	WEITUL PROTECTION	
NG.BI	1 Car	
E FI	ORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	Y (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0951307 DATE: <u>12/19/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>09:30</u>	DEPART: <u>10;45</u>	
FACILITY NAME: LOTT'S CONCRETE PRODU	JCTS		
FACILITY LOCATION: 429 HENNIS RD			
WINTER GARDEI	N 34787-2407		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: CONTACT NAME: Rick Higgins Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 2/23/2007 / 2/23/ (effective date) (end data)	Mobile: PHONE: Mobile: 2012	(407)656-2112	
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	<u>J</u>	(check 🗹 only one	
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Johnnine Lott</u> , Jr		box for each question)	
Brief Notes:			
2. Is the Authorized Representative still ? If no, who is?:		YesNo	
If different, did the facility provide an administrat 3. Is the facility contact still ? If no, who is?:	ive update within 30 days?	YesNo YesNo YesNo	
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda. If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least	y's inspection? ast 15 days in advance?	⊠ Yes □No ⊠ Yes □No	

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 – Concrete Batch Plant subject to 5% Opacity Limit</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 12/17/2010	(check 🗹 box for each	-
 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation was a VE test performed within 20 days of commencing. 		□ No ⊠ No
 c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? d. Date of last VE test: 12/17/2010 	Yes	🗌 No
 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>31.9</u> tons/hour 		□ No □ No
 h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? X N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	Yes	□ No ⊠ No
 k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test. If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	? 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other		
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ box for each	only one n question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Xes	🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Xes	🗌 No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0.0 % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	Xes	🗌 No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \bigotimes Yes \square No \square N/A – silo not l e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		spection.
f. What was the silo loading rate? 36.4 tons/hour		
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ and go	o to h.	No No
 Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching 		∐ No
duration?	Yes	🗌 No
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector where the second s	hich is separate	
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust of conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and durati 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? min	ion? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?		
 a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0.0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? <u>36.4</u> tons/hour. 		∐ No □ No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(1.1.1 [7]	
	(check ☑ box for each	
	box for each	question)
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 	- 🛛 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
 Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? 		🛛 No
 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gen permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 		🛛 No
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? 	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yr+MM gal pro275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal propa)?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consu for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🛛 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
 2. Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all 		No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check 🗹	only one
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following</i>)	box for each	question)
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 	(6)]	D No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?	6)]	☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation pe and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usag If YES, what was the purpose? 	_	🗌 No
 b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? 	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check 🗹 box for each	•
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions u 		
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	🗌 Yes	⊠ No □ No
 Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee su 30 days prior to the change? 	bmitted	

Assefa Hailemariam

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date

~12/31/2012

Inspector's Signature

COMMENTS: The opacity observed was at 0% and loading rate was above the minimum requirement of 25 TPH. Facility yard was completely dry and dust was observed leaving the property. The inspector suggested to plant manager, Mr.Rick Higgins, to get water truck the yard to control fugitive dust. The facility's air general permit will expire2/23/2012.

12/19/2011

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

Date of Inspection
