MEDWERTUL PROTECTION	
and the	
FLORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPL		(CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0694851 DATE: June 11, 2014	ARRIVE: <u>10:44</u>		DEPART: <u>10:45</u>	
FACILITY NAME: PRESTIGE CP OKAHUMPKA				
FACILITY LOCATION: 26926 Commerce Pond Ct	t			
ОКАНИМРКА 34762-3	3345			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: THOM Email: CONTACT NAME: BILL PAGANO Email: bxpagano@prestige-concrete.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/21/2013 / 1/21/2018 (effective date) (end date)	4AS LANG	PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(407)802-3540	
Fa	cility Section			
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u> (chee IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPL		·	Non-COMPLIANCE	
PART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING</u>			(check 🗹 box for each	2
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):				
Brief Notes:			_	
2. Is the Authorized Representative still THOMAS LANG? If no, who is?:	/		Yes	No
If different, did the facility provide an administrative upd 3. Is the facility contact still BILL PAGANO? If no, who is?:				□No □No
 Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's insp If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 d 	pection? lays in advance?		Yes	□No □No

Emissions Unit Section Subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection:	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? 		□ No □ No □ No
 d. Date of last VE test: e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour b. If which here art(hotsher) emissions controlled by the sile dust collector, did the report state. 		□ No □ No
 h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour 		□ No □ No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test. If not, what was the problem (if known)?	2	□ No
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	🗌 No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	Yes	🗌 No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \Box Yes \Box No \Box N/A – silo not l e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1$ – $g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1$ – $g.3$ and go		🗌 No
 Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching 		🗌 No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching duration?		🗌 No
 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? m h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector wh 		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust of conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and durati 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? min	on? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	🗌 Yes	
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		∐ No
 c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? tons/hour. 	🗌 Yes	🗌 No

Emissions Unit Section Subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? DN/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
 <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u> 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by: 	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 	- 🗌 Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
 particulate matter?		∐ No □ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 only one
	box for each question)
	box for each question)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	TYes No
 a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? 	
c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	
e roo tons per year of more of any other regulated an ponduant.	
2. Does this facility include:	
a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exce	ption of
units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or	
Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	Yes No
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air	general
permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?	
If YES, what other general permit units or activities?	
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:	
a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	
b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?	
d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?	
e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	
gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal	propane/yr $\leq 1.00?$
275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal pr	opane/yr
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel co	
for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	Yes No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	□ No
 Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? 		□ No
 b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? 3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces 		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	_	🗌 No

2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? Yes □ No (If YES, answer 2. a and 2. b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? □ Yes □ No b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days prior to relocation? □ Yes □ No c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? □ Yes □ No 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? □ Yes □ No If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? □ Yes □ No If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? □ Yes □ No Ner Here any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility? □ Yes □ No 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or auge at the facility? □ Yes □ No 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or auge missions unuits or operations comprisi	1. Is the facility: stationary]; relocatable]; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following</i>)	(check ☑ box for each g question 2.)	question)
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes No If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was Yes No If YES, what was the permitted facility?	 soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?)])] -] Yes)]	No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	 and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?)? 🗌 Yes	No
Administrative Changes: box for each question) 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?			
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	Г <u> </u>		
 associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? Yes No 	CHANGES		
a. Installation of any new process equipment? No	Administrative Changes:	box for each	
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each tive not its or -	question)
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted 30 days prior to the change? Yes No	Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each tive not its or - Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes	question)

Daniel K. Hall

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

27

Inspector's Signature

June 11, 2014

Date of Inspection

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: At the time of inspection the facility was not in operation. Equipment is still on-site but the facility did not appear to have been in operation for some time (lots of vegetation growing through cracks and gaps in driveway, parkind and operations areas).