

# FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Northwest District 160 W Government St., Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 RICK SCOTT GOVERNOR

JENNIFER CARROLL LT. GOVERNOR

HERSCHEL T. VINYARD JR. SECRETARY

December 18, 2012

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested kyle@southeasternpipe.com

Mr. Kyle Forehand General Manager Southeastern Pipe & Precast, Inc. 2900 North Highway 95-A Cantonment, Florida 32533

Dear Mr. Forehand:

On December 7, 2012, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 0330279. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

Please note that authority to operate this facility expires on <u>June 2, 2013</u>. To avoid lapse of authority to operate, an owner or operator intending to continue to use an air general permit must submit the proper registration form and processing fee at least 30 days prior to expiration of the facility's existing air general permit. You can register electronically through our Air General Permit Electronic Registration System at <a href="http://www.dep.state.fl.us/air/emission/agpers.htm">http://www.dep.state.fl.us/air/emission/air gp.htm</a>. or you can obtain air general permit forms and information at the following web address: <a href="http://www.dep.state.fl.us/air/emission/air\_gp.htm">http://www.dep.state.fl.us/air/emission/air\_gp.htm</a>.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Chris Stoll at 850.595.0654 or e-mail christopher.stoll@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Carol Melton

Air Compliance Supervisor

Carre Melton

CM/cs/c

Enclosure



### **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INS	INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) 🛛 COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) 🗌							
		RE-INSPECTION (FUI)		ARMS COM	PLAINT NO:			
ΑIJ	AIRS ID#: 0330279 DATE: <u>12/7/2012</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:10 AM</u> DEPART: <u>10:40 AM</u>							
FACILITY NAME: SOUTHEASTERN PIPE & PRECAST INC								
FACILITY LOCATION: 2900 N HWY 95A								
		CANTONMENT	3253	33-7233				
	VNER/AUTHORIZE Email:	D REPRESENTATIVE:	KYL	E FOREHAND	PHONE: Mobile:	(850)587-7473		
CO	NTACT NAME: K Email:	YLE FOREHAND				(850)587-7473		
	TITLEMENT PERIO	<b>DD:</b> 6/2/2008 / 6/2/20 (effective date) (end d	-					
			F	acility Section				
D A	DT I. INCDECTION	COMPLIANCE STATU	IC (ala	ands 🔽 ands and 1	2011)			
ГA	IN COMPLIANCE			· _		Non-COMPLIAN	CF	
	Z II COM EN II	SE MINOR NOR C	JOIVII	LIMITEL		THOIR CONTINUE	CL	
			~					1
PA	RT II: <u>ONSITE INTI</u>	RODUCTORY MEETIN	<u>G</u>				(check <b>☑</b> only one box for each question)	
1.	Name(s) of facility rep	resentative(s): <u>Kyle Forel</u>	<u>and</u>			DOX	101 cacii	question)
	Brief Notes:							
	Is the Authorized Repr If no, who is?:	resentative still KYLE FOR	REHA	ND?		🖂	Yes	□No
3.		ility provide an administra till KYLE FOREHAND? -					Yes Yes	□No □No
		eting VE test(s) during toda ance authority notified at le					Yes Yes	⊠No □No

## Emissions Unit Section 1 -Cement Concrete Batch Plant subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION  1. Date of last inspection: 5/13/2012 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment  1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?  a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	
<ul> <li>a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo no e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?</li></ul>	t loaded during inspection.
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	Yes No

#### **Facility Section (continued)**

CO	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🔽	only one	
		(check ☑ only one box for each question)		
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No	
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No	
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No	
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	- ⊠ Yes - ⊠ Yes - ⊠ Yes	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>	
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propagator   MM gal gasoline/yr   44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr   1.3 MM gal propagator   1.3 MM gal propagator   1.3 MM gal propagator   1.5 maintained   1	ne/yr	)? □ No	
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	•	
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No	
2.	Does the owner or operator:  a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?  b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- 🛚 Yes	□ No	
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- X Yes	☐ No	
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	X Yes	☐ No	

RELOCATABLE PLANT: (check ☑ only one						
	box for each question) sthe facility: stationary \( \subseteq \); relocatable \( \subseteq \); or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \( \subseteq \) oncrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If only stationary, skip the following question 2.</i> )					
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		Yes	☐ No			
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)  a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Lee-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p  b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificat to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busines c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificat to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five by	orior to changing location?tion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 ss days following a relocation?tion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)	Yes	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>			
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in						
co-located at the permitted facility?						
CHANGES		(check 🗹 o	•			
<ol> <li>Administrative Changes:         <ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admined.</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> </ol> </li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ol>	tive not ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes	No				
d. A change in ownership?						
Chris Stoll	12/7/2012					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection					
	12/7/2013					
	Approximate Date of Next Insp	pection				
<b>COMMENTS:</b> On December 7, 2012, a compliance inspection was concounty. Mr. Kyle Forehand, General Manager, was available to assist a noted during the inspection. The annual visible emissions test was concusible emissions were observed. Unconfined fugitive emissions are be	during the inspection. No fugitive ducted on February 23, 2012. Duri	emissions or one emissions or of the minutes.	odors were			

Unanswered questions on the above checklist were not applicable at the time of the inspection.