

$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	(CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0251248 DA	ГЕ: <u>4/16/2007</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:00 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>11:10 AM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: MIAMI QUARRY				
FACILITY LOCATION	13100 NW 41 Street			
	MIAMI 33182			
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: GAIL RIDGEWAY		PHONE: (786)367-4818		
CONTACT NAME:		PHONE:		
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITLI	EMENT PERIOD: 4/20/2007 (effective date)	/ 4/20/2012 (end date)	
⊠ IN COMPLIANO	CE MINOR Non-COMP	PLIANCE SIGNIFICANT	Non-COMPLIANCE	
(check only one bo	ATION OF FACILITY TYPE/.	APPLICABILITY		
<u>Subject Facilities</u> : (applicable fixed or portable facilities include each crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, crushers & grinding mills at hot mix asphalt facilities that reduce the size of non-mettalic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement & subsequent affected facilities up to, but not including the first storage silo or bin.)				
☐ FOR FACILITIES NOT SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(2), (b), (c), and (d)) (If you have checked ☑ this category, answer all questions EXCEPT those with **.)				
grinding mills; faciliti sand & gravel plants, plants, & crushed stone	ies not subject to subparts F (Por & crushed stone plants w/capaci	tland Cement Plants) or I (Hot Mi ties of 23 megagrams/hr (25 tons/	ning operations at plants w/o crushers or x Asphalt Facilities) of this part; <u>fixed</u> hr) or less; <u>portable</u> sand & gravel nmon clay plants, and pumice plants	

PART III: <u>EMISSION STANDARDS</u> – Chapter 62-210.300(4)(c)5., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
Stack Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**1. Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60, Appendix A)?	No
**2. Do stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on	110
belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other	
affected emission point:	
**a) exceed 7% percent opacity?	No
**b) exceed the particulate matter standard of <u>0.05</u> grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)? Yes	No
**3. Do stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage	
bin exceed 7 % percent opacity?	No
<u>Visible Emissions</u> - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60,	
Appendix A)?	No
**2. Do visible emissions from any:	
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation,	
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10% percent opacity?	No
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity?	
3. Pursuant to subparagraph 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., are visible emissions from any crusher, grinding,	110
screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer points on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin,	
enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other emission point <u>NOT</u> subject to 40 CFR Part 60,	
Subpart OOO, equal to or greater than 20% percent opacity?	No
Emission Points Enclosed in Buildings - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.	A.C.
**4. Is any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging	
operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed	
in a building? (If answer to question #4 is YES, then proceed to #4.a))	No
**a) If enclosed in a building are the stack emissions discharged from a wet scrubbing control device? (If	
	No
**b) If the stack emissions from enclosed emission points are not discharged from a wet scrubbing control device is:	. T
	No No
	No No
**5. Do visible emissions from any:	INO
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation,	
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10%	
	No
	No
Wet Screening/Wet Mining Operations:	
**6. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the wet screening operations and subsequent screening	
operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to	
the next crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin?	No
**7. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors	
in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket	
elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin	
in the production line? \square Yes	No

PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.) 1. Is each affected emission point tested according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards a part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.)——————————————————————————————————	
Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,: a) initial compliance prior to beginning commercial operation? b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notificati form submittal date?	ion
 Compliance Existing Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.) 3. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,: a) compliance within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form?	- □Yes □ No
b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notificati form submittal date?	ion
incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C. 4. Were all referenced visible emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 9? 5. Were all referenced unconfined or fugitive emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 22? 6. Were all referenced stack emissions or particulate matter tests conducted using EPA Methods 5 or 17?	- Yes No
Reporting and Recordkeeping – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.)[Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and 40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.	C.]
Facility and/or Equipment Replacement **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the replacement and/or equipment:	of existing facility
**a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Railcar Loa **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and the racapacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?	ated
**b) for a Screening Operation, **1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and the surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?	total
**c) for a Conveyor Belt, **1) the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor belt? **d) for a Storage Bin,	
**1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins? Performance/Compliance Testing	⊠Yes □ No
**8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the chan in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate?	Yes No No s to uid
flow rate differ by more than ±30 percent from the averaged determined during the most recent perform test?** **a) Were the reports postmarked within 30 days following the end of the second and fourth calendar	Yes No
quarters?	∐ Yes ∐ No

PART IV: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (Continued)	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
**10. Did the owner or operator of the facility submit written reports of the results of all performance tests conducted to demonstrate compliance with the particulate matter standards (40 CFR Part 60.672), opacity (using EPA Method 9 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(b), (c), and (f)), and emission observations of transfer points enclosed in buildings (using EPA Method 22 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(e))?	es 🗌 No
Process Changes	J
**11. Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a belt conveyor system? (<i>If your answer to this question is <u>YES</u>, then answer <u>either</u> a)1) <u>or</u> a)2) below.)</i>	es 🗌 No
**a)Did this screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or belt conveyor system:	
**1) originally process saturated material and switch to unsaturated material? (<i>Note: The unsaturated</i>	
material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>10% opacity limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.672(b) and the emission test requirements of 40 CFR 60.11 and Subpart OOO.)	es 🗌 No
**2) originally process unsaturated material and switch to saturated material? (<i>Note: The saturated</i>	s 🔲 110
material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>no visible emission limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.672(h).	.)
(If answer to 1) or 2) above is <u>YES</u> then proceed to question b) below.)	
**b) Did the owner or operator submit a report of the process change within thirty (30) days following the	
change? \Box Ye	es 🗌 No
Notification Requirements	
**12. Was notification of the actual date of startup for each affected or combination of affected facilities submitted to the Administrator and postmarked within 15 days after such date?	es 🗌 No
**a) Did the notification include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial	S 🔲 INO
	es 🗌 No
**b) For portable aggregate processing plants, did the notification of actual date of initial start up also	~ —
include both the home office and the current address or location of the portable plant?	es 🗌 No
PART V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility a: 1) relocatable ☐; 2) stationary ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☑ only one box above.) (NOTE: If you have checked the box for relocatable go to questions 1.a) & 1.b). If you have checked the box for stationary go to question 1.c). If you have checked box #3, both, stationary and relocatable then answer all relocatable and stationary questions 1.a), 1.b), & 1.c) below, respectively.) a) If this is a relocatable facility was the Department notified by phone prior to this relocation, and was a Facility Relocation Notification form submitted within 1 business day following the relocation?—— ☐ Yes b) If this is a relocatable facility, is it located at a mine and/or quarry, and processing only material from onsite deposits? (If your answer to this question is NO, please proceed to question 1) below.)——— ☐ Yes 1) Does the owner or operator of this relocatable facility have a water suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens and the conveyor drop points?——— ☐ Yes	No No
c) If this is a stationary facility , does the owner or operator of this stationary facility have a water suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens and the conveyor drop points?	□ No

PART	V: <u>OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (Con	tinued)
(cł	neck ☑ appropriate box(es))	
**2.	Does this facility incorporate the use of a wet scrubber to control emissions? (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart O	
	adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.) (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed	
.1	questions 2.a) and 2.b), below.)	∐Yes ⊠ No
**	a) Does the wet scrubber have continuous monitoring systems (CMS) for:	
	**1) the measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber?	
ala ala	**2) the measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber?	□Yes □ No
**	b) Has each CMS been certified by the manufacturer and calibrated annually in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions and to the tolerances below?	□Yes □ No
	**1) ±250 pascals ±1 inch water guage pressure for measuring pressure losses of the gas stream?	☐Yes ☐ No
	**2) ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate?	
3.	Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with a stationary concrete batching plant using	
	individual concrete batching plant air general permit at the same location? (If your answer to this question)	
	is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 3.a), thru 3.d),) below. If <u>NO</u> , proceed to question #4.)	□Yes ⊠ No
	a) Is there more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant in operation at this location?	☐Yes ☐ No
	b) If there is more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant at this location, do they all operate und	er
	a single nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permit?	□Yes □ No
	c) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	□Yes □ No
	d) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	☐Yes ☐ No
4.	Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with one or more relocatable concrete	
	batching plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this	M M
	question is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 4.a), thru 4.b) below. If <u>NO</u> , then proceed to question 5.)	⊠Yes ∐ No
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	□Yes ⊠ No
_	b) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	□Yes ⊠ No
5.	Does the owner or operator of this facility operate multiple relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing	
	plants using individual nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permits at this location?	Yes No
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	□Yes ⊠ No
	b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year?	□V ₂₀ □ N ₀
	calendar year? c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	Yes No
	d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	☐Yes ☐ No
6	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
0.	a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	□Yes □ No
	b) material processed on a monthly basis?	☐Yes ☐ No
	c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	☐Yes ☐ No
7	Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility (<i>n</i>	
, ,	a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt	•
	plant?	□Yes □ No
	a) If YES , does the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) provide for the	
	operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit?	□Yes □ No
8.	Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>non-routine activity</u> , such as	
	destruction of a building, at a regularly permitted facility (not a Title V source)?	□Yes □ No
	a) If YES , does it operate under the authority of its air general permit?	☐Yes ☐ No
		

PART VI: REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS/EMISSION CONTROL MEASURES & TECHNOLOGY - Rule 62-					
210.300(4)(c)5.d.(i) and (ii), F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing emissions by: a) use of a water suppression system with spray bars located crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop pob) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards	at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the bints?s, which shall include one or more of the fo	⊠Yes □ No llowing:			
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock application of water or environmentally safe dust-supple emissions?	ressant chemicals when necessary to contro				
PART VII: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?———— b) alteration of existing process equipment without replace	 ment?	□Yes ⊠No □Yes ⊠No			
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially differen recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F. local program office?	□Yes □No				
FRANK DELGADO	4/16/2007				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection 4/2008	_			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_			

COMMENTS: THIS FACILITY OPERATES FROM 2:00 PM TO 6:00 AM EVERY DAY. VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING WAS PERFORMED APRIL 16-17, 2007. THE TEST STARTED AT 2:00 PM., KOOGLER AND ASOCIATES CONDUCTED THE TESTS. ANTHONY RADHAY AND I CONDUCTED THE INITIAL INSPECTION. THIS FACILITY WAS RELOCATED TO A NEW LOCATION. ALL THE EQUIPMENT MENTIONED IN THE APPLICATION IS ON SITE.