NUMERICAL PROTECTION
Some Carte
FLORIDA

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE				
AIRS ID#: 0530374 DATE: <u>8/16/2010</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11:00am</u>	DEPART: <u>11:30am</u>			
FACILITY NAME: BKSVILLE READY MIX PLA	ANT				
FACILITY LOCATION: 13251 Ponce De Le	on Blvd				
BROOKSVILLE 3	34601				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: CONTACT NAME: KATHERINE CHUMLEY Email: kchumley@flarock.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/22/2007 / 1/22/2 (effective date) (end da	Mobile: PHONI Mobile: 2012	E:			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
 PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUI (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions Were visible emissions tests conducted during 62-297, F.A.C.)? Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (bata controlled to the extent necessary to limit visi) During visible emissions tests of the silo dust at a rate that is representative of the normal si unless such rate is unachievable in practice? Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batche to this question is "Yes", then continue on to question a) Was the batching operation in operation du b) During the visible emissions test, was the I duration? If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions test, was the I duration?	g this site visit according to EPA Ma chers), and other enclosed storage a ble emissions to 5 percent opacity? collector exhaust points was the loa lo loading rate, or at least at the mir operation controlled by the silo d questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If any 5.)	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Yes No nd conveying equipment Yes No ading of the silo conducted nimum 25 tons per hour rate, Yes No lust collector? (If answer swer is "No" then Yes No normal batching rate and Yes No scollector, which is separate batcher) dust collector			

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)	
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	es 🗌 No
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)	
2. Did this facility demonstrate:	
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?	es 🗌 No
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form	es 🗌 No
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xi Y 	es 🗌 No
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? X 	es 🗌 No
 a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?	es 🗌 No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check 🗹 appropriate box(es))	
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary (2); 2) a relocatable (; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check I only one box.</i>)	le 🗌
2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> ,	sing
then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)	Yes No
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	Yes No
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year?	Yes No
c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	Yes No
d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	\Box Yes \Box No

	2) -2	
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis?	⊠Yes □ No ⊠Yes □ No ⊠Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to contr	ol
	emissions?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operation	tor to
	re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
	particulate matter from stock piles?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
)) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No

Malik Pickering

b

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

8/16/2010

Date of Inspection

8/16/2013

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: All questions that are left blank were not applicable at the time of this insepction. During this inspection I met with Dennis who is the temporary plant manager. After I showed him my credentials he took me on a walkthrough of the facility. I observed one truck receiving a load from the above silos. There were no emissions during this loadout. After the truck finished, there was a Florida Rock employee that climbed up the silos to do some maintenance. It looked like he was greasing the gears of the conveyors and the hoppers. I asked Dennis about it and he said that they do it on a weekly basis. Next, I checked the material piles and they were all contained in a three walled containment area with water being sprayed on them. I asked Dennis several questions from the check list and then looked at their material process records. They all seem to be in order. I gave Dennis my card and told him if he have any question to give me a call then I left for the office.