

## Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 W. Government Street, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

May 19, 2011

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested kevin.farris@carriageservices.com

Mr. Kevin Farris McLaughlin Mortuary 17 Chestnut Avenue Southeast Fort Walton Beach, Florida 32548

Dear Mr. Farris:

On May 9, 2011, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID0910096. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

Please note that authority to operate this facility expires on March 1, 2012. To avoid lapse of authority to operate, an owner or operator intending to continue to use an air general permit must submit the proper registration form and processing fee at least 30 days prior to expiration of the facility's existing air operation permit or air general permit.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Chris Stoll at 850/595-0654 or e-mail christopher.stoll@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Carol Melton

Air Compliance Supervisor

(and Melton

CM/cs/c

Enclosure



## **HUMAN CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	AINT/DISCOVERY (CI)  OMPLAINT NO:						
AIRS ID#: 0910096 DATE: <u>5/9/2011</u> ARRIVE:	<u>1:41 PM</u> DEPART: <u>2:31 PM</u>						
FACILITY NAME: MCLAUGHLIN MORTUARY OKALOOSA CREMATORY							
<b>FACILITY LOCATION:</b> 17 Chestnut Ave SE							
FORT WALTON BEACH 32548-56	506						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: PAMELA REYNO Email: preynolds@carriageservices.com CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/1/2007 / 3/1/2012 (effective date) (end date)	DLDS <b>PHONE:</b> (850)864-3361 <b>Mobile:</b> (850)896-5772 <b>PHONE:</b> <b>Mobile:</b>						
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Gil Carlson  Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)						
2. Is the Authorized Representative still PAMELA REYNOLDS? If no, who is?:							
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 3  3. Is the facility contact still? If no, who is?:	0 days?						
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advar							

## Emissions Unit Section 1 – Operation of a Human Crematory

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?  b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the	⊠ Yes	□No
secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
4. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:  a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?  b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?  c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		□No ⊠No
operation?	☐ Yes	□No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?		□No □No
PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?  a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver?  b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	- Yes	⊠No □No □No
c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average. d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minute		□No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?  a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cadaver?  b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?  c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.  d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	-	<ul><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li></ul>
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO stands  If yes, what reason?	Yes	⊠No
PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	- Yes	⊠No
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:  Downwind odor level detected-  Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected-	(1-10)	
Continuous Monitoring Systems —     Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	- 🛛 Yes	□No
b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at \( \sum 1,800^1 \subseteq 1,600^2\) degrees was determined?	Yes	□No

n.	DE HI. MONITODING/DECODD/JEEDING DECUIDEMENTS (			
PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)				
c.	Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?			
	1) All temperature measurements	⊠ Yes	□No	
	monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	⊠ Yes	□No	
	<ul><li>3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on (7/2/2009)</li><li>4) Adjustments</li></ul>	☐ Yes	∐No ⊠No	
	5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices  6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	⊠ Yes □ Yes	∐No ⊠No	
d.	Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of			
e.	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	<ul><li>Yes</li><li>Yes</li></ul>	∐No □No	
	(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatical control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	lly Yes	∏No	
	(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity exceeds 15% opacity?	☐ Yes	⊠No	
	(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in			
<u> </u>	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	⊠ Yes	∐No	
PA	ART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check <b>v</b> box for each	only one ch question)	
1.	If the application to construct was <b>BEFORE</b> August 30, 1989 is the:			
	a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than <b>1400°F</b> throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	☐ Yes	□No	
	b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1400°F</b> before the cremati process begins in the primary chamber?	on Yes	□No	
2.	If the application to construct <b>ON</b> or <b>AFTER</b> August 30, 1989 is the:			
	a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than <b>1600°F</b> throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠ Yes	□No	
	b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1600°F</b> before the cremati process begins in the primary chamber?	on Yes	□No	
			_	
PA	ART V: ALLOWED MATERIALS	(check <b>v</b> box for each	only one ch question)	
1.	Other than human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	☐ Yes	⊠No	
2.	Do cremation containers contain no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?	∑ Yes	□No	
	If yes, is the certifying documentation from the manufacturer kept on file for at least 2 years from use?	⊠ Yes	∟No	

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE		(check 🗹	only one		
		box for each	•		
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacture	er's specifications?	⊠ Yes	□No		
2. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedu shutdown and malfunction?		Yes	⊠No		
3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame character. If no, skip a. – b.	stics?	☐ Yes	⊠No		
a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?			□No □No		
DADT VIII. BU INSDECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (J).	7				
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check					
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLI	IANCE			
Facility Section (continued)					
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES		(check <b>v</b> box for each	only one		
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	of the facility or any emissions unit istrative change at the facility? the change?ent?substantially different?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li></ul>		
Chris Stoll	5/9/2011				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
/s/	5/2012				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Insp	ection			

**COMMENTS:** On May 9, 2011, an unannounced compliance inspection was conducted at the McLaughlin Mortuary, located in Okaloosa County. The cremation unit was not in operation at the time of the inspection. Gil Carlson was available to assist me during the inspection. Mr. Carlson provided me with records of maintenance and an MSDS sheet documenting that the cremation bags are made of polyethylene. Mr. Carlson indicated that only bags that are known to contain less than 0.5% chlorine are being burned in the cremation unit. Circle chart records of continuous temperature monitoring were reviewed and were compliant with Department rules. A visible emission test is being performed annually as required. A visible emission test was last conducted on May19, 2010, with passing results.