

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☐ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐						
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:							
FA	CILITY: Sherman Brothers Concrete	DISTRICT:					
DB	A/Site Name:	Southwest					
	DRESS: 10820 49th Street No	CONTACT PHONE:					
	Clearwater, FL	727-520-0009					
AR	MS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 12/2/11				
		7775373-001-AG Renewal Date: 10/3/10					
7775373 001		7775575-001-AG Test Date: 11/2		2/00			
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Concrete Batch Plant: 1 300 Bbl split cement storage silo, aggregate hopper. Emissions from the silo are controlled by a Hagen baghouse, Model no. ES-2688							
INS	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)					
0	2/04/09	☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Compliance; ☐ Significant Non-Compliance					
		PART I: General Review:		•			
1.	Permit File Review		⊠Ye	s No			
2.	Introduction and Entry		□Ye	s 🛚 No			
	Comments: Unidentified individual stated that the silo had been abandoned in ~ 12/07. Individual indentified the property owner as Rick Ferguson. See comments.						
3.	Is the Authorized Representative still Stan Sherman?						
4.	Is the facility contact still Stan Sherman?						
5.							
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)							
Compliance Demonstration 1. New Facilities / New Process Equipment— (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes No							
2.	2. Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust collector exhaust point tested within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test?						
3.	Test Reports 3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit?						
4.	Was the department notified at least 15	5 days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A	N.C.]] Yes □ No			
5.	. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b)						
6.	6. Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] Yes No						
7.	7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,						

PART II: TESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.					
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]					
intess such rate is internerable in practice. [62 250.111(b), 1 interf					
8. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then					
skip to question 9 Yes No					
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.]					
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from					
the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]					
10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according?					
a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.					
b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard? Yes No					
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.					
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary; 2) a relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check only one box.)					
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concreted batching plants					
or nonmetallic mineral processing plants: a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]					
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.]					
1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel – usage equals gallons					
2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons					
3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals cubic feet					
4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons 5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals % of all fuels					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records, available for Department inspection, for a period of at least					
five (5) years? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.]					
<u>Relocation Notification</u> - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.)					
1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or					
stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)					
a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication					
at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?					
to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation? Yes No					
If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below					
2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at least five (5) business days prior to relocation?					
least five (5) business days prior to relocation? Yes No					
PART IV: Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)					
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions Yes No					
Which of the following methods are used:					
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:					
1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?					
emissions? Yes No					
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to					
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Yes No					

PART IV: Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes No				
b) use of spray bar, chaire, or partial enclosure to margare emissions at the arop point to the truck:				
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
Administrative Changes:				
1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative				
not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions				
units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No				
2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] \Box Yes \Box No				
<u>Permit Effective Period</u> – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]				
1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? Yes No				
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 prior to permit expiration? Yes No				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership				
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2]				
a) installation of any new process equipment? Ves 🔲 No				
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? \[Yes \[No				
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most				
recent notification form? 🔲 Yes 🔲 No				
d) Change in ownership Yes No				
If the any of the answers to $1a - 1$ is <u>Yes</u> to any, a new registration form and appropriate fee should				
have been submitted 30 days prior to the change No				
<u>Noncompliance Notice:</u> - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]				
1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or				
limitation of the air general permit? Yes No				
If the answer is <u>Yes</u> , proceed to a) and b).				
a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department?				
b) Did the notification include:				
1. A description of and cause of noncompliance? Yes No				
2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to				

PART VI: Comments

O&M Plan	
The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in acceptant shall include, but is not limited to: (1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device; (2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control decorated (3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control (4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the parential applicant; (5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum: a. When maintenance and observations were performed; b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and c. Who performed said maintenance and observations. d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check. [Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]	evice as specified by the manufacturer; rol device sufficient to ensure proper operation;
Reviewed records for the months of	
Comments:	
Property owner Rick Ferguson states that he had leased the property to lease on 08/07 abandoning his equipment. The silo has not been used whereabouts of Mr. Sherman is unknown.	
Mr. Ferguson's phone #'s are cell: 727-804-1687. Business (Ricks A	European Connection (different address)): 727-546-5347.
The silo and storage bins have been blocked by the storage of junked of	auto bodies and scrap metal. See attached photo.
Exit Interview:	
Chaire Dandour	02/04/09
Chris Brodeur Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection
	Nama mandad
Inspector's Signature	None needed Approximate Date of Next Inspection

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06