SWEETEN PROTECTION	
Street V Care	
FLORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0694851 DATE: <u>April 25, 2011</u> ARRIVE: DEPART: FACILITY NAME: OKAHUMPKA BATCH PLANT			
FACILITY LOCATION: 26926 COMMERCE POND CT OKAHUMPKA 34762			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MICHAEL MAHONEY Email: MMAHONEY@PRESTIGE-GUNITE.COMPHONE: (561)478-998 Mobile: (561)472-404 PHONE: (352)435-466 	45 57		
Facility Section			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)		
 2. Is the Authorized Representative still MICHAEL MAHONEY?	☐ Yes ☐No - ☐ Yes ☐No		

Emissions Unit Section Subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection:	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
 Date of last hispection. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing 		□ No □ No
operation? N/A d. Date of last VE test:	Yes	🗌 No
 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour 		☐ No ☐ No
 h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour 	- Yes	□ No □ No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes	🗌 No
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. 	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 c. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo c that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No 🗌 N/A - silo not loading the silo control of t		
 e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice? f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour 		
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to		🗌 No
 Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching r duration? 		∐ No
duration?		🗌 No
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector.	h is separate	
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minu	n? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. 	· 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No
 c. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? tons/hour. 	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No

Emissions Unit Section <u>Subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each c	only one juestion)
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	- 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
$\mathbf{D} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{D}^{T} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{C}$		
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check 🗹 box for each c	only one juestion)
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 	- 🗌 Yes	□ No
 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	🗌 Yes	□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?		
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		□ No □ No

Facility Section (continued)

C	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	antrana
		box for each	•
			question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:		
	a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?		
	b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?		
	c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		∐ No
2.	Does this facility include:		
	a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception	n of	
	units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or		
	Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
	If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		
	h. A second second state and the second best second		
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		□ No
	If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		
	In 125, while outer general permit and of activities.		
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:		
	a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		∐ No
	b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?		
	c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?		
	d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		∐ No □ No
	e. Of an equivalent profated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		
	gal diesel/yr +gal gasoline/yr +MM SCF nat. gas/yr +MM gal prop	ane/vr < 1.00)?
	275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propa		
		-	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consur		_
	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	🗌 Yes	No No
1			

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control		
devices?	Yes	∐ No
a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? 2. Use the summarian ensurement allowed you so the duly outbarized representative of the Department seems. 		🗌 No
3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, accert to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary : relocatable ; or consisting of both state concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If of the state is the sta	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and	Yes No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Lo e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day pr b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification 	rior to changing location? Yes No tion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busines c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificati to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five b	on Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]
 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit ir a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpor If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it w co-located at the permitted facility?	a that separate permit: See (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes No
<u>CHANGES</u>	(check \square only one box for each question)
 Administrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of th associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admini If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of <u>New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership</u>: Since the last registration form submittal has there been Installation of any new process equipment?	e facility or authorized representative not of the facility or any emissions units or strative change at the facility? If the change? Yes No Yes No No ntially different? Yes No
 d. A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change? 	form and the appropriate fee submitted
Michael Young	4/25/11
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
COMMENTS: At the time of the Site visit the facility was closed	