

(check \square only one box for each question) \sqrt{TS}

ERAL PROCESSING



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 7775380 DATE: <u>5/15/2013</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:26 AM</u> DEPART:	11:05 AM
FACILITY NAME: MIAMI QUARRY	
FACILITY LOCATION: 13100 NW 41 Street	
MIAMI 33182	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: KENNETH KNOWLES* PHONE: (239)267-180 Email: knowlesk@vmcmail.com Mobile: (786)412-834 CONTACT NAME: LORI SANVILLE* PHONE: (239)280-915 Email: sanvillel@vmcmail.com Mobile: (239)280-915 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/24/2012 / 5/24/2017 (effective date) (end date)	2 6
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPL	IANCE
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check ☑ only one
Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>KENNETH KNOWLES</u>	(check only one box for each question)
Brief Notes:	
2. Is the Authorized Representative still KENNETH KNOWLES*?	⊠ Yes □No
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still LORI SANVILLE*?	☐ Yes ☐No ☐No
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?	☐ Yes

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 -NMMP Plant-crusher (primary)</u>, 750 T/hr

box for each question			(check 🗹	only one
Set the Emissions Unit (EU) subicet to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO — Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants? (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limstone, Dolomite, Granite, Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and Gravel; (3) Clay including Kaodin, Fireelay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Salt; (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chloride, and Sodium Sulfare; (17) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Tale and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite, and Colemanite; (11) Barrie; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15) Perlite; (16) Vermiculite; (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.) 1. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?		1	ox for each	question)
Note: "Nommetallic minerals" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite, Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and Gravel; (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentionite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Salt; (5) Grysum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chloride, and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Tale and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, Kernite, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15) Ferlite; (16) Vermiculite; (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalustic, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumoriterite.] 1. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Te ·			1
or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	18	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majori is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granix Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice	ty te, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
2. Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)? —			⊠ Yes	□No
3. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	2.		🕅 Yes	
4. Is the EU one of the following?				
storage bin,	4.	Is the EU one of the following?	☐ Yes	□No
□ crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; □ screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) □ building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 - 4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs. If answer to any of the four Questions 1 - 4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1 - 4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 - 4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. /4 "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
□ building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?				
subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?		air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	su	opart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	5.			
6. Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?				
capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	_		∐ Yes	⊠No
7. Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	6.			NT.
capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	7		☐ Yes	⊠No
8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or	/٠		□ Vos	⊠ No
	8		1 es	₩ 1
-1 >884	•		☐ Yes	⊠No

1 –NMMP Plant-crusher (primary), 750 T/hr

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line? ————————————————————————————————————	l ng	⊠No
10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 - 10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11. 11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	⊠ Yes	□No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	⊠No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□ No
one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No

<u>1 –NMMP Plant-crusher (primary), 750 T/hr</u>

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	□ Yee	es 🔯No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Ye	es 🗌 No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	☐ Ye	es 🗵No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Ye	es 🗵No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}	Ye	es 🗵No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	□ Yee	es 🔯No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		es
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Ye	es 🖾No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Ye☐ Ye☐ Ye☐ Ye	esNo esNo

1 –NMMP Plant-crusher (primary), 750 T/hr

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emi	ssions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfor					
initial startup of the EU?		🛛 N	/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through whi	ich there is mechanicall	ly induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building	g air carrying particular	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}		•			
b. Was the EU found to be in complia	ance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		☐ Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				Yes	□No
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the EU?			☐ Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta	ain and operate:				
a. a device for the continuous measur	ement of the pressure lo	oss of the gas stream through the	e		
scrubber and the device has been					
instructions?				☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device m	nust be certified by the i	manufacturer to be accurate with	nin +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	ssure.}				
and					
b. a device for the continuous measur				e	
device has been calibrated on an				☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device m		manufacturer to be accurate with	nin +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
24 When med the lost VE took our direct	.d b 4b	ton for this FIIO			
24. When was the last VE test conducte				□ Vas	□ No
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 sb. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart		o been tested within the past 3	years?	∐ Yes	∐No
i. has the EU been tested during		nder voere?		☐ Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested duffing	thin the current calenda	nuar years:		Yes	□No
n. has the LO been tested yet wi	tiiii tiic current caichda	ır year:		1 cs	
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own	ner/operator for this w	nit during this site visit?		☐ Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro				Yes	□No
Rate:	veess rate that is represe	manye of the normal rate.			
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EPA Method 9? -			☐ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of % for the high	est six-minute average.			_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		☐ Yes	□No
•	1 ,				
26. Was a VE test conducted by the insp				☐ Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	ocess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		Yes Yes	□No
Rate:					_
b. Was the VE test conducted accord				☐ Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				_	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
	VE Onac	ity Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart	OOO EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	_	cted, modifi	ed
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed on	
	Subpart 000	to 4/22/2008			OI
Crusher with no continue aveters	200/		after 4/2	12%	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%			
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	

Emissions Unit Section 2 –NMMP Plant-feeder underbelt conveyor, 54"

		(check 🗹	only one
	I	ox for each	question)
Te 1	he Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processi		,
	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoris any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granis Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ty e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes	□No
	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	Yes	□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	Yes	□No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	☐ Yes	□No
	\square crusher, \square grinding mill, \square bucket elevator, \boxtimes belt conveyor, \square bagging operation,		
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. $\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through } \}$		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
suk If t	nnswer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to opart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. he answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process	□ v	
_	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	1 cs	⊠140
, .	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or		<u>~</u> 3 10
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No

2 -NMMP Plant-feeder underbelt conveyor, 54"

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	ed ! ng	Yes	□No
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	\boxtimes	Yes	□No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
su	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.			
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	\boxtimes	Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
14	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Yes	☐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No

2 -NMMP Plant-feeder underbelt conveyor, 54"

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator:			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
477 70 (1 777)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		37	□ N.
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? 🖂 N/A	Ш	Yes	∐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	ш	103	<u> </u>
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's			
instructions?		Yes	⊠No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	•		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	⊠No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
	_		
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	⊠No
If yes:		Yes	⊠No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		Yes	⊠No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		Yes	⊠No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? 		Yes	⊠No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? 			⊠No ⊠No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	⊠No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests:		Yes	⊠No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		Yes Yes	⊠No ⊠No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	⊠No ⊠No □ No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	⊠No ⊠No □ No □No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNo
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	⊠No ⊠No □ No □No

2 -NMMP Plant-feeder underbelt conveyor, 54"

individually in compliance with emissions limitis: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? (A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUS.) b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	22. If the EU is a building enclosing any	other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
initial startup of the EU?	individually in compliance with emi	ssions limits:				
(A' "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.] b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?						
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUS.) b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	initial startup of the EU?		🛛 N	/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
one or more affected EUs.] b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	{A "vent" is any opening through whi	ich there is mechanicall	y induced air flow for the			
one or more affected EUs.] b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	purpose of exhausting from a building	air carrying particular	te matter (PM) emissions from			
b. Was the EÜ found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 g/dscf)						
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?-		ance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	□No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?					Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? [Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.] and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? -					Yes	⊠No
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? —						
instructions?						
Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250						
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?					Yes	⊠No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes No {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?			nanufacturer to be accurate with	hin +250		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes No {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?	pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	ssure.}				
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? — Yes {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?						
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?						
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?					∐ Yes	∐No
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?			nanufacturer to be accurate with	nin +5%		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years? —	of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years? —	24 When was the last VE test conducte	d by the experience	tor for this FII2			
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO: i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?		2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	vaare?	□ Vac	\square No
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?			o been tested within the past 5	years:		
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?			ndar vears?		□ Ves	\square No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this unit during this site visit? Yes	i has the EU been tested vet wi	thin the current calenda	nual years:		_	=
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	ii. has the Lo been tested yet wi	unii the current calchda	i year:		1 cs	\\0
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	25. Was a VE test conducted by the own	ner/operator for this u	nit during this site visit?		☐ Yes	⊠No
Bate:					=	=
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	<u>.</u>	that is represe				
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of		ing to EPA Method 9? -			☐ Yes	□No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) YesNo 26. Was a VE test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? YesNo a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? YesNo Rate: b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? YesNo c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) YesNo VE Opacity Limits EU not subject to						
26. Was a VE test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? — YesNo a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? — YesNo Rate: b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? — YesNo c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below). — YesNo VE Opacity Limits EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 constructed, modified, or reconstructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 Crusher with no capture system 20% 15% 12%					Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? Yes		1 ,	` ,		_	_
Bate: b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins	pector for this unit du	ring this site visit?		☐ Yes	⊠No
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	cess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		☐ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) YesNo \[\begin{align*} al						
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below). ————————————————————————————————————					☐ Yes	□No
VE Opacity Limits EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, Subpart OOO or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 Subpart OOO or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 Subpart OOO or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 Crusher with no capture system 20% 15% 12%			- C			
EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 Crusher with no capture system Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 15% Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008	d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes Yes	□No
EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 Crusher with no capture system Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 15% Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008						
EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 Crusher with no capture system Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 15% Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008		VF Onac	itv I imits			
40 CFR 60 constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 crusher with no capture system constructed prior 20% constructed prior to 4/22/2008 crusher with no capture system constructed prior after 4/22/2008 crusher with no capture system constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 crusher with no capture system constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 crusher with no capture system constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 crusher with no capture system constructed prior after 4/22/2008 crusher with no capture system constructed prior to 4/22/2008 crusher with no capture system constructed prior after 4/22/2008 crusher with no capture system constructed prior after 4/22/2008 crusher with no capture system constructed prior after 4/22/2008 crusher with no capture system constructed prior after 4/22/2008 crusher with no capture system constructed prior after 4/22/2008 crusher with no capture system constructed prior after 4/22/2008 crusher with no capture system constructed prior after 4/22/2008 crusher with no capture system constructed prior after 4/22/2008 crusher with no capture system constructed prior after 4/22/2008 crusher with no capture system constructed prior after 4/22/2008 crusher with no capture system constructed prior after 4/22/2008 crusher c				Subpart	OOO FII	
Subpart OOO or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008 or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 Crusher with no capture system 20% 15% 12%		•	_	_		ho
to 4/22/2008 after 4/22/2008 Crusher with no capture system 20% 15% 12%						-
Crusher with no capture system 20% 15% 12%		Suppart OOO	_			ОГ
1 7		2001		after 4/2		
All other affected EUs 20% 10% 7%						
	All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	

Emissions Unit Section 3 –NMMP Plant-crusher belt, 54"

		(check ☑	only one
	ł	oox for each	•
Is 1	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Procession		•
	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorist any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granist Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ty te, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
2. 3. 4.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? ———————————————————————————————————		No No No No
sul	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to opart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No

3 –NMMP Plant-crusher belt, 54"

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line? ————————————————————————————————————	l ng	□No
10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	□No
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 - 10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11. 11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No

3 –NMMP Plant-crusher belt, 54"

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
☐ follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,			_
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A		Yes	∐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Ш	Yes	∐No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		* 7	
instructions?	· Ш	Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	3		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Vec	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	Ш	103	
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
of design serubbing fiquid flow rate.			
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes:		Yes	□No
		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,			□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? 			□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests:		Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	NoNo
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNo

3 –NMMP Plant-crusher belt, 54"

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any	other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emi					
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfor	med on each vent contro	ol device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU?		N	/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through whi	ich there is mechanicall	y induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building	air carrying particulat	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}					
b. Was the EU found to be in complia	ance with the PM limit of	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		☐ Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	n non-vent building ope	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	☐ Yes	□No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the EU?			☐ Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta	ain and operate:				
 a. a device for the continuous measur 	ement of the pressure lo	oss of the gas stream through the	e		
scrubber and the device has been					
instructions?				☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device m	nust be certified by the r	nanufacturer to be accurate with	nin +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	ssure.}				
and					
b. a device for the continuous measur				e	_
device has been calibrated on an				∐ Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device m	•	nanufacturer to be accurate with	nin +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
24. When was the last VE test conducte			2	_ ,,	
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s		U been tested within the past 5	years?	∐ Yes	∐No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart		1 0		□ * 7	
i. has the EU been tested during				∐ Yes	∐No
ii. has the EU been tested yet wi	thin the current calenda	r year?		☐ Yes	∐No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own	nor/onergtor for this m	nit during this site visit?		☐ Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the own				Yes	□No
Rate:	cess rate that is represe	intative of the normal rate:		1 CS	110
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EPA Method 97 -			☐ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of % for the high	est six-minute average			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate complete	liance with the onacity l	limit? (See chart below)		☐ Yes	□No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp.	nunce with the opacity i	mint. (See chart below).			
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins	nector for this unit du	ing this site visit?		Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro				Yes	□No
Rate:					
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EPA Method 9? -			Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				_	_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp				Yes	□No
-					
	I/E O	•, •,			
	VE Opac		~ -		
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	_	OOO EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		cted, modifi	
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed on	or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/2	2/2008	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	
<u>l</u>			1		

Emissions Unit Section 4 –NMMP Plant-radial stacker belt, 46"

		(check ☑	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock of (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlorand Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ng Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	1
	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	∑ Yes ∑ Yes	□No
3.	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		□No □No ⊠No
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
ð.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No

4 –NMMP Plant-radial stacker belt, 46"

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line? ————————————————————————————————————	l ng	es □.	.No
10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	□ Y	es □.	.No
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 - 10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11. 11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?		′es □.	.No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
13.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		′es □.	.No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		'es \square .	No .No .No
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	□ Y	∕es □	No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		'es 🔲.	.No .No .No

4 –NMMP Plant-radial stacker belt, 46''

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	☐ Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

4 –NMMP Plant-radial stacker belt, 46"

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with em				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfor		ol device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU?		N	/A Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh			_	
purpose of exhausting from a building				
one or more affected EUs.}	5 a.r. ca.r. y 8 p a.r. r. ca.r.a.	e memer (1 111) emmesterie grein		
b. Was the EU found to be in compliant.	ance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				□No
c. Were initial fugitive clinissions from	in non-vent bunding ope	chings less than of equal to 770	opacity! res	140
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control en	niccione from the FII?		Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain				
		ass of the assistance through th		
a. a device for the continuous measur				
scrubber and the device has bee				□ N1.
instructions?				∐No
{Note: The monitoring device n	_	nanufacturer to be accurate with	hin +250	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	ssure.}			
and				
b. a device for the continuous measur				
device has been calibrated on ar				∐No
{Note: The monitoring device n		nanufacturer to be accurate with	hin +5%	
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}			
24. When was the last VE test conducte				
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s		U been tested within the past 5	years?	∐No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart				_
i. has the EU been tested during				∐No
ii. has the EU been tested yet wi	thin the current calenda	r year?	Yes	∐No
	,			-
25. Was a VE test conducted by the ow				⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	ocess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?	Yes	□No
Rate:				
b. Was the VE test conducted accord				∐No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of% for the high	est six-minute average.	_	_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		∐No
				5
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins				⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	ocess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		∐No
Rate:				_
b. Was the VE test conducted accord			Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)	Yes	□No
	VE Ongo	ity Limits		
			Subnart OOO EU	
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart OOO EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constructed, modi	
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or reconstructed of	on or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/22/2008	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%	

Emissions Unit Section <u>5 –STACKER TO PILE</u>

1. 2. 3.	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock. (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo. and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Tale and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? Is the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983? Is the EU one of the following? Crusher of the following? Crusher or grinding mill, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station; crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in complianc	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite, ulite; Yes Xes	⊠No □No □No ⊠No
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
6. 7.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	□No □No □No □No
	1		

5 -STACKER TO PILE

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wett	ted .	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
I f	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? \[\square\ N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes	☐No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	☐No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes	□No
15	.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
13	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU?	Yes	☐ No
	{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the	105	
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No
1			_

5 -STACKER TO PILE

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	No No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	Yes	No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	s 🗀No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	: □No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	No

5 –STACKER TO PILE

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of			Į!
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Vac	\square No
	Ш	Yes	∐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}			
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Ц	Yes	∐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Ш	Yes	∐No
	_		
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	\Box	Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			Į!
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		_	[
instructions?	Ш	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			Į!
and			Į!
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the			
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?			Į!
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?		Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:	-		
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?		Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?		Yes	□No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?		Yes	No
Rate:			
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Ħ	Yes	No
Rate:		105	
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	ш	105	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
d. Did the VD test demonstrate comphance with the opacity mate. (See chart select).	ш	103	L10

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)?	⊠ Yes	□ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	☐ Yes	☐ No
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check v box for each o	only one question)
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- Yes	□No □No □No
2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	r	⊠No
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		

3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	☐ Yes	No No No No No
GENERAL CONDITIONS 1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠No
2. Does the owner or operator:a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	X Yes	□No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?	X Yes	□No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	.1	□No
RELOCATABLE PLANT	(1.1.17	,
1. The facility: ☐ is stationary; ☒ is relocatable; or ☐ consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
 2. For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? 	0(6)]	□No
3. If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air ope permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	Yes o X Yes	□No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period?	X Yes	□No

Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone num associated with a change in ownership or with a physical re operations comprising the facility; or any other similar mine 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 3	location of the facility or any emissions units or or administrative change at the facility? Yes	only one n question) ⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replac c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that d) A change in ownership?	Yes ement?	∷No∴No∴No∴No∴No
FRANK DELGADO	5/15/2013	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	5/2014	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: THE CRUSHER IS ON SITE BUT NOT OPERATIONAL. THE CRUSHER OPERATES AT NIGHT. A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST IS DUE THIS CALENDAR YEAR.

REVIEWED
By Ray Gordon at 7:37 am, May 29, 2013