

$\frac{\text{NON-METALLIC }\underline{\text{MINERAL}}}{\underline{\text{PLANTS}}} \\ \underline{\text{PLANTS}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)
AIRS ID#: 7775392 001 DATE: <u>2/23/07</u> ARRIVE: <u>2:00 PM</u> DEPART: <u>3:00 PM</u>
FACILITY NAME: Steve's Excavating and Paving, Inc.
FACILITY LOCATION: 1741 North Keene Road
Clearwater, FL
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Steve Sarnago PHONE: 727-446-3485
CONTACT NAME: Steve Sarnago? PHONE: 727-446-3485
REMITTANCE YEAR: N/A ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/17/07 / 3/17/12 (effective date) (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: <u>DETERMINATION</u> <u>OF FACILITY TYPE/APPLICABILITY</u> (check ☑ only <u>one</u> box)
<u>Subject Facilities</u> : (applicable fixed or portable facilities include each crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, crushers & grinding mills at hot mix asphalt facilities that reduce the size of non-mettalic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement & subsequent affected facilities up to, but not including the first storage silo or bin.)
FOR FACILITIES NOT SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(2), (b), (c), and (d)) (If you have checked ☑ this category, answer all questions EXCEPT those with **.)
Non-Subject Facilities: (includes all facilities in underground mines; stand-alone screening operations at plants w/o crushers or grinding mills; facilities not subject to subparts F (Portland Cement Plants) or I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities) of this part; fixed sand & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 23 megagrams/hr (25 tons/hr) or less; portable sand & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 136 megagrams/hr (150 tons/hr) or less; common clay plants, and pumice plants w/capacities of 9 megagrams/hr (10 tons/hr) or less.)

PART III: EMISSION STANDARDS – Chapter 62-210.300(4)(c)5., F.A.C.	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
Stack Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**1. Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60,	
Appendix A)?	
**2. Do stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on	
belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other	
affected emission point:	
**a) exceed 7% percent opacity?	
**b) exceed the particulate matter standard of <u>0.05</u> grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)? Yes No	
**3. Do stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage	
bin exceed 7% percent opacity?	
Visible Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60,	
Appendix A)? □Yes ⊠ No	
**2. Do visible emissions from any:	
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation,	
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10%	
percent opacity?	
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity?	
3. Pursuant to subparagraph 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., are visible emissions from any crusher, grinding,	
screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer points on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin,	
enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other emission point <u>NOT</u> subject to 40 CFR Part 60,	
Subpart OOO, equal to or greater than 20% percent opacity?	
Emission Points Enclosed in Buildings - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
**4. Is any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging	
operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed	
in a building? (If answer to question #4 is YES, then proceed to #4.a))	
**a) If enclosed in a building are the stack emissions discharged from a wet scrubbing control device? (If	
answer to this question is NO , then proceed to the next question #4.b)1) & 2). If YES skip to #4.c).) $\square YeS \square NO$	
**b) If the stack emissions from enclosed emission points are not discharged from a wet scrubbing control device is: 1) the particulate matter in excess of 0.05 grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)?	
2) the opacity greater than 7% percent?	
**c) Do the stack emissions from the baghouse(s) inside of the building(s) exceed $\underline{7}$ % percent opacity? \square Yes \square No	
**5. Do visible emissions from any:	
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation,	
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10%	
percent opacity?	
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity?	
Wet Screening/Wet Mining Operations:	
**6. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the wet screening operations and subsequent screening	
operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to	
the next crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin?	
**7. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors	
in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket	
elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin	
in the production line? \Box \ X \ No	

PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)
(check E appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)
1. Is each affected emission point tested according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards as
part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.)
Compliance New Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)
2. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of
Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:
a) initial compliance prior to beginning commercial operation?
b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification
form submittal date?
<u>Compliance</u> <u>Existing Facilities</u> – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)
3. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of
Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:
a) compliance within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form?
b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification
form submittal date? Tyes \(\Boxed{\Boxes}\) No
Test Methods and Procedures – Chapter 62-297, F.A.C., 40 CFR 60.675, and 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A adopted and
incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.
4. Were all referenced visible emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 9?
5. Were all referenced unconfined or fugitive emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 22? Yes No
6. Were all referenced stack emissions or particulate matter tests conducted using EPA Methods 5 or 17? Yes No
Reporting and Recordkeeping – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.)[Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and
40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.]
Facility and/or Equipment Replacement
**7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the replacement of existing facility
and/or equipment:
**a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Railcar Loading Station,
**1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and the rated
capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?
**b) for a Screening Operation,
**1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and the total
surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?
**c) for a Conveyor Belt,
**1) the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor belt? Yes No
**d) for a Storage Bin,
**1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the rated
capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?
Performance/Compliance Testing
**8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change
in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate?
**9. After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, did the owner or operator submit semiannual reports to
the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gain) and liquid
flow rate differ by more than ± 30 percent from the averaged determined during the most recent performance
test?
**a) Were the reports postmarked within 30 days following the end of the second and fourth calendar quarters? \Boxed{Yes} \Boxed{No}

	
PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (Continued)	I
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
2010 Dild and a second of the facility submit waitten reports of the results of all performance tests	Ţ
**10. Did the owner or operator of the facility submit written reports of the results of all performance tests conducted to demonstrate compliance with the particulate matter standards (40 CFR Part 60.672), opacity	
(using EPA Method 9 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(b), (c), and (f)), and emission	
observations of transfer points enclosed in buildings (using EPA Method 22 to demonstrate compliance with	י
	∃Yes □ No
Process Changes	
**11. Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a belt conveyor system? (<i>If your</i>	•
answer to this question is <u>YES</u> , then answer <u>either</u> a)1) <u>or</u> a)2) below.)	□Yes □ No
**a)Did this screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or belt conveyor system:	
**1) originally process saturated material and switch to unsaturated material? (Note: The unsaturated	•
material handling processes would now be subject to the 10% opacity limit in 40 CFR 60.672(b)	
and the emission test requirements of 40 CFR 60.11 and Subpart 000.)	□Yes □ No
**2) originally process unsaturated material and switch to saturated material? (<i>Note: The saturated</i>	,
material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>no visible emission limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.672(h).	
	□Yes □ No
**b) Did the owner or operator submit a report of the process change within thirty (30) days following the	
	□Yes □ No
Notification Requirements **12. Was notification of the actual data of startup for each affected or combination of affected facilities	,
**12. Was notification of the actual date of startup for each affected or combination of affected facilities	¬
<u> </u>	□Yes □ No
**a) Did the notification include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial number of the equipment, if available?	¬v □ No
**b) For portable aggregate processing plants, did the notification of actual date of initial start up also	∐Yes ☐ No
_	□Yes □ No
Include both the notice and the current address of location of the portable plant.	lies 🗀 100
PART V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY - Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	Į!
The state of the s	,
1. Is this facility a: 1) relocatable (2); 2) stationary (3) or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable (3) concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Plants check Manhy and have above)	·
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check and only one box above.)	e
(NOTE: If you have checked the box for relocatable go to questions 1.a) & 1.b). If you have checked the box stationary go to question 1.c) If you have checked box #3, both, stationary and relocatable then answer all	
stationary go to question 1.c). If you have checked box #3, both, stationary and relocatable then answer all	<i>l</i>
relocatable and stationary questions 1.a), 1.b), & 1.c) below, respectively.) a) If this is a relocatable facility was the Department notified by phone prior to this relocation, and was a	
	7 NI.
	Yes No
b) If this is a <u>relocatable facility</u> , is it located at a mine and/or quarry, and processing only material from ons	
deposits? (<i>If your answer to this question is <u>NO</u>, please proceed to question 1) below.</i>)	Yes No
bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens and the	
	Yes No
conveyor drop points?	Yes 🗀 110
suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s),	
	Yes No
the classifier serectis and the conveyor drop points.	,103 🗀 110

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
2. Does this facility incorporate the use of a wet scrubber to control emissions? (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart	
adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.) (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed	
questions 2.a) and 2.b), below.)	Yes No
**a) Does the wet scrubber have continuous monitoring systems (CMS) for:	
**1) the measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber?	
**2) the measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber?	□Yes □ No
**b) Has each CMS been certified by the manufacturer and calibrated annually in accordance with the	
manufacturer's instructions and to the tolerances below?	Yes No
**1) ±250 pascals ±1 inch water guage pressure for measuring pressure losses of the gas stream?	∐Yes ∐ No
**2) ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate?	☐Yes ☐ No
3. Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with a stationary concrete batching plant usi	ng an
individual concrete batching plant air general permit at the same location? (If your answer to this question is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 3.a), thru 3.d),) below. If <u>NO</u> , proceed to question #4.)	
a) Is there more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant in operation at this location?	☐Yes ☐ No
b) If there is more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant at this location, do they all operate under	
a single nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permit?	
c) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	☐Yes ⊠ No
d) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	☐Yes ⊠ No
4. Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with one or more relocatable concrete	
batching plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this</i>	
question is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 4.a), thru 4.b) below. If <u>NO</u> , then proceed to question 5.)	☐Yes ⊠ No
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	
b) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	
5. Does the owner or operator of this facility operate multiple relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing	
plants using individual nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permits at this location?	☐ Yes 🛛 N
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	- ∐Yes ⊠ No
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	
calendar year?	
c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	Yes No
d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	- Yes No
6. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	∐Yes ∏ No
b) material processed on a monthly basis?	- ∐Yes ∐ No
c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	
7. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility ((not
a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt	
plant?	UYes U No
a) If <u>YES</u> , does the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) provide for the	
operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit?	Yes No
8. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>non-routine activity</u> , such as destruction of a building, at a regularly permitted facility (not a Title V source)?	
destriction of a billiand, at a regularly permitted facility (not a Litle V source)?	□Yes □ No

	NWD 0.1 ND 1.6 N	
RT VI: REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS/EMISSION CO	NTROL MEASURES & TECHNOLOGY - 1	Rule 62-
210.300(4)(c)5.d.(i) and (ii), F.A.C.		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)		
1. Does the owner /operator of the nonmetallic mineral proce	essing plant take reasonable precautions to contr	ol unconfined
emissions by:	ssing plant take reasonable precautions to contr	or uncommed
a) use of a water suppression system with spray bars loc	ated at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the	
crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor dro		
b) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and		
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, si		
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-		
emissions?		Yes No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other pa		
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to		⊠Yes ☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wi		
particulate matter from stock piles?		
5) landscaping and/or the planting of vegetation?		☐Yes ☐ No
6) the use of hoods, fans, filters and similar equipmen	nt to contain, capture and/or vent particulate	
matter?7) the enclosure or covering of conveyor systems?		∐Yes ∐ No
/) the enclosure or covering of conveyor systems?		☐Yes ☐ No
	D. J. (2.240.200/J) V. D. J. G.	
RT VII: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - A. New or Modified Process Equipment	- Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.	
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	- Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.	
A. New or Modified Process Equipment1. Since the last inspection has there been		□Yes □No
 A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? 		
A. New or Modified Process Equipment1. Since the last inspection has there been	placement?	
New or Modified Process Equipment Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without report in the process of the process	placement?ferent than that noted on the most	
New or Modified Process Equipment Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without replacement of existing equipment substantially different notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the or	placement? ferent than that noted on the most	□Yes □No
New or Modified Process Equipment Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without rep c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the onotification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.05)	placement? ferent than that noted on the most when submit a new and complete for F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or	☐Yes ☐No ☐Yes ☐No
New or Modified Process Equipment Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without replacement of existing equipment substantially different notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the or	placement? ferent than that noted on the most when submit a new and complete for F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or	□Yes □No
New or Modified Process Equipment Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without rep c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the onotification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.05)	placement? ferent than that noted on the most when submit a new and complete for F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or	☐Yes ☐No ☐Yes ☐No
1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without rep c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the onotification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.05 local program office?	placement? ferent than that noted on the most when submit a new and complete for F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or	☐Yes ☐No ☐Yes ☐No
New or Modified Process Equipment Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without rep c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the onotification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.05)	placement? ferent than that noted on the most when submit a new and complete (0, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or	☐Yes ☐No ☐Yes ☐No
A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without rep c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the onotification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.05 local program office? Mike Thomas	placement? ferent than that noted on the most where submit a new and complete 60, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or	☐Yes ☐No ☐Yes ☐No
1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without rep c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the onotification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.05 local program office?	placement? ferent than that noted on the most when submit a new and complete (0, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or	☐Yes ☐No ☐Yes ☐No
1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without rep c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the onotification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.05 local program office? Mike Thomas Inspector's Name (Please Print)	placement? ferent than that noted on the most wwner submit a new and complete 60, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or	☐Yes ☐No ☐Yes ☐No
A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without rep c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the onotification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.05 local program office? Mike Thomas	placement? ferent than that noted on the most where submit a new and complete 60, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or	☐Yes ☐No ☐Yes ☐No

FA	CI	LIT	Y: Steve's Excavating and P	Paving, Inc. Per_ID: 2800	DISTRICT:
	Keene Road Yard				Southwest
ΑI	DDF	RESS	S: 1741 North Keene Road	CONTACT: Steve Sarnago	
	Clearwater, FL				Phone No: 727-446-3485
A I	м	S No		PERMIT NO.:	EXPIRATION DATE:
			 2 001	7775392-001-AG	3/17/12
424	0, tr	ack			hour capacity): Pioneer Fast Trax 2007 Model FT g conveyor. Spray bars on feeder, screens, crusher
737	CDI	ECT	ION DATE.	ARMS INSPECTION TYPE:	COMPLIANCE STATUS.
		ECT. 3/07	ION DATE:	INS2 or INS	COMPLIANCE STATUS: ☑ IN
			f Inspection:	Re-inspection Compla	
	1 y	pe o	i inspection.		unit Drive-by Quarterly
1		<u> </u>	'. E'I D '	A. General Review:	
1. 2.	_		nit File Review Oduction and Entry		☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No
2		still perf unit atta	waiting for the general permit of the control or was inspected and determined to the check the c	approval by SWDEP office. I explained to their emission unit in operation. He say to be out of operation at the time of inspe	rusher had not been operating because they are to Mr. Sarnago that our office would re-inspect to howed me the rock crusher onsite. The Emission ection. I took picture of the rock crusher. See
3.			ne Authorized Representative aments:	still: Steve Sarnago?	⊠ Yes □ No
4.			ne facility contact still: Steve Saments:	arnago?	⊠ Yes □ No
5.				the notification form [Rule 62-210.300] unufactured by Kolberg-pioneer Incorpo	
I N	M N C	S N C		B. Specific Conditions	
			the Department by phone prior 62-210.900(6)) to the Department F.A.C.]	relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing to changing location and submit a Facil	ng plant proposing to change location shall notify ity Relocation Notification Form (DEP Form No. llowing relocation [62-210.300(4)(c)5.b., mineral processing plant.
			material from onsite natural de material, the owner or operator entrance and exit of the crushe <i>Comments:</i> The facility \(\subseteq de	eposits, and for all stationary nonmetallic r shall have a water suppression system v er(s), the classifier screens, and the conve	located at mines or quarries and processing only mineral processing plants processing dry with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the eyor drop points [62-210.300(4)(c)5.c., F.A.C.] sion system, and the system \square is \boxtimes is not
\boxtimes			The owner or operator shall coprecautions:	omply with paragraph 62-296.320(4)(c), l	F.A.C., using the following reasonable
					es throughout a nonmetallic mineral processing ression system with spray bars located at the

I	M N	S N	
N	C	C	B. Specific Conditions
			feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points. (ii) Unconfined emissions that might be generated by vehicular traffic or wind shall be controlled by applying water (by water trucks equipped with spray bars) or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis to all stockpiles, roadways and work-yards where this nonmetallic mineral processing plant is located; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.d., F.A.C.] Comments: The rock crusher was not in operation at the time.
			•
			Stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., shall not contain particulate matter in excess of 0.05 grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm) nor exceed 7% opacity, unless the stack emissions are discharged from a wet scrubbing control device. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(i), F.A.C.] **Comments: An AQD VE test was performed during this site visit; Yes, or No, or NA The results of the various points were: The rock crusher was not in operation at the time.
			Stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage bin subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., shall not exceed 7% opacity. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(ii), F.A.C.]
			Comments: The facility ☐ does ☐ does not operate an enclosed storage bin with a baghouse.
			Visible emissions from any grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., shall not exceed 10% opacity; and visible emissions from any crusher without a capture system subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, shall not exceed 15% opacity. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(iii), F.A.C.]
			Comments : An AQD VE test was performed during this site visit; Yes ☐, or No ☒, or NA ☐ The results of the various points were: The rock crusher was not in operation at the time.
\boxtimes			Truck dumping of nonmetallic minerals into any screening operation, feed hopper, or crusher subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., is exempt from the emissions standards of sub-subparagraph 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(vi), F.A.C.]
			Comments: The rock crusher was not in operation at the time.
			The owner or operator shall ensure that wet screening operations and subsequent screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to the next crusher, grinding mill or storage bin and are subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., do not discharge any visible emissions. The owner or operator shall also ensure that screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin in the production line and are subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., do not discharge any visible emissions; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.f., F.A.C.] **Comments: The rock crusher was not in operation at the time.**
\boxtimes			The owner or operator of a nonmetallic mineral processing plant subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., and using a wet scrubber to control emissions shall comply with
			the monitoring requirements of 40 CFR 60.674, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.; [62-

I N	M N C	S N C	B. Specific Conditions
			210.300(4)(c)5.g., F.A.C.] §60.674 Monitoring of operations. The owner or operator of any affected facility subject to the provisions of this subpart which uses a wet scrubber to control emissions shall install, calibrate, maintain and operate the following monitoring devices: (a) A device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber. The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within ±250 pascals ±1 inch water gauge pressure and must be calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. (b) A device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber. The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate and must be calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. **Comments: The facility □ does □ does not operate a wet scrubber.**
			The owner or operator of any existing facility shall demonstrate compliance with the emission standards of subsubparagraph 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C., within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form and shall demonstrate renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification form submittal date. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.] **Comments: Not applicable**
			The owner or operator shall meet all applicable reporting and recordkeeping requirements of Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and 40 CFR 60.676, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.i., F.A.C.] [860.676 Reporting and recordkeeping. (a) Each owner or operator seeking to comply with \$60.670(d) shall submit to the Administrator the following information about the existing facility being replaced and the replacement piece of equipment. (1) For a crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, bagging operation, or enclosed truck or railcar loading station: (i) The rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and (ii) The rated capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment. (2) For a screening operation: (i) The total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and (ii) The total surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation. (3) For a conveyor belt: (i) The width of the existing belt being replaced and (ii) The width of the replacement conveyor belt. (4) For a storage bin: (i) The rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and (ii) The rated capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins. (c) During the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, and daily thereafter, the owner or operator shall record the measurements of both the change in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate. (d) After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, the owner or operator shall submit semiannual reports to the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gain) and liquid flow rate differ by more than ±30 percent from the averaged determined during the most recent performance test. (e) The reports required under paragraph (d) shall be postmarked within 30 days following end of the second and fourth calendar quarters. (b) The owner or operator of a

I N	M N C	S N C	B. Specific Conditions
- 1			change. This screening operation, bucket elevator, or belt conveyor is then subject to the no visible emission limit
			in §60.672(h). (h) The subpart A requirement under §60.7(a)(2) for notification of the anticipated date of initial startup of an affected facility shall be waived for owners or operators of affected facilities regulated under this subpart. (i) A notification of the actual date of initial startup of each affected facility shall be submitted to the Administrator. (1) For a combination of affected facilities in a production line that begin actual initial startup on the same day, a single notification of startup may be submitted by the owner or operator to the Administrator. The notification shall be postmarked within 15 days after such date and shall include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial number of the equipment, if available. (2) For portable aggregate processing plants, the notification of the actual date of initial startup shall include both the home office and the current address or location of the portable plant. (j) The requirements of this section remain in force until and unless the Agency, in delegating enforcement authority to a State under section 111(c) of the Act, approves reporting requirements or an alternative means of compliance surveillance adopted by such States. In that event, affected facilities within the State will be relieved of the obligation to comply with the reporting requirements of this section, provided that they comply with requirements established by the State. **Comments:** The rock crusher was not in operation at the time.
			The owner or operator of a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant using an air general permit may operate a stationary concrete batching plant using an air general permit at the same location provided all nonmetallic mineral processing plant units operate under a single nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permit, all concrete batching plant units operate under a single concrete batching plant air general permit, and the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units and would not be a Title V source; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.j., F.A.C.]
			Comments: The crushing operation is located \boxtimes independently or \square with a stationary concrete batch plant. The operations \square are \boxtimes are not considered a Title V source. (See below)
			The owner or operator of a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant using an air general permit may operate, or allow the operation of, one or more relocatable concrete batching plants using individual air general permits at the same location as the nonmetallic mineral processing plant provided the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units and would not be a Title V source; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.k., F.A.C.] **Comments: The crushing operation is located **\sum independently or *\sum with relocatable concrete batch plant(s). The
			operations are are not considered a Title V source. (See below)
			The owner or operator of multiple relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permits may operate more than one such plant at the same location provided the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units, the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants is less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year, the material processed is less than 10 million tons per calendar year, and the fuel oil sulfur content does not exceed 0.5%, by weight. The owner or operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing plants shall maintain a log book to account for fuel consumption and material processed on a monthly basis. Fuel supplier certifications shall be maintained to account for the sulfur content of the fuel being burned; and [62-210.300(4)(c)5.1., F.A.C.]
			Comments: The facility ☐ does ☐ does not operate multiple nonmetallic mineral processing plants using an individual air general permit. Records are required, ☐ yes ☐ no. If required, records reviewed from n/a ton/a The maximum 12 month totals ofn/a gallons/ year of fuel andn/a tons/year of material processed. % Sulfur _n/a
\boxtimes			If a relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant is used to perform a routine function of a facility subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt plant, it shall not operate under the authority of an air general permit. In such case, the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) must provide for operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit. If a relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant is used at a regularly permitted facility for a non-routine activity, such as destruction of a building, it

	M	S	
I N	N C	N C	D. Specific Conditions
IN	C	C	B. Specific Conditions may do so under the authority of its air general permit. In either case, the resultant facility shall not be a Title V source.
			[62-210.300(4)(c)5.m., F.A.C.]
			Comments: The crushing operation \boxtimes is \square is not operating at a regularly permitted facility, for the purposes of \boxtimes a
			routine function \(\square non-routine activity \(\ldots \).
	M	S	
I N	N C	N C	C. Selected General Conditions and Procedures
			Administrative Corrections. Within 30 days of any changes requiring corrections to information contained in the
			notification form, the owner or operator shall notify the Department in writing. Such changes shall include: a. Any change in the name of the authorized representative or facility address or phone number; or
			b. Any other similar minor administrative change at the facility or emissions unit.
			[62-210.300(4)(d)3., F.A.C.]
			Comments: Not applicable at this time.
\boxtimes			Equipment Changes. In case of the installation of new process equipment, alteration of existing process equipment
			without replacement, or the replacement of existing process equipment with equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form, the owner or operator shall submit a new and complete general permit
			notification form with the appropriate fee pursuant to Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C., to the appropriate Department of
			Environmental Protection district office or local air pollution control program office to which the Department has delegated its permitting authority.
			[62-210.300(4)(d)3., F.A.C.]
			Comments: The facility had no changes at the time.
<u> </u>			
\boxtimes	Ш	L	If, for any reason, the owner or operator of any facility operating under an air general permit pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4)(a), F.A.C., does not comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the permit,
			the permittee shall immediately provide the Department with the following information:
			 A description of and cause of noncompliance; and The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or, if not corrected, the anticipated time the
			noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the
			noncompliance. The permittee shall be responsible for any and all damages which may result. [62-210.300(4)(e)13., F.A.C.]
			Comments : The rock crusher had not been operating because they are still waiting for the general permit at the time of inspection.
N 2			W. P.D. W
\boxtimes	Ш		Valid Permit Throughout the term of the general permit:
			a. The facility operates no emissions units other than a unit described in an air general permit and emissions units
			which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of Rule 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C.; b. The facility is not a Title V source as defined in Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C.
			[62-210.300(4)(c), F.A.C.
			Comments: The facility is still waiting for the general permit permit approval by SWDEP office at the time of
			inspection.

	- N	_ C		
_	M			
Ι	N	N		
N	C	C	C. Selected General Conditions and Procedures	
			A permittee's use of a general permit is limited to five years. No later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the filing of intent to use the general permit, the owner or operator shall submit a new notice of intent which shall contain all current information regarding the facility or emissions unit. Eligibility to use the general permit is not transferable and does not follow a change in ownership of the facility or emissions unit. Prior to any sale, other change of ownership, or permanent shutdown of the facility, the owner or operator is encouraged to notify the Department of the pending action. The owner shall remain liable for corrective actions that may be required as a result of any violations occurring in the time after the sale or legal transfer of the facility or emissions unit, but before a new owner is entitled to use an air general permit. [General Conditions - 62-210.300(4)(e)1., F.A.C.] **Comments: The permit expires on 3/17/12. A new notification form is required to be submitted no later than 2/16/12.	
	$\overline{}$			
			No person shall circumvent any air pollution control device or allow the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices. [62-210.300(4)(e)12., F.A.C.] Comments: The emission unit is in cold shut down. It was not operating at the time of my visit. This does not apply at this time.	
			D. Other:	
C1		-		
	_		nference X Yes No	
stil per wa	l wa forn	iting 1 a v	ments: I met Mr. Steve Sarnago the owner onsite. He stated the rock crusher had not been operating because they are g for the general permit approval by SWDEP office. I explained to Mr. Sarnago that our office would re-inspect to visible emissions test when their emission unit in operation. He showed me the rock crusher onsite. The Emission unit ted and determined to be out of operation at the time of inspection. I took picture of the rock crusher. See attached	
Ins	Inspector(s): Mike Thomas, Pinellas County, Air Quality Division			
	•	ure(

CONTACT LOG? __yes_, ACCESS? __yes__, ARMs? _yes___

 $H: \label{linear} H: \label{linear} Wp docs \label{linear} A ir Compliance \label{linear} AQI \label{linear} AQI \label{linear} \label{linear} Wp docs \label{linear} A ir Compliance \label{linear} AQI \label{linear} \label{linear} Wp docs \label{linear} Wp docs \label{linear} Wp docs \label{linear} \label{linear} \label{linear} Wp docs \label{linear} \label{linear} \label{linear} Wp docs \label{linear} \label{linear} Wp docs \label{linear} \label{linear} Wp docs \label{linear} \label{linea$