

CAST POLYMER OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

RE-I	NSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCO ARMS COMPLAINT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
AIRS ID#: 0112686 DATE: 1	<u>/27/11</u>	ARRIVE: <u>0935</u>	DEPART: <u>1115</u>	
FACILITY NAME: SPLASH	TECHNOLOGIES			
FACILITY LOCATION:	1342 S POWERLINE R	RD.		
	DEERFIELD BEACH	33442-8125		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED RE	PRESENTATIVE: JAN	MES PRENDERGAST PHO	ONE: (954)429-8565	
CONTACT NAME:	Γ NAME: PHONE:			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:	8/16/2009 / 8/16/2014 (effective date) (end date)	1		
PARTA INCRECTION CON				
PART I: INSPECTION CON IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMI		CANT Non-COMPLIANCE	
which are exempt from have been exempted fro 2. Does the facility comply not cause, suffer, allow odor? 3. Does the combined quain any consecutive twelf 4. Does the owner/operato	e any emissions units other permitting pursuant to the m permitting under Rule 6 with the objectionable of or permit the discharge of 	r than the cast polymer oper criteria of paragraph 62-210 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-2 dor prohibition of subsection air pollutants which cause of resin and gel-coat used excert 62-210.300(3)(c)6.c., F.A.Gecords to document the quar	ations and emissions units 0.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or 210.300(3)(c)6.a., F.A.C.)	

PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
 Does the owner or operator voluntarily encourage pollu involved in product fabrication on methods of reducing a) lessening the exposure of fresh resin surfaces to the b) maintaining spray lay-up equipment to ensure effect c) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent e) managing cleanup solvents?	g evaporative losses by: air? tive application with a minimum of overspray? resin/get coat application? t spillage? ort to conduct the specific activity authorized by the ects on adjacent property or on public use of the nment, including fish, wildlife, natural resources,	Yes		
<u> </u>				
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.0)	replacement?lifferent than that noted on the most e owner submit a new and complete 050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or	□Yes ⊠No -□Yes ⊠No		
local program office?		- □Yes □No		
Art Pennetta	1/27/11			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_		
	1/12			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_		
COMMENTS:				