

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

> Northwest District Office 2353 Jenks Avenue Panama City, Florida 32405-4389

Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

June 25, 2012

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL grifsand@fairpoint.net

Mr. Wayne Walden Operations Manager Griffin Sand and Concrete Co., Inc. 20301 Evans Avenue Blountstown, Florida 32424

Dear Mr. Walden:

On June 13, 2012, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected the Griffin Sand and Concrete Co., Inc Wewahitchka Concrete Batch Plant ID 0450011. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed.

An area of non-compliance is identified in the inspection report. Please notify this office within 15 days of receipt of this letter as to what steps you have taken to correct the deficiency listed in the report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact C. Mark Sumner at 850/767-0046, or by email at <u>mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us</u>.

Sincerely,

Clifford D. Wilson III, P.E. Panama City Branch Administrator

CDW/ms

Enclosure

 c: Ms. Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Pensacola (<u>mary.beth.curle@dep.state.fl.us</u>) Ms. Carol Melton, FDEP Pensacola (<u>carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us</u>) Mr. Wayne Walden, Griffin Sand & Concrete (<u>waynewalden08@gmail.com</u>)

www.dep.state.fl.us

| ALC: NOT THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER |
|--|
| -1 |
| 1 |
| |

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: | ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) | COMPLAINT/E ARMS COMPL | DISCOVERY (CI) | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------------|---|--------------|
| | | | | |
| AIRS ID#: 0450011 DA | TE: <u>6/13/12</u> | ARRIVE: <u>9:00</u> | DEPART: | <u>10:30</u> |
| FACILITY NAME: WEWAHITCHKA PLANT | | | | |
| FACILITY LOCATION | N: 1255 CR 386 N | | | |
| | WEWAHITCHKA 32 | 2465-7840 | | |
| OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: grifsand@fair CONTACT NAME: R Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIO | ICHARD NEWMAN* | A WALDEN* | PHONE: (850)674-866 Mobile: (850)643-616 PHONE: (850)639-262 Mobile: (850)247-935 | 266 |

Facility Section

PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check 🗹 only one box)

IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

| PA | ART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING</u> | (check 🗹 | only one |
|----|---|----------------|------------|
| 1. | Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>RICHARD NEWMAN</u> | box for each | question) |
| | Brief Notes: I met with Mr. Newman and inspected the facility. | | |
| 2. | Is the Authorized Representative still LISA WALDEN*? | Xes Yes | No |
| 3. | If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? | ☐ Yes ⊠ Yes | □No □No |
| 4. | Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? | Yes Yes | ⊠No □No |

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 – CCB Plant-silo (cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

| PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION | | |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Date of last inspection: <u>7/14/2011</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b. If tested: (<u>NA</u>)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? <u>NA</u> | - ⊠ Yes □ Yes □ Yes | □ No □ No □ No |
| DADT IL. FIELD ODSEDMATIONS D.L. (2.20) $A1A(2)$ E.A.C. | | |
| PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. | | |
| <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u> | | |
| Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfin emissions by: | led | |
| a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the | | |
| paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to | 🛛 Yes | No No |
| control emissions? | - 🛛 Yes | 🗌 No |
| owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne | | |
| particulate matter? | Yes | ∐ No |
| particulate matter from stock piles? | - 🛛 Yes | 🗌 No |
| b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? | Yes | 🛛 No |
| 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: | _ | _ |
| a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b. If tested: (<u>NA</u>)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? <u>NA</u> | Yes Yes | ☐ No ☐ No |

Facility Section (continued)

| CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY | (check 🗹 | only one |
|--|--|--|
| | box for each | • |
| Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? | - 🛛 Yes | I No No No No |
| Does this facility include: Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? <u>NA</u> | | 🛛 No |
| b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? | | 🛛 No |
| 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? C. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? M/A d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? N/A e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? N/A | Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | No No No No No No No |
| $\frac{0 \text{ gal diesel/yr} + 0 \text{ gal gasoline/yr} + 0 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr} + 0 \text{ MM gal propane/yr} \le 1.00?}{275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr} 23,000 \text{ gal gasoline/yr} 44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr} 1.3 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}}$ | ne/yr | |
| 4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consurre for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? | nption Yes | 🗌 No |

| GENERAL CONDITIONS | (check 🗹 box for each | |
|---|--------------------------|------|
| 1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices? | 🗌 Yes | 🖂 No |
| 2. Does the owner or operator:a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? | | |
| b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access | | 🗌 No |
| to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules? | 🛛 Yes | 🗌 No |

| RELOCATABLE PLANT: | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------|
| | (check 🗹 | • |
| 1. Is the facility: stationary \boxtimes ; relocatable \square ; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \square | box for each | question) |
| concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the followi | ng question 2.) |) |
| | | |
| 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and | | |
| soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? | 🗌 Yes | 🗌 No |
| (If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) | | |
| a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, | _ | _ |
| e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? | | ∐ No |
| b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 | | |
| to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? | | ∐ No |
| c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(| | |
| to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? | Yes | ∐ No |
| 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation pe | ermit | |
| and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: | mit, | |
| a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usag | e)? Ves | □ No |
| If YES, what was the purpose? | | |
| b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was | | |
| co-located at the permitted facility? | Yes | No No |
| If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? | 🗌 Yes | No No |
| | | |
| Note: Relocatable Plant Part 2. (a)(b)(c) and Part 3. (a)(b) are not applicable for this facility at | this time. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| CHANGES | (check 🗹 | only one |
| | box for each | • |

| Ac | dministrative Changes: | con for cuch c | [uestion] |
|----|---|----------------|-----------|
| 1. | Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representation | tive not | |
| | associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un | its or | |
| | operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? | - 🗌 Yes | 🛛 No |
| 2. | If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? | /A Yes | No No |
| Ne | ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: | | |
| 3. | Since the last registration form submittal has there been | | |
| | a. Installation of any new process equipment? | 🗌 Yes | 🛛 No |
| | b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? | - 🗌 Yes | 🛛 No |
| | c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? | - 🗌 Yes | 🛛 No |
| | d. A change in ownership? | | 🛛 No |
| | | | |
| 4. | If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee sub | mitted | |
| | 30 days prior to the change? 🛛 N/ | A 🗌 Yes | 🗌 No |

C. Mark Sumner

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Mark San

Inspector's Signature

June 13, 2012

Date of Inspection

June 2013

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: The annual EPA Method 9 visual Emission test for this facility was performed by Barbra Sviglin on June 3, 2011. This facility only has one cement silo. The silo was loaded during the VE test at 26 tons per hour. The emissions for the weigh hopper/batcher is not controlled by the silo dust collector. The weigh hopper/batcher emissions are controlled by a spray bar and a partial enclosure at this facility. One truck was loaded during this inspection and it is unknown whether the spray bar adequately controls dust emissions at this time since it was not working. The facility has installed a partial enclosure around the batching area, but the enclosure was in poor condition and was falling down. The spray bar and enclosure must be maintained in good working condition to ensure the emissions from the weigh hopper and truck loading are adequately controlled. The facility maintains records for the amount of concrete batched from this facility. The plant itself is powered by the electrical grid. The roads and parking areas are maintained to prevent unconfined emissions by application of water, removal of material, and limited paving. The stock piles are wetted and maintained below the height of the bin blocks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter. At the time of this inspection all the sand and aggregate was observed below the bin block enclosures. Please continue with maintenance and housekeeping to ensure all particulate matter is confined to the property boundary. Note: The operating permit for this facility expired on December 21, 2011. The permit was not renewed until June 3, 2012.