

NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING PLANTS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 7775392 001 DATE: 6/30/14 ARRIVE: 10:00 AM DEPART	Г: 12:30 РМ		
FACILITY NAME: Steve's Excavating and Paving, Inc.			
FACILITY LOCATION: 1741 North Keene Road Clearwater, FL			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: : Steve Sarnago Email: catsarnago@aol.com CONTACT NAME: : Steve Sarnago Email: / catsarnago@aol.com PHONE: 727-446-3485 PHONE: 727-446-3485 Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/1/12 / 3/1/17 (effective date) (end date)			
EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION: Subpart OOO Concrete Crusher (325 tons/hour capaci 2007 Model FT 4240, track mounted impactor, Serial No. 407345, Lokotvak screen, and a stacking conveyor. Spray bars on feeder, screens, crusher and all drop points			
Facility Section			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)			
IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COM	IPLIANCE		
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check 🗹 only one		
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Steven Sargnano (son) and Steve Sargnano (father)	box for each question)		
Brief Notes : I met with Steve Sargnano (Jr.) onsite. The emission unit #7775392 001 was not in operation during my inspection. Mr. Sargnano stated the emission unit #7775392 001was shutdown for repair. He stated they rented emission unit #7775779 001 from Power Screen Company. The Power Screen machine emission unit #7775779 001 was not in operation during my inspection.			
Mr. Sargnano stated the Power Screen emission unit #7775779 001 will be operating onsite for period of two weeks. Pinellas County-Air Quality Division received emission unit #7775779 001 relocation notification from Power Screen.			
2. Is the Authorized Representative still Steve Sargnano?	⊠ Yes □No		
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still Steve Sargnano? If no, who is?: Mr. Steve Sargnano stills the facility contact.			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?			

Emissions Unit Section 1 - Crusher Unit A

box for each questions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants? {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite, Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and Gravel; (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Salt; (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chloride, and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermiculite; (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.} 1. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	only one uestion)				
is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite, Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and Gravel; (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Salt; (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chloride, and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Punice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermiculite; (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.} 1. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? ———————————————————————————————————					
or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?					
minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}	□No □No □No □No				
If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.					
5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	⊠No				

1 - Crusher Unit A

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		⊠No
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wett		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
su	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	⊠No
I f	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	⊠No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes	∐No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the	1es	□ No
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.) b. If was was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	\square $\mathbf{v}_{\alpha\alpha}$	□ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	☐ Yes☐ Yes	∐No □No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No

1 - Crusher Unit A

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22;			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
477 70 (1 777)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,	\Box	37	□ N.
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? \[\Boxed N/A \]	□ `	Yes	∐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	\Box	Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	ш	103	
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's			
instructions?	. 🖂 .	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			_
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the			_
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	∟No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
10 Is wat suppression used to control emissions from the EU2	\square	Vos	□ No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes:		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? 		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete			□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, 		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 		Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests:		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	□No ⊠No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	□No □No □No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □ No □No

1 - Crusher Unit A

2 122 d a 11 2 a 12	iy omer regulated Ees	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with em	nissions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo					
initial startup of the EU?			I/A	Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh	hich there is mechanical	ly induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a buildin	ig air carrying particula	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}					
b. Was the EU found to be in comple	iance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	☐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro	om non-vent building op	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	☐ Yes	□No
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control e	missions from the EU?			☐ Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator main					
a. a device for the continuous measu		oss of the gas stream through th	e		
		al basis in accordance with mar			
instructions?				☐ Yes	□No
		manufacturer to be accurate wit			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pro	•				
and	-· ,				
b. a device for the continuous measu	rement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scru	bber and the	;	
device has been calibrated on a				Yes	□No
		manufacturer to be accurate wit			
of design scrubbing liquid flow	•				
A When was the last VE test conduct	ed by the evener/energ	ton for this EU9			
24. When was the last VE test conduct			**************************************	□ Vac	□ No
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60		to been tested within the past 3	years?	∐ Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar		ndon manag		✓ Vac	□ No
i. has the EU been tested durinii. has the EU been tested yet w				✓ Yes✓ Yes	∐No
ii. has the EU been tested yet w	itilli tile current calenda	ar year?		i les	∐No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the on	uner/onerator for this u			_	
		nit aliring this site visit /		Yes	\bowtie No
				∐ Yes □ Yes	⊠No □ No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr				☐ Yes☐ Yes	⊠No □No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr Rate:	rocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr Rate:b. Was the VE test conducted accord	cocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9?	entative of the normal rate?		=	
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr Rate:b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity	cocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the highest	entative of the normal rate? six-minute average.		Yes Yes	No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr Rate:b. Was the VE test conducted accord	cocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the highest	entative of the normal rate? six-minute average.		Yes	□No
 a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr Rate: b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. c. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp 	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the highest pliance with the opacity	entative of the normal rate? six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)		Yes Yes Yes	No
 a. Was the VE test conducted at a practice. b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compact. 6. Was a VE test conducted by the interpretation. 	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the highest pliance with the opacity spector for this unit du	six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)		☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	□No □No □No □No
 a. Was the VE test conducted at a practice. b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compact. 6. Was a VE test conducted by the interval of the VE test conducted at a practice. 	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the highest pliance with the opacity spector for this unit du	six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)		Yes Yes Yes	No
 a. Was the VE test conducted at a prate: b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compact. 6. Was a VE test conducted by the interval of the VE test conducted at a practice. 	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the highest pliance with the opacity spector for this unit durocess rate that is represented.	six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) entative of the normal rate?		☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	NoNoNoNoNo
 a. Was the VE test conducted at a practice. b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compact. 6. Was a VE test conducted by the interpretable. a. Was the VE test conducted at a practice. b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. 	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the highest pliance with the opacity spector for this unit durocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9?	six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate?		☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	□No □No □No □No
 a. Was the VE test conducted at a practice. b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compact. 6. Was a VE test conducted by the interpretable. a. Was the VE test conducted at a practice. b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity. 	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the highest pliance with the opacity spector for this unit durocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the highest	six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) entative of the normal rate? six-minute average. limit? of the normal rate? entative of the normal rate? six-minute average.		 ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes 	NoNoNoNoNoNo
 a. Was the VE test conducted at a practice. b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compact. 6. Was a VE test conducted by the interpretable. a. Was the VE test conducted at a practice. b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. 	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the highest pliance with the opacity spector for this unit durocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the highest	six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) entative of the normal rate? six-minute average. limit? of the normal rate? entative of the normal rate? six-minute average.		☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	NoNoNoNoNo
 a. Was the VE test conducted at a practice. b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compact. 26. Was a VE test conducted by the interpretable. a. Was the VE test conducted at a practice. b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity. 	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the highest pliance with the opacity spector for this unit durcess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the highest pliance with the opacity	six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) entative of the normal rate? six-minute average. limit? entative of the normal rate? six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)		 ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes 	NoNoNoNoNoNo
 a. Was the VE test conducted at a practice. b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compact. 26. Was a VE test conducted by the interpretable. a. Was the VE test conducted at a practice. b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity. 	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the highest pliance with the opacity spector for this unit durocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the highest pliance with the opacity	six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) entative of the normal rate? six-minute average. limit? of the normal rate? six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)		 ☐ Yes 	
 a. Was the VE test conducted at a practice. b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compact. 26. Was a VE test conducted by the interpretable. a. Was the VE test conducted at a practice. b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity. 	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the highest pliance with the opacity spector for this unit durocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the highest pliance with the opacity VE Opace EU not subject to	six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) entative of the normal rate? six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)	Subpart	 ☐ Yes ☐ OOO EU	
 a. Was the VE test conducted at a practice. b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compact. 26. Was a VE test conducted by the interpretable. a. Was the VE test conducted at a practice. b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity. 	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the highest pliance with the opacity spector for this unit durecess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the highest pliance with the opacity VE Opace EU not subject to 40 CFR 60	six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) entative of the normal rate? six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) eity Limits Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified,	Subpart	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes OOO EU ted, modi	
 a. Was the VE test conducted at a practice. b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compact. 26. Was a VE test conducted by the interpretable. a. Was the VE test conducted at a practice. b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity. 	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the highest pliance with the opacity spector for this unit durocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the highest pliance with the opacity VE Opace EU not subject to	six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) entative of the normal rate? six-minute average. limit? entative of the normal rate? six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) sity Limits Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior	Subpart	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes OOO EU ted, modi	
 a. Was the VE test conducted at a practice. b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compact. 26. Was a VE test conducted by the interpretable. a. Was the VE test conducted at a practice. b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compact. 	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the highest pliance with the opacity spector for this unit durocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the highest pliance with the opacity VE Opace EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	ring this site visit? entative of the normal rate? six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) entative of the normal rate? six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) enty Limits Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	Subpart	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes OOO EU ted, modistructed of 2/2008	
 a. Was the VE test conducted at a practice. b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate compact. 26. Was a VE test conducted by the interpretable. a. Was the VE test conducted at a practice. b. Was the VE test conducted accorded. The VE test resulted in an opacity. 	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the highest pliance with the opacity spector for this unit durecess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the highest pliance with the opacity VE Opace EU not subject to 40 CFR 60	six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) entative of the normal rate? six-minute average. limit? entative of the normal rate? six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) sity Limits Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior	Subpart	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes OOO EU ted, modi	

Facility Section (continued)

RI	EASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:		
	a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? N/A If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control		☐ No ☐ No
	of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	Yes	⊠ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □No
	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check v box for each q	only one question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- Yes	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No
2.	Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	or	⊠No
	b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		□No

<u>(</u> 27	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		□No □No □No □No □No □No □No
GF	ENERAL CONDITIONS	/ 1 · 1 · 1 · 1 · 1	1
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	☐ Yes	⊠No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- Xes	□No
3	b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	□No
J.	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□No
DI			
	The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check ✓ box for each	only one a question)
2.	For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(or to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	5)]	□No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air opera permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose? If YES, what was the purpose? {Note: crushing recycled asphalt pavement (rap) at an asphalt plant is considered routine and so therefore must be authorized in the facility's air construction or operation permit.} b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?		No No No

 CHANGES Administrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone nu associated with a change in ownership or with a physical roperations comprising the facility; or any other similar mi If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 	relocation of the facility or any emissions units or nor administrative change at the facility? Yes \int \text{\tin}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tex{\tex
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replace (c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that d) A change in ownership?	YesNo acement? YesNo at is substantially different? YesNo YesNo YesNo YesNo YesNo YesNo registration form and the appropriate fee submitted
Mike Ojo Thomas Inspector's Name (Please Print)	6/30/14Date of Inspection
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS:

The emission unit #7775392 001 was not in operation during my inspection. Mr. Sargnano stated the emission unit #7775392 001was shutdown for repair. He stated they rented emission unit #7775779 001from Power Screen Company. The Power Screen emission unit #7775779 001 was not in operation during my inspection. Mr. Sargnano stated the Lokotrack screen has been register with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. The emission points associated with the Lokotrack screen has been tested. I reviewed fuel usage records for the emission unit. See attached usage records sheets. I informed Mr. Sarnago that the facility was deemed to be in compliance.

H:\USERS\WPDOCS\Airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\7775392 001.doc