

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	(CI)					
AIRS ID#: 0951307 DATE: <u>11/9/2010</u>	ARRIVE: <u>12:50 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>1:25 PM</u>					
FACILITY NAME: LOTT'S CONCRETE PRODU	UCTS						
FACILITY LOCATION: 429 HENNIS RD							
WINTER GARDE	EN 34787-2407						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: CONTACT NAME: Rick Higgins/VP Sales Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 2/23/2007 / 2/23 (effective date) (end of	Mobile: PHONE: (Mobile: (8/2012	ONE: (407)656-2112 407)656-2112 407)468-1423					
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETIN 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Johnnie P. Brief Notes:		(check ☑ only one box for each question)					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still? If no, who is?:							
If different, did the facility provide an administra 3. Is the facility contact still? If no, who is?:	tive update within 30 days?	Yes					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least							

Emissions Unit Section 1 -Concrete Batch Plant subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one
	box for each question)
1. Date of last inspection: $\frac{6/5/2007}{1}$	₄
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	□ v □ N.
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of con operation?	
d. Date of last VE test: 12/19/2006 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 26.38 tons/hour	
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing' j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	N/A Yes No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity lim If not, what was the problem (if known)?	nit during the last VE test? Yes No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or ot	ther (check 🗹 only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipm	box for each question)
	4
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit dur	ring this site visit? 🖂 Yes 🔲 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	? ⊠ Yes □ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highc. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opac	
If not, what was the problem (if known)? Faulty baghouse.	
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points v	was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes	
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable	e in practice?
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by	the silo dust collector? Yes No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1$) – $g.3$) below. If answer NO, the	
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emi	
During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representati duration?	
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batch	ching duration? minutes
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are control	
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weight conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal	
2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batch	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit du	uring this site visit? 🛛 Yes 🔲 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the high c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opac 	
d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	
· ——	

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(ch	neck 🗹	only one	
				question)	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	\boxtimes	Yes	No	
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		Yes	⊠ No	
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No	
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		Yes Yes	 No No No No No No No	
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propane/yr < 1.00? 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/yr				
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ption · 🔯	Yes	□ No	
<u>GI</u>	ENERAL CONDITIONS		neck 🗹 of	only one question)	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌	Yes	⊠ No	
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- 🖂	Yes	☐ No	
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		Yes	⊠ No	
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general				
	permit and Department rules?	- 🛛	Yes	☐ No	

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		(check	
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (box for each of question 2.)	question)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?)	- Yes	☐ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifi 	prior to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busing. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific	ness days following a relocation? ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)	Yes	□ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least fiv	e business days prior to relocation? -	Yes	☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions uni a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pu If YES, what was the purpose?	t in that separate permit: rpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage	<u></u>	☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long i co-located at the permitted facility?		Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES Administrative Changes:		(check ☑ box for each	-
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	on of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility?	box for each of tive not its or Yes	•
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admits of the provide written notification within 30 days.	on of the facility or any emissions unitaristrative change at the facility? s of the change?	box for each of tive not its or - Yes Yes - Yes Yes - Yes Yes - Yes	question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admits 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantial.	on of the facility or any emissions unitaristrative change at the facility?	box for each of tive not its or - Yes	question) No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admits of the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subsided. A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration of the same of the sam	on of the facility or any emissions unitaristrative change at the facility?	box for each of tive not its or - Yes - Hes - Yes - Hes - He	question) No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admits of the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subsided. A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration of the same of the sam	on of the facility or any emissions unitaristrative change at the facility?	box for each of tive not its or - Yes - Hes - Yes - Hes - He	question) No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administration operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrations of any expression of the last registration of the change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substituted. A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registrat 30 days prior to the change?	on of the facility or any emissions unitarity change at the facility?s of the change?	box for each of tive not its or - Yes - Hes - Yes - Hes - He	question) No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	on of the facility or any emissions unital inistrative change at the facility?	box for each of tive not its or - Yes - Hes - Yes - Hes - He	question) No No No No No No No

COMMENTS: Orange County EPD inspector, Norma Ali, met with Mr. Rick Higgins, facility representative and William Arlington, consultant from Arlington Environmental Services, to audit the visual emission compliance test at this facility.

At 1:05 pm, the tanker driver started pumping cement into the silo. Emissions coming out from the baghouse were above allowable limit of 5% opacity. The pumping process was stopped. Mr. Rick Higgings said that they tested the baghouse two weeks ago and it was working fine. During today's test it is not working properly. They were not able to fix the problem at that moment. They will check the baghouse, probably change the bags and reschedule test. Mr. Higgins asked if they could continue pumping the load into

the silo. Inspector informed them that it would be a violation of their air permit for emissions exceeding 5% opacity. Mr. Higgins asked driver to disconnect the tanker and take back the load.

The facility failed to conduct the annual test for 2007, 2008 and 2009. This issue has already been addressed.

The test has been rescheduled on 12/17/10.