NUMERICAL PROTECTION
Some Carte
FLORIDA

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, II) RE-INSPECTION (
AIRS ID#: 7775393 DATE: <u>9/24/08</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:30am</u>	DEPART: <u>9:51am</u>	
FACILITY NAME: EARTH TECH, INC. C	ONCRETE BATCH PLANT		
FACILITY LOCATION: 15924 Hudse	on Ave		
HUDSON	34667		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTAT	WE: RON BROADRICK PHON	NE: (813)909-8000	
CONTACT NAME: Lewis Broadrick	PHON	₩E:	
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/24/2007 / (effective date)	3/24/2012 (end date)		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☑ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
 Are emissions from silos, weigh hopped controlled to the extent necessary to lim During visible emissions tests of the silicat a rate that is representative of the nonunless such rate is unachievable in prace Are emissions from the weigh hopper (to this question is "Yes", then continued 	during this site visit according to EPA M rs (batchers), and other enclosed storage nit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity o dust collector exhaust points was the lo rmal silo loading rate, or at least at the m tice?	Aethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Yes No and conveying equipment ? Yes No oading of the silo conducted inimum 25 tons per hour rate, Yes No dust collector? (If answer nswer is "No" then	
 a) Was the batching operation in operation b) During the visible emissions test, we duration?	tion during the visible emissions test? as the batching rate representative of the ttcher) operation are controlled by a dust ble emissions tests of the weigh hopper (Yes No normal batching rate and Yes No collector, which is separate	

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) Yes No
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? Xes I No
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xest Complexity and the AGP Notification form submission and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? Xest Completed in the test was completed?

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary (2); 2) a relocatable (2); or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check Donly one box.</i>)	le 🗌
2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> ,	_
then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)	Yes
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	Yes
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	

calendar year?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	Yes No
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
b) material processed on a monthly basis?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	

⊠ No ⊠ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
		emissions?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator	r to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
			🛛 Yes 🗌 No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>

1. Since the last inspection has there been		
a) installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	🛛 No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	🛛 No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
recent notification form?	Yes	🛛 No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program office?	□Yes	🗌 No

Malik Pickering/Joe Panetta

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

9/24/2008

Date of Inspection

9/24/2010

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Visible Emissions test was canceled so we conducted an inspection.