

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Branch Office 630-3 Capital Circle Northeast Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Charlie Crist Governor

Jeff Kottkamp Lt. Governor

Michael W. Sole Secretary

August 8, 2008

SENT VIA EMAIL fcsh@gtcom.net

Van W. Johnson, Director Solid Waste Collection Franklin County 33 Market Street, Suite 203 Apalachicola, Florida 32320

Dear Mr. Johnson:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The program identification number for this facility is 0370012. Your permit <u>expires on April 26, 2012</u>. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

Based on the facility inspection results, the Tallahassee Branch Office reported a status of <u>In-Compliance</u> for your facility.

Note that your facility compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. You are encouraged to review the enclosed inspection checklist and its comments section. If you have any questions, your local contact is Tracy White at (850) 488-3704 or tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Marlane Castellanos

Branch Manager

MC/tw Enclosures

cc: R

Rick Bradburn, FDEP Erica Mitchell, FDEP Mary Beth Curle, FDEP

Marlane Castellanos



ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)		
AIRS ID#: 0370012 DATE: 7/24/2008 ARRIVE: 10:45 DEPART:		
FACILITY NAME: FRANKLIN COUNTY ANIMAL CONTROL		
FACILITY LOCATION: 210 Hwy 65 East		
EAST POINT 33773		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: VAN JOHNSON PHONE: (850)670-8167		
CONTACT NAME: Fonda Davis PHONE:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/26/2007 / 4/26/2012 (effective date) (end date)		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?		
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	∐Yes	No No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	□Yes ule	,
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	□Yes ule ⊠Yes	⊠ No
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	□Yes ule ⊠Yes	⊠ No □ No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	□Yes ule □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	NoNoNoNoNo
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	□Yes ule □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	No No No No No No No
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?————————————————————————————————————	□Yes ule □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Ye	NoNoNoNoNoNoNo

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit		
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary of		
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	XYes	∐ No
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	XY es	_ ∐ No
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years follow	ving the recording of	of sucn
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	₹7xz	
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	Yes	∐ No
2) Monitoring device	XYes	∐ No
3) Performance Testing Measurements	· XYes	∐ No
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation		
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks		
6) Adjustments		
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes	
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	X Yes	☐ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)		
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)		
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4	4)	
3. If constructed <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:		
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @		☐ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400		_ ,,
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes	∐ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone ten	nperature	
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?		∐ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitor		
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtain		
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	☐ No
4. If constructed ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:		
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas res	sidence time	_
@ 1800° F?		☐ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1	600°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?		☐ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the	e cremation	
process begins in the primary chamber?	\ \ Yes	☐ No
5. Are appropriate leak-proof containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chloridate		
plastics used during the cremation of dead animals?		☐ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacture		
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the c	luration of	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	Yes	☐ No
b) If plastic bags are used for the cremation of animals are they non-chlorinated and no less the	nan 3 mils	
thick?	Yes	☐ No
c) Are dead animals, which have been used for medical or commercial experimentation, or other		
materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C.), incinerated at this location		⊠ No
6. During this review period, was the largest batch load cremated 500 pounds per hour or less?	Yes	☐ No
7. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training prog	gram? Yes	☐ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for		
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employme	ent? Yes	☐ No

THE STATE OF THE S			
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR</u> A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	<u>RES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.		
 Since the last inspection has there been installation of any new process equipment? alterations to existing process equipment with replacement of existing equipment substantial recent notification form? If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62 local program office? If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent was required, have all operators been retrained to In the case of new or modified equipment, where a required, has the owner submitted copies of all op submitted within the 15 day required window 	thout replacement?	☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes☐☐Yes☐☐Yes☐☐Yes☐☐Ye	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No □No □No □No
Tracy White	7/24/2008		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
Inspector's Signature	6-12 months		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspect	tion	
COMMENTS: I met with Fonda Davis and Albert Floyd, crematory operator	tor.	10.00	
Mr. Floyd gave me the temperature recorder records for Mathem. No problems were noted. Mr. Floyd also showed me t section for calibration, etc. of the unit.			
Next I observed the cremation unit. It appeared to be the san pointed out the opacity monitoring device and his cleaning p		n operation. M	Ir. Floyd
Apparently several animals are placed in the unit for each bathe manufacturer's data sheet (plastic composition) for the batheter (plastic composition) are placed in the unit for each batheter (plastic composition) are	atch. The dogs/animals are typically in plastic bags ages should be obtained and kept on record.	s. I recommen	ided that
Department computer records indicate the last compliance to	test on 7/24/2007. Annual testing is due now.		
No problems noted.			