

NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING PLANTS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)				
AIRS ID#: 7775371 001	DATE: <u>5/24/07</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1:00</u> DEPART: <u>3:30</u>			
FACILITY NAME: E.	L. Trevena Inc.				
FACILITY LOCATIO	N: 900 126^{th} Avenue				
	Largo, FL 33773				
RESPONSIBLE OFFIC	CIAL: <u>Ernie Trevena</u>	PHONE: 727-526-2884			
CONTACT NAME: E	rnie Trevena?	PHONE: 727-526-2884			
REMITTANCE YEAR	: <u>N/A</u> EN	TITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/17/06/ 11/21/11(effective date)(end date)			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: DETERMINATION OF FACILITY TYPE/APPLICABILITY (check ☑ only one box) ☑ FOR FACILTIES SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(1)) (If you have checked ☑ this category, answer all questions INCLUDING those with **.) Subject Facilities: (applicable fixed or portable facilities include each crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, crushers & grinding mills at hot mix asphalt facilities that reduce the size of non-mettalic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement & subsequent affected facilities up to, but not including the first storage silo or bin.) □ FOR FACILITIES NOT SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(2), (b), (c), and (d)) (If you have checked ☑ this category, answer all questions EXCEPT those with **.) Non-Subject Facilities: (includes all facilities in underground mines; stand-alone screening operations at plants w/o crushers or grinding mills; facilities not subject to subparts F (Portland Cement Plants) or 1 (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities) of this part; fixed sand & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 23 megagrams/hr (25 tons/hr) or less; portable sand & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 136 megagrams/hr (150 tons/hr) or less; common clay plants, and pumice plants w/capacities of 9 megagrams/hr (10 tons/hr) or less.)					

PART III: <u>EMISSION STANDARDS</u> – Chapter 62-210.300(4)(c)5., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
Stack Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.		
**1. Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60		_
Appendix A)?		No
**2. Do stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point of belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point:	1	
**a) exceed <u>7</u> % percent opacity?	Yes] No
** b) exceed the particulate matter standard of <u>0.05</u> grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)?	Yes] No
**3. Do stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage		
bin exceed <u>7</u>% percent opacity?	Yes	No
Visible Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.		
**1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60,		
Appendix A)?	\Box Yes \boxtimes	No
**2. Do visible emissions from any:		110
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation	1,	
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed $\underline{10}\%$		
percent opacity?	Yes	No
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed <u>15</u> % opacity?	Yes	No
3. Pursuant to subparagraph 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., are visible emissions from any crusher, grinding,		
screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer points on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin,		
enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other emission point <u>NOT</u> subject to 40 CFR Part 60,		
Subpart OOO, equal to or greater than 20% percent opacity?	Yes	
Emission Points Enclosed in Buildings - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62		.A.C.
**4. Is any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, baggi		
operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclo		
in a building? (If answer to question #4 is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to #4.a))	🗌 Yes 🖂	No
**a) If enclosed in a building are the stack emissions discharged from a wet scrubbing control device? (If		
answer to this question is <u>NO</u> , then proceed to the next question #4.b)1) & 2). If <u>YES</u> skip to #4.c).)	Yes	No
**b) If the stack emissions from enclosed emission points are not discharged from a wet scrubbing control of		NT
1) the particulate matter in excess of 0.05 grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)?		No
 2) the opacity greater than <u>7</u>% percent?	□Yes □ □Yes □	No
**5. Do visible emissions from any:		No
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation	,	
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed $\underline{10}\%$	1,	
percent opacity?		No
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed <u>15</u> % opacity?	$\square Yes \square$	No
Wet Screening/Wet Mining Operations:		110
**6. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the wet screening operations and subsequent screening		
operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to	,	
the next crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin?		No
**7. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyo		
in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket		
elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage	oin	
in the production line?		No

PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.		
(check \square appropriate box(es)		
Compliance Demonstration – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)		
1. Is each affected emission point tested according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards as		
	Yes	No
$\underline{Compliance New Facilities} - (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)$		INU
2. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of		
2. Did this factify demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:		
		NI-
	Yes	INO
b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification		NT.
form submittal date?	res	INO
Compliance Existing Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)		
3. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of $D_{14} = (2, 210, 200(4))/(25 = 10, 400)$		
Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:		
	Yes	No
b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification		
form submittal date?	Yes	No
Test Methods and Procedures – Chapter 62-297, F.A.C., 40 CFR 60.675, and 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A ado	pted and	
incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.	—	
	Yes	
		No
6. Were all referenced stack emissions or particulate matter tests conducted using EPA Methods 5 or 17?	Yes	No
<u>Reporting and Recordkeeping</u> – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.)[Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and		
40 CFR Part 60.670 - 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.]		
Facility and/or Equipment Replacement		
**7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the replacement of e	existing facil	lity
and/or equipment:	<i>a</i>	
**a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Railcar Loadin		
**1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and the rated		
capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?	Yes	No
**b) <u>for a Screening Operation</u> ,		
**1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and the total		
surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?	Yes	No
**c) <u>for a Conveyor Belt</u> ,		
**1) the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor belt?	Yes	No
**d) <u>for a Storage Bin</u> ,		
**1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the rated		
capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?	Yes	No
Performance/Compliance Testing		
**8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change		
	Yes	No
**9. After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, did the owner or operator submit semiannual reports to	1	
the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gain) and liquid		
flow rate differ by more than ±30 percent from the averaged determined during the most recent performance		
test?		No
**a) Were the reports postmarked within 30 days following the end of the second and fourth calendar		
quarters?	Yes 🗌	No

PART IV: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (*Continued*) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)

**10. Did the owner or operator of the facility submit written reports of the results of all performance tests conducted to demonstrate compliance with the particulate matter standards (40 CFR Part 60.672), opacity (using EPA Method 9 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(b), (c), and (f)), and emission observations of transfer points enclosed in buildings (using EPA Method 22 to demonstrate compliance wi 40 CFR Part 60.672(e))?	ith □Yes □ No
Process Changes	
**11. Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a belt conveyor system? (If your	
answer to this question is <u>YES</u> , then answer <u>either</u> a)1) or a)2) below.)	□Yes □ No
**a)Did this screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or belt conveyor system:	
**1) originally process saturated material and switch to unsaturated material? (<i>Note: The unsaturated</i>	
material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>10% opacity limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.672(b)	
and the emission test requirements of 40 CFR 60.11 and Subpart OOO.)	Yes No
**2) originally process unsaturated material and switch to saturated material? (Note: The saturated	
material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>no visible emission limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.672((h).)
(If answer to 1) or 2) above is <u>YES</u> then proceed to question b) below.)	Yes No
**b) Did the owner or operator submit a report of the process change within thirty (30) days following the	
change?	Yes No
Notification Requirements	
**12. Was notification of the actual date of startup for each affected or combination of affected facilities	
submitted to the Administrator and postmarked within 15 days after such date?	Yes No
**a) Did the notification include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial	
number of the equipment, if available?	Yes No
**b) For portable aggregate processing plants, did the notification of actual date of initial start up also	
include both the home office and the current address or location of the portable plant?	Yes No

PART V: <u>OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

1. Is this facility a: 1) relocatable (∑; 2) stationary (; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable (concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check</i> (∠) only one box above.) (<i>NOTE:</i> If you have checked the box for relocatable go to questions 1.a) & 1.b). If you have checked the box for
stationary go to question 1.c). If you have checked box #3, both, stationary and relocatable then answer all
relocatable and stationary questions 1.a), 1.b), & 1.c) below, respectively.)
a) If this is a relocatable facility was the Department notified by phone prior to this relocation, and was a
Facility Relocation Notification form submitted within 1 business day following the relocation? Xes No
b) If this is a relocatable facility, is it located at a mine and/or quarry, and processing only material from onsite
deposits? (If your answer to this question is <u>NO</u> , please proceed to question 1) below.) [Yes] No
1) Does the owner or operator of this relocatable facility have a water suppression system with spray
bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens and the
conveyor drop points? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
c) If this is a stationary facility, does the owner or operator of this stationary facility have a water
suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s),
the classifier screens and the conveyor drop points? Ves No

PART V: <u>OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (*Continued*) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))

**2. Does this facility incorporate the use of a wet scrubber to control emissions? (40 CFR Part 60, Subpadopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.) (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then pr</i>	
questions 2.a) and 2.b), below.)	
**a) Does the wet scrubber have continuous monitoring systems (CMS) for:	
	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
**1) the measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber?	
**2) the measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber?	
**b) Has each CMS been certified by the manufacturer and calibrated annually in accordance with th	
manufacturer's instructions and to the tolerances below?	
**1) ±250 pascals ±1 inch water guage pressure for measuring pressure losses of the gas stream?	
**2) ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate?	
3. Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with a stationary concrete batching plant	
individual concrete batching plant air general permit at the same location? (If your answer to this ques	
is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 3.a), thru 3.d),) below. If <u>NO</u> , proceed to question #4.)	
a) Is there more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant in operation at this location?	
b) If there is more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant at this location, do they all operate up	
a single nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permit?	
c) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	
d) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	- 🗌 Yes 🖾 No
4. Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with one or more relocatable concrete	
batching plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this	
question is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 4.a), thru 4.b) below. If <u>NO</u> , then proceed to question	
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	
b) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	
5. Does the owner or operator of this facility operate multiple relocatable nonmetallic mineral process	
plants using individual nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permits at this location?	
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons p	er
calendar year?	
c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	
d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	
6. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for	
a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	UYes U No
b) material processed on a monthly basis?	[]Yes [] No
c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	
7. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a routine function of a facil	
a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an aspl	nalt
plant?	
a) If <u>YES</u> , does the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) provide f	
operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit?	
8. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>non-routine activity</u> , such	
destruction of a building, at a regularly permitted facility (not a Title V source)?	
a) If <u>YES</u> , does it operate under the authority of its air general permit?	Yes No

PART VI: REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS/EMISSION CONTROL MEASURES & TECHNOLOGY - Rule 62-

210.300(4)(c)5.d.(i) and (ii), F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

1.	Does the owner /operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined
	emissions by:

a)	use of a water suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the
	crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
b)	management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:
	1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
	emissions? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
	re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Xes D No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
	particulate matter from stock piles? [Yes] No

	purificative matter from stock pries.	103 1	10
	5) landscaping and/or the planting of vegetation?	Yes N	No
	6) the use of hoods, fans, filters and similar equipment to contain, capture and/or vent particulate		
	matter?	Yes N	No
)	the enclosure or covering of conveyor systems?	Yes N	٧o

7)	the enclosure or covering of	conveyor systems?	
----	------------------------------	-------------------	--

PART VII: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment

1.	Sinc	the last inspection has there been		
	a)	installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	No
		alteration of existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	No
	c)	replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
			Yes	No
	d)	If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
		notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or		
		local program office?	Yes	No

Mike Ojo Thomas

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

5/24/07

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: See the attached Pinellas County inspection report form for additional information

F	ACL	LIT	E.L. Trevena Inc.	Per_ID: 2786	DISTRICT:	
			Company Yard		Southwest	
A	ADDRESS: 900 126 th Avenue				CONTACT: Roger Calabrose	
	Largo, FL 33773			Phone No: 727-526-2884		
4	PM(S No		PERMIT NO.:	EXPIRATION DATE:	
			. 001	7775371-001-AG	11/21/11	
	EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION: Asphalt/Concrete Crusher: 325 tons/hour Kolberg-Pioneer crusher, Model FT4240 CC, seria no. 407018, with a 340 HP Cummins, Model AWL-9 engine					
IN	SPI	ECT	ION DATE:	ARMS INSPECTION TYPE:	COMPLIANCE STATUS:	
	5/24	4/07		INS2 or INS	\square IN \square MNC \square SNC	
	Ту	pe o	f Inspection: 🛛 Initial	Re-inspection Compla	int Drive-by Quarterly	
1		D		A. General Review:		
1			nit File Review		$\begin{array}{c c} & & & \\ \hline \\ \hline$	
			-			
		beca	use the Pinellas County Code I	Enforcement Division had issued them a	eir company is not operating the rock crusher citation to ceased operation. Mr. Calabrose e citation with Pinellas County Code Division.	
3	•		ne Authorized Representative numents:	still: <u>Ernie Trevena</u> ?	🛛 Yes 🔲 No	
4. <i>Is</i> the facility contact still: Ernie Trevena? <i>Comments:</i>			-	Trevena?	Yes 🗌 No	
5	•			the notification form [Rule 62-210.300] Kolberg-pioneer, model Ft 4240cc. Rat		
I N	M N C	S N C		B. Specific Conditions		
\boxtimes			the Department by phone prior	to changing location and submit a Facil	ng plant proposing to change location shall notify ity Relocation Notification Form (DEP Form No. llowing relocation [62-210.300(4)(c)5.b.,	
	<i>Comments:</i> This facility \square is or \boxtimes is not a relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant.					
\boxtimes	For all relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants, except those located at mines or quarries and processing only material from onsite natural deposits, and for all stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plants processing dry material, the owner or operator shall have a water suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points [62-210.300(4)(c)5.c., F.A.C.]					
				bes \Box does not operate a water suppress usher was not in operation at the time.	ion system, and the system \square is \boxtimes is not	
\boxtimes			precautions: (i) Unconfined emissions that plant processing dry material s feeder(s), the entrance and exit (ii) Unconfined emissions that	shall be controlled by using a water supplet of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, might be generated by vehicular traffic of	es throughout a nonmetallic mineral processing ression system with spray bars located at the	

I N	M N C	S N C	P. Specific Conditions
11	C	C	B. Specific Conditions work-yards where this nonmetallic mineral processing plant is located;
			[62-210.300(4)(c)5.d., F.A.C.]
			<i>Comments</i> : The rock crusher was not in operation at the time.
			Stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., shall not contain particulate matter in excess of 0.05 grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm) nor exceed 7% opacity, unless the stack emissions are discharged from a wet scrubbing control device. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(i), F.A.C.]
			<i>Comments:</i> An AQD VE test was performed during this site visit; Yes \square , or No \square , or NA \square . The results of the various points were: The rock crusher was not in operation at the time.
\boxtimes			Stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage bin subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., shall not exceed 7% opacity. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(ii), F.A.C.]
			<i>Comments</i> : The facility \Box does \boxtimes does not operate an enclosed storage bin with a baghouse.
			Visible emissions from any grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., shall not exceed 10% opacity; and visible emissions from any crusher without a capture system subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart 60, Subpart OOO, shall not exceed 15% opacity. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(iii), F.A.C.]
			<i>Comments</i> : An AQD VE test was performed during this site visit; Yes \Box , or No \boxtimes , or NA \Box The results of the various points were: The rock crusher was not in operation at the time.
\square			Truck dumping of nonmetallic minerals into any screening operation, feed hopper, or crusher subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., is exempt from the emissions standards of sub-subparagraph 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(vi), F.A.C.]
			<i>Comments:</i> The rock crusher was not in operation at the time.
			The owner or operator shall ensure that wet screening operations and subsequent screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to the next crusher, grinding mill or storage bin and are subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., do not discharge any visible emissions. The owner or operator shall also ensure that screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin in the production line and are subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., do not discharge any visible emissions; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.f., F.A.C.]
			<i>Comments:</i> The rock crusher was not in operation at the time.
\boxtimes			The owner or operator of a nonmetallic mineral processing plant subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., and using a wet scrubber to control emissions shall comply with the monitoring requirements of 40 CFR 60.674, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.g., F.A.C.] §60.674 Monitoring of operations.

Ī

I	M N	S N	
Ν	С	С	B. Specific Conditions
			 The owner or operator of any affected facility subject to the provisions of this subpart which uses a wet scrubber to control emissions shall install, calibrate, maintain and operate the following monitoring devices: (a) A device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber. The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within ±250 pascals ±1 inch water gauge pressure and must be calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. (b) A device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber. The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate and must be calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. (b) A device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber. The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate and must be calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. <i>Comments: The facility</i> does does not operate a wet scrubber.
			The owner or operator of any existing facility shall demonstrate compliance with the emission standards of sub- subparagraph 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C., within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form and shall demonstrate renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification form submittal date. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.] Comments: The test for renewal should be completed within 60 days prior to 1/9/00
			 The owner or operator shall meet all applicable reporting and recordkeeping requirements of Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and 40 CFR 60.676, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.i, F.A.C.] (a) Each owner or operator seeking to comply with \$60.670(d) shall submit to the Administrator the following information about the existing facility being replaced and the replacement piece of equipment. (1) For a crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, bagging operation, or enclosed truck or railcar loading station: (i) The rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and (ii) The rated capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment. (2) For a screening operation: (i) The total surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation being replaced and (ii) The total surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation. (3) For a conveyor belt: (4) For a storage bin: (i) The width of the replacement conveyor belt. (4) For a storage bin: (i) The rated capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins. (c) During the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, and daily thereafter, the owner or operator shall record the measurements of both the change in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate. (d) After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, the owner or operator shall submit sentanual reports to the Administrator of occurrences when the measuremined during the most recent performance test. (e) The reports required under paragraph (d) shall be postmarked within 30 days following end of the second and fourth cleandar quarters. (f) The owner or operator of any affected facility shall submit written reports of the results of all performance tests conducted to demonstrate compliance with § 60.67

	Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants, Subpart OOO– General Permits			
	Μ			
I N	N C	N C	B. Specific Conditions	
			 (h) The subpart A requirement under §60.7(a)(2) for notification of the anticipated date of initial startup of an affected facility shall be waived for owners or operators of affected facilities regulated under this subpart. (i) A notification of the actual date of initial startup of each affected facility shall be submitted to the Administrator. (1) For a combination of affected facilities in a production line that begin actual initial startup on the same day, a single notification of startup may be submitted by the owner or operator to the Administrator. The notification shall be postmarked within 15 days after such date and shall include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial number of the equipment, if available. (2) For portable aggregate processing plants, the notification of the actual date of initial startup shall include both the home office and the current address or location of the portable plant. (j) The requirements of this section remain in force until and unless the Agency, in delegating enforcement authority to a State under section 111(c) of the Act, approves reporting requirements or an alternative means of compliance surveillance adopted by such States. In that event, affected facilities within the State will be relieved of the obligation to comply with the reporting requirements of this section, provided that they comply with requirements established by the State. 	
			•	
			The owner or operator of a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant using an air general permit may operate a stationary concrete batching plant using an air general permit at the same location provided all nonmetallic mineral processing plant units operate under a single nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permit, all concrete batching plant units operate under a single concrete batching plant air general permit, and the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units and would not be a Title V source; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.j., F.A.C.]	
			<i>Comments:</i> The crushing operation is located \boxtimes independently or \square with a stationary concrete batch plant. The operations \square are \boxtimes are not considered a Title V source. (See below)	
\boxtimes			The owner or operator of a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant using an air general permit may operate, or allow the operation of, one or more relocatable concrete batching plants using individual air general permits at the same location as the nonmetallic mineral processing plant provided the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units and would not be a Title V source; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.k., F.A.C.]	
			operations 🗌 are 🖾 are not considered a Title V source. (See below)	
			The owner or operator of multiple relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permits may operate more than one such plant at the same location provided the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units, the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants is less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year, the material processed is less than 10 million tons per calendar year, and the fuel oil sulfur content does not exceed 0.5%, by weight. The owner or operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing plants shall maintain a log book to account for fuel consumption and material processed on a monthly basis. Fuel supplier certifications shall be maintained to account for the sulfur content of the fuel being burned; and [62-210.300(4)(c)5.1., F.A.C.]	
			Comments: The facility \Box does \boxtimes does not operate multiple nonmetallic mineral processing plants using an individual air general permit. Records are required, \Box yes \boxtimes no. If required, records reviewed fromn/a ton/a The maximum 12 month totals of _n/a gallons/ year of fuel andn/a tons/year of material processed. % Sulfurn/a	
			If a relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant is used to perform a routine function of a facility subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt plant, it shall not operate under the authority of an air general permit. In such case, the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) must provide for operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit. If a relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant is used at a regularly permitted facility for a non-routine activity, such as destruction of a building, it may do so under the authority of its air general permit. In either case, the resultant facility shall not be a Title V source. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.m., F.A.C.]	

I N	M N C	S N C	B. Specific Conditions
			<i>Comments:</i> The crushing operation \Box is \boxtimes is not operating at a regularly permitted facility, for the purposes of \boxtimes a routine function \Box non-routine activity ().
I N	M N C	S N C	C. Selected General Conditions and Procedures
\boxtimes			Administrative Corrections. Within 30 days of any changes requiring corrections to information contained in the notification form, the owner or operator shall notify the Department in writing. Such changes shall include: a. Any change in the name of the authorized representative or facility address or phone number; or b. Any other similar minor administrative change at the facility or emissions unit. [62-210.300(4)(d)3., F.A.C.] Comments: Not applicable at this time.
			Equipment Changes. In case of the installation of new process equipment, alteration of existing process equipment without replacement, or the replacement of existing process equipment with equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form, the owner or operator shall submit a new and complete general permit notification form with the appropriate fee pursuant to Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C., to the appropriate Department of Environmental Protection district office or local air pollution control program office to which the Department has delegated its permitting authority. [62-210.300(4)(d)3., F.A.C.]
			<i>Comments</i> : The facility had no changes at the time.
			 If, for any reason, the owner or operator of any facility operating under an air general permit pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4)(a), F.A.C., does not comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the permit, the permittee shall immediately provide the Department with the following information: A description of and cause of noncompliance; and The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or, if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance. The permittee shall be responsible for any and all damages which may result. [62-210.300(4)(e)13., F.A.C.] <i>Comments: Mr.</i> Calabrose <i>stated the emission unit had not had any upsets condition</i>.
			 Valid Permit Throughout the term of the general permit: a. The facility operates no emissions units other than a unit described in an air general permit and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of Rule 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C.; b. The facility is not a Title V source as defined in Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C. [62-210.300(4)(c), F.A.C. <i>Comments: The permit expires on 11/21/2011. A new notification form is required to be submitted at least thirty (30) days prior to 10/21/20011.</i>

	M	S			
I N	N C	N C	C. Selected General Conditions and Procedures		
\boxtimes			A permittee's use of a general permit is limited to five years. No later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the filing of intent to use the general permit, the owner or operator shall submit a new notice of intent which shall contain all current information regarding the facility or emissions unit. Eligibility to use the general permit is not transferable and does not follow a change in ownership of the facility or emissions unit. Prior to any sale, other change of ownership, or permanent shutdown of the facility, the owner or operator is encouraged to notify the Department of the pending action. The owner shall remain liable for corrective actions that may be required as a result of any violations occurring in the time after the sale or legal transfer of the facility or emissions unit, but before a new owner is entitled to use an air general permit. [General Conditions - 62-210.300(4)(e)1., F.A.C.] Comments : The permit expires on 11/21/11. A new notification form is required to be submitted no later than 10/22/11.		
\boxtimes			No person shall circumvent any air pollution control device or allow the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices. [62-210.300(4)(e)12., F.A.C.] Comments: The emission unit is in cold shut down. It was not operating at the time of my visit. This does not apply at this time.		
			D. Other:		
Clo	Closing Conference Yes No				
Other Comments: The Emission unit was inspected and determined to be out of operation at the time of inspection. The company is not operating the rock crusher because the Pinellas County Code Enforcement Division had issued them a citation to ceased operation. Mr. Calabrose stated they could not operate the rock crusher until their Lawyer resolves the citation with Pinellas County Code Division. I took picture of the rock crusher. See attached photo.					
	Inspector(s): Mike Ojo Thomas, Pinellas County, Air Quality Division				
	Signature(s) Date: 7/2/07				
CO	CONTACT LOG?yes, ACCESS?yes_, ARMs?yes				

H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\7775371 001 60686.doc