

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) |
|---|
| RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO: |
| |
| AIRS ID#: 0251242 DATE: <u>10/21/2008</u> ARRIVE: <u>9:00 AM</u> DEPART: <u>10:25 AM</u> |
| FACILITY NAME: MEDLEY BATCH PLANT |
| FACILITY LOCATION: 11405 nw 138 St |
| MEDLEY 33178-3111 |
| OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: EMILIO VEGA PHONE: (305)556-6699 |
| CONTACT NAME: PHONE: |
| ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/4/2006 / 11/4/2011 |
| (effective date) (end date) |
| PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check ☑ only one box) |
| ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE |
| |
| |
| PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) |
| Stack Emissions |
| 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? |
| 2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? Yes No |
| 3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, |
| unless such rate is unachievable in practice? \ Yes \ \ No |
| 4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then |
| skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.) Yes No a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? Yes No |
| b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? |
| 5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate |
| from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector |
| from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes No |

| PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es) | |
|--|-------------------------------|
| Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) | |
| New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? | ☐Yes ☐ No |
| Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? | |
| Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed? | |
| | |
| | |
| PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) | |
| | le 🗌 |
| (check ppropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check Donly one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.) | ing |
| (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.) | ing ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ⊠ No |

| precautions to control unconfined shall include one or more of the following: I yards? |
|--|
| shall include one or more of the following: I yards? |
| shall include one or more of the following: I yards? |
| shall include one or more of the following: I yards? Yes No nemicals when necessary to control Yes No under control of the owner/operator to rne particulate matter? No nitigate wind entrainment of the drop point to the truck? Yes No Yes No |
| A yards? |
| A yards? |
| nemicals when necessary to control |
| winder control of the owner/operator to rine particulate matter? |
| under control of the owner/operator to rne particulate matter? No mitigate wind entrainment of the drop point to the truck? Yes No The drop point to the truck? |
| rne particulate matter? |
| nitigate wind entrainment of |
| the drop point to the truck? Yes No Yes No |
| the drop point to the truck? |
| |
| 300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. |
| 300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. |
| 300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. |
| |
| |
| |
| □Yes ⊠ No |
| |
| at noted on the most |
| Yes No |
| n new and complete |
| e appropriate DEP or |
| |
| — |
| |
| 10/21/2008 |
| 10/21/2000 |
| of Inspection |
| 1 |
| ` |
|) |
| roximate Date of Next Inspection |
| te |

FACILITY'S OPERATIONS MANAGER.

THE VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST STARTED AT 9:46 AM. THE SILO WAS LOADED WITH CEMENT AT 10 PSI. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS WHILE THE VE TEST WAS CONDUCTED.