenance log dates were recorded, but appeared to be entered incorrectly on a single, manufacturer's log sheet checklist. A separate daily checklist may be required for each day of operation (or once/week if applicable). Each item on the sheet should be checked off as required on a daily or weekly basis. This office has not yet received the manufacturer's data sheets for any plastic body containers (bags) that may be incinerated in the unit. Please submit the sheets to this office.