

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 W. Government Street, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

July 24, 2012

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested trahanmortuary@yahoo.com

Mr. Dennis Trahan, Owner Pensacola Crematory 430 Beverly Parkway Pensacola, Florida 32505

Dear Mr. Trahan:

The purpose of this letter is to advise you of concerns noted during a July 16, 2012, compliance assistance visit at your facility, ID 0330278, and to request your help in resolving them. A copy of the visit report is enclosed.

Department of Environmental Protection personnel note the following concerns:

• Facility personnel were unable to present documentation of a visible emissions test conducted during calendar year 2011.

Rule 62-296.401(5)(h)1., Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), states that the owner or operator of any human crematory unit using an air general permit shall have a performance test conducted for visible emissions no later than 30 days after the unit commences operation, and annually thereafter. Facility personnel were unable to present documentation of a visible emissions test conducted during calendar year 2011.

• Although visible emissions tests conducted on June 7, 2012 demonstrated compliance, results were greater than results obtained in the past.

Rule 62-296.401(5)(e), F.A.C., provides that all human crematory units shall be maintained in proper working order in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications to ensure the integrity and efficiency of the equipment. If a crematory unit contains a defect that affects the integrity or efficiency of the unit, the unit shall be taken out of service. No person shall use or permit the use of that unit until it has been repaired or adjusted. Repair records on all crematory units shall be maintained onsite for at least two years. A written plan with operating procedures for startup, shutdown

Pensacola Crematory, ID 0330278 Page 2 July 24, 2012

and malfunction of each crematory unit shall be maintained and followed during those events. Each unit's burners shall be operated with a proper air-to-fuel ratio. If the unit so allows, the burners' flame characteristics shall be visually checked at least once during each operating shift and adjusted when warranted by the visual checks.

• When requested, facility personnel were unable to present documentation from the manufacturer certifying that containers incinerated at their facility are composed of 0.5 percent or less, by weight, chlorinated plastics.

Rule 62-296.401(5)(d), F.A.C., requires human crematory units to cremate only human or fetal remains with appropriate containers. The containers shall contain no more than 0.5% by weight chlorinated plastics as demonstrated by the manufacturer's data sheet. If containers are incinerated, documentation from the manufacturer certifying that they are composed of 0.5 percent or less by weight chlorinated plastics shall be kept on file at the site for the duration of their use and for at lest two years after their use.

Please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850/595-0662 or jennifer.waltrip@dep.state.fl.us within 15 days of receipt of this letter to arrange a meeting to discuss these matters and ways to improve efforts for maintaining compliance with the facility's air operation permit.

Sincerely,

Rich Bradbon

Rick Bradburn Air Program Administrator

RB/jw/c

Enclosure



HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION <u>TYPE</u> :	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)
AIRS ID#: 0330278 DA	TE: <u>7/16/12</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11:12 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>11:58 AM</u>
FACILITY NAME: PE	NSACOLA CREMATORY-BEV	ERLY PKWY	
FACILITY LOCATION	430 BEVERLY PKWY		
	PENSACOLA 32505		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email:	D REPRESENTATIVE: DENN	NIS TRAHAN PHONE: Mobile:	(850)438-6235
CONTACT NAME: D Email:	ENNIS TRAHAN	PHONE: Mobile:	(850)438-6235
ENTITLEMENT PERI	OD: 10/15/2009 / 10/15/2014 (effective date) (end date)	4	

Facility Section

PART I: INSPECTION CON	$\underline{\mathbf{MPLIANCE} \ STATUS} \ (check \mathbf{\square} \ only one \ box)$	
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFI	CANT Non-COMPLIANCE

PART II: <u>ONSITE INTR</u>	ODUCTORY MEETING	(check 🗹	only one
1. Name(s) of facility repre	esentative(s): Richard Trahan and Dianna Trahan	box for each	question)
Brief Notes:			
2. Is the Authorized Repres If no, who is?:	sentative still DENNIS TRAHAN?	Xes Yes	□No
If different, did the facili 3. Is the facility contact still If no, who is?:	ity provide an administrative update within 30 days? II DENNIS TRAHAN?	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
	ing VE test(s) during today's inspection?		⊠No □No

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 – HumanCrematory-#1prim/2ndarychmbr,temp/opacitymon,LP,150#/hr</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
 a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989? b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the 	Xes Yes	No
 secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit? 2. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007? 3. Date of last inspection: 11/19/10 	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
 4. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing 		⊠No □No
operation? N/A d. Date of last VE test: 6/7/12	Yes	No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?If no, what was the problem (if known)?		□No □No
PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
	—	

1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? Yes a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver? Yes b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? Yes	⊠No No No
	c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average. d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? Yes (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes in any one-ho	ur)
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? Image: Sector during this site visit? a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cadaver? Image: Sector during test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? Image: Sector during test conducted according to EPA Method 9? c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.	⊠No □No
	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? Yes	No
3.	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?	🖾No

If yes, what reason?

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹 box for each	2
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	Yes	⊠No
Downwind odor level detected- Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected-	(1-10)	
2. Continuous Monitoring Systems –		
a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	Xes Yes	□No
b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at ∑ 1,800 ¹ ☐ 1,600 ² degrees was determined?	🛛 Yes	□No

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

c.	Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? 1) All temperature measurements	🛛 Yes	No
	 2) all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	 X Yes X Yes X Yes X Yes X Yes X Yes 	No No No No No No
d.	Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of		
	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	\boxtimes Yes	No
e.	Was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/07$? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	\boxtimes Yes	L.No
	control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	🛛 Yes	No
	(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity exceeds 15% opacity ?	Xes Yes	No
	(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	🛛 Yes	No

PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES

(check ☑ only one box for each question) 1. If the application to construct was BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? Yes ...No b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cremation process begins in the primary chamber? Yes ...No 2. If the application to construct ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ...No b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ...No b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation process begins in the primary chamber? ...No b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation process begins in the primary chamber? ...No

			only one question)
1.	<i>Other than</i> human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	🗌 Yes	⊠No
2.	Do cremation containers contain no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?		□No □No

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check ☑ box for each	
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	🗌 Yes	No
2. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?	🛛 Yes	No
3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	Xes	No
If no, skip a. – b. a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?		⊠No □No

PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)			
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	

Īī

Emissions Unit Section <u>2 – HumanCrematory-#2prim/2ndarychmbr,temp/opacitymon,LP,150#/hr</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989? b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the 	Yes Yes	DNo
 secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit? 2. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007? 3. Date of last inspection: 11/19/10 	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
 4. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing 		⊠No □No
operation? N/A d. Date of last VE test: 6/7/12 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? If no, what was the problem (if known)?		□No □No □No
PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)

1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? Yes a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver? Yes b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? Yes	⊠No □No □No
	 c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average. d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? Yes (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes in any one-hour 	No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? Image: Second conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cadaver? Image: Second conducted conduct	⊠No □No
	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? Yes	No
3.	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?	XNo

If yes, what reason?

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check ☑ box for each	
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected? An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:	🗌 Yes	⊠No
Downwind odor level detected- Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected-	(1-10)	
2. Continuous Monitoring Systems –		
a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	🛛 Yes	No
b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at ⊠ 1,800 ¹ □ 1,600 ² degrees was determined?	🛛 Yes	□No

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

c.	Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?		
	1) All temperature measurements	Yes Yes	No
	 all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations 	Yes	No
	 3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on (1/12/12) 4) Adjustments 	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	∐No ∏No
	5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
d.	Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of	_	
e.	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings Was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/07$? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	∐No ∏No
	(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatica	<u> </u>	
	(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity	Yes	LNo
	exceeds 15% opacity ?	Yes Yes	No
	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	🛛 Yes	No

PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES

		(check \blacksquare box for each c	
1.	 If the application to construct was BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cremat process begins in the primary chamber? 	tion	No
2.	 If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?		□No

PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>			only one question)
1.	<i>Other than</i> human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	Yes	🖾No
2.	Do cremation containers contain no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?	⊠ Yes □ Yes	□No □No

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check ☑ box for each	~
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	Yes	No
 Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?	- Xes	□No □No □No □No

PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)				
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		

Facility Section (continued)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representati associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	s or Yes	⊠No □No
 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	Yes	 ∴.No ∴.No ∴.No ∴.No ∴.No ∴.No

Jennifer Waltrip

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

July 16, 2012

Date of Inspection

June 2013

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: On July 16, 2012, Department personnel conducted a compliance assistance visit at Pensacola Crematory in Escambia County. The Department would like to thank Mr. Richard Trahan and Ms. Dianne Trahan for their assistance during the inspection.

The crematory units were not in operation at the time of the inspection. All records were well maintained and available for review upon request.

The following concerns were noted during the compliance assistance visit:

It appears that a visible emissions test was not conducted during calendar year 2011 for either emissions unit as required. Rule 62-296.401(5)(h)1., Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), states that the owner or operator of any human crematory unit using an air general permit shall have a performance test conducted for visible emissions no later than 30 days after the unit commences operation, and annually thereafter.

A visible emissions test was conducted on June 7, 2012 for calendar year 2012. The test indicated a maximum six-minute opacity of 5% for emissions unit 001 and 5.21% for emissions unit 002. Rule 62-296.401(5)(b)1., F.A.C., states that visible emissions shall not exceed 5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes in any one-hour period.

The 2012 visible emissions tests were in compliance with the opacity standard, however the emissions may be an indication there is an issue with the cremation units. This was discussed with facility personnel and they plan to speak to the test observer and their maintenance company to address any issues and to prevent any possible violations in the future.

The previous inspection report dated November 29, 2012, noted that Rule 62-296.401(5)(d), F.A.C., required documentation to be kept on file indicating that all bags used in the cremation process were acceptable containers for incineration and contained less than 0.5% by weight chlorinated plastics. When requested, facility personnel were unable to present the required documentation. Facility personnel indicated they spoke with the local medical examiners office following the inspection in 2010 and were assured the bags consisted of non-chlorinated plastic and they no longer incinerate bags from the local hospitals. Following the inspection, I was able to obtain Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) from the local medical examiners office, District 1, and confirm that their bags are made of non-chlorinated plastics. The MSDS for their bags have been forwarded to Pensacola Crematory for their files.