	NUMERTAL PROTEC	TION	ic.
BI GHI	V	A rea	
FI	ORIDA	ar	1
			-

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 0710254 DATE: <u>7/8/2014</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:10 am</u> DEPAI	RT: <u>11:15 am</u>			
FACILITY NAME: PREFERRED MTLS INC-PONDELLA RD RM PLANT				
FACILITY LOCATION: 2110 PONDELLA RD				
CAPE CORAL 33909-5134				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DARRYL FALES* PHONE: Email: Mobile: CONTACT NAME: ADRIENNE COPPOCK PHONE: (813)384-3089 Email: adrienne.coppock@oldcastlematerials.com Mobile: (816)215-2827 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/5/2012 / 7/5/2017 (effective date) (end date)				
Facility Section				
PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check \square only one box)				
IN COMPLIANCE IMINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
IN COMPLIANCE IMINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COM	MPLIANCE			
IN COMPLIANCE IMINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COM	MPLIANCE			
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check 🗹 only one			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check 🗹 only one			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Dan Dunn	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)			

3.	Is the facility contact still ADRIENNE COPPOCK?	🖂 Yes	LNo
	If no, who is?:		
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	Xes Yes	No
	If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?	🛛 Yes	No

Emissions Unit Section

5 – CCB Plant-splitsilo(cement#1-60,#2-40)flyashsilow/cent.b-hse subject to 5% Opacity Limit
--

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>		
1. Dete of last increation, $7/29/2000$		
1. Date of last inspection: 7/28/2009 2. Dast Visible Emissions (VE) tasta		
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	Xes	s 🗌 No
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?		
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		
operation? X/N/A	☐ Yes	s 🗌 No
d. Date of last VE test: 5/2/2013		
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	Xes	s 🗌 No
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	\boxtimes Yes	=
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>29.1</u> tons/hour		
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state		
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? X N/A	Yes	s 🗌 No
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	T Yes	=
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour		
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	X Yes	s 🗌 No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other		
enclosed storage and conveying equipment		
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes Yes	s 🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	X Yes	s 🗌 No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	X Yes	s 🗌 No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo collector	ducted a	it a rate
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No 🗌 N/A – silo not load	ed during	g inspection.
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	Yes	s 🗌 No
f. What was the silo loading rate? <u>39.5</u> tons/hour		
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	Yes Yes	s 🛛 No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to $f(3)$	ı	
	∐ Yes	s 🗌 No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rat	e and	
duration?		s 🗌 No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minut		
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which		ite
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust colle		
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?		s 🗌 No
 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? <u>6.5</u> minutes. 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? 	Xes	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?		
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes Yes	
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	X Yes	

Emissions Unit Section

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 7/28/2009 2. Date of last inspection: 7/28/2009	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing 	Yes Yes	□ No ⊠ No
 d. Date of last VE test: 5/2/2013 	Yes	🗌 No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour	Yes Yes	□ No □ No
 h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? X/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	Yes Yes	□ No □ No
 k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	Xes Yes	🗌 No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other		
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Xes Yes	🗌 No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No X/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		No
 f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? 		🖂 No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to		
 Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate 		∐ No
duration?	• 🗌 Yes tes	🗌 No
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll		
 conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? <u>6.5</u> minutes. 		🗌 No
 Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	D No No
 c. Did the visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-influte average. d. What was the process rate? tons/hour. 	🛛 Yes	🗌 No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	
	(check \mathbf{v} only one box for each question)
	box for each question)
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 	🖾 Yes 🔲 No
2. Does this facility include:	
a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the except units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	
 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air g permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 	
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? 	X Yes No Yes No Yes No
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yr+MM gal p275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal production	
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel con for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
 Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? 		
 b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? 3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access 		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	_	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary [X]; relocatable []; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable [] concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following)	(check ☑ box for each <i>ng question 2.</i>)	question)
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 		🗌 No
 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? 	🗌 Yes 6)]	□ No
 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation pe and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usag If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was 	rmit, e)? 🗌 Yes	D No
co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		☐ No ☐ No
<u>CHANGES</u> <u>Administrative Changes</u> :	(check ☑ box for each	•
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions u		

operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? [2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?		🛛 No 🗌 No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	Yes [No No
,,,		
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
a. Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	🛛 No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?		🛛 No
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?		🛛 No
d. A change in ownership?	Yes [🛛 No
4. If the answer to any question 3a d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitt	ted	
30 days prior to the change?	Yes [No No

Diane Loughlin

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Duane Loughei

Inspector's Signature

7/8/2014

Date of Inspection

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: