NUMBERIAL PROTECTION	
Same Course	
FLORIDA	

NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING PLANTS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 7775363 DA	TE: <u>10/17/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11:30 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>11:46 AM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: CA	AMPBELL EAST LLC					
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 9000 NW 102 Avenue					
	DORAL 33178					
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: sam@downri CONTACT NAME: J. Email: jsimpson@do ENTITLEMENT PERIO	AMES SIMPSON ownrite.com	Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(305)345-4000			
Facility Section						
PART I: INSPECTION	PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check 🗹 only one box)					
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PADT II. ONSITE INT	PODUCTORY MEETINC					
PART II: ONSITE INT 1. Name(s) of facility rep	RODUCTORY MEETING presentative(s):		(check \square only one box for each question)			

	Brief Notes:		
2.	Is the Authorized Representative still SAM LOBUE?	Yes Yes	No
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still JAMES SIMPSON?	Yes Yes	□No □No
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	Yes Yes	⊠No □No

Emissions Unit Section
1-NMMP Plant-crusher(50 t/hr)screen,4 conveyors & diesel RICH

	(check ☑ only one
	box for each question)
 Is the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral <i>{</i>Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomi Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Soc and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllit; (10) Boron, includi and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (1 (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalustie, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] I. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	the majority ite, Granite, Sand and Gravel; (4) Rock Salt; dium Chloride, ing Borax, Kernite, '6) Vermiculite; ' YesNo YesNo YesNo
5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	YesNo
 6. Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	YesNo
 7. Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)? 9. Is the EU located at a common plant or pumice plant with conscient location or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)? 	YesNo
8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	YesNo

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,			
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or			
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operated			
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material			
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processin			
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetter solub buyut suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for numerous of this definition.	ed		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line			
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,	_	••	
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	No
	<i>{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract</i>			
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic			
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface			
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material			
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by			
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
If	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to			
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.			
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?		Yes	No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
13	. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,			
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	No
10				
IJ	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
14	. Initial Tests:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of			
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	_	Yes	D No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	_	Yes	L.No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	=	Yes	L.No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes	No
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	_		_
	initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	No No
	$\{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the number of any particulate matter (BM) emissions from$			
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
	one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?		Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	_	Yes	No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	_	Yes	No
1				_

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
 and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 	Yes	No
19.Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes:		
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20 Deep the EU have a particulate matter continue sustan (conjument including or standard		
20.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	T Yes	□ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	\square Yes	\square No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	\square No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	\square No
	~	

22.1. If the PC is a finited performed on each vent control device VCs are not individually in compliance with emission limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? wear." is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from ane or more affected EUs.] b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscl)? c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity? 23.1s a vet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? c. No If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? weats + 1 inch water gauge pressure.) and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes No (Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer's instructions? Yes No i. Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer is instructions? Yes No	VE Opacity Limits		
individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? No [A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausing from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.] No b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)? Yes No c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity? Yes No 23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? Yes No If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes No (Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer's instructions? Yes No (Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer's instructions? Yes No (Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer's instructions? Yes No (Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer's instructions? Yes No (Note: The monitoring device must be certified	d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	∐ Yes	∐No
individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	_	_
individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? NA (A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUS.] No b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)? Yes No c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity? Yes No 23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? Yes No If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes No {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} Yes No db a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?] Yes	□No
individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	=	=
individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? <i>A</i> "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more diffected EUs.] b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)? c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity? Yes c. Were initial fugitive emissions from the EU? c. Were initial due device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? yes and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? gand b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? and b. a device for the c		Yes	No
individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		_ Yes	∐No
individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Rate:	_	
individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		=	=
 individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? <i>M</i>/A [Yes] No <i>A</i> "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)? [Yes].No c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity? [Yes].No 23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? [Yes] wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? [Yes] wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? [Yes] wet scrubber and the device nanual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? [Yes] wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? [Yes] wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? [Yes] wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? [Yes] wet scrubbing liquid flow rate. Wet wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? [Yes] wet scrubbing liquid flow rate.		Yes	No
 individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	No
 individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	Yes	No
 individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A Yes No <i>{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.</i>] b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)? YesNo c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity? YesNo If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? YesNo {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}	 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? [Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% 	Yes	No
 individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A Yes No {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)? YesNo c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity? YesNo If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's 	{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? □ N/A □ Yes □ No {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)? □ Yes □No c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity? □ Yes □No 23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? □ Yes □No	a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's	∃ Ves	
 individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A Yes No {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)? YesNo 		Yes	No
 individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A Yes No {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from 	b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		
individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
22. If the EU is a bundling enclosing any other regulated EUs and an enclosed EUs are not	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes	🗌 No
22 If the EU is a building analoging any other regulated EUs and all analoged EUs are not	22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		

	VE Opac	ity Limits	
	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%

<u>R</u>]	EASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	 Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? X N/A 	□ Yes	□ No
	If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?		
	 b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to prove the particulate matter from building or work 	Yes Yes	D No No
	of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	Yes	🗌 No
	particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY (check \square only one box for each question) 1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? ----- Yes ...No b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? ------ 🗍 Yes ...No c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? ------ TYes ...No 2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? ------ Yes X..No If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? ----- Yes ...No If YES, what other general permit units or activities?

3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:	
	a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? Yes	No
	b) 23,000 gallons of gasoline? Yes	No
	c) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? Yes	No
	d) 1.3 million gallons of propane? Yes	No
	e) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? Yes	No
() gal diesel/yr + () gal gasoline/yr + () MM SCF nat. gas/yr + () MM gal propane/yr ≤ 1.00 ?	
27	75,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/yr	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption	
	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? Yes	No

G	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹	only one
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	box for each	question)
	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	DNo
2.	Does the owner or operator:	_	_
	a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- 🛛 Yes	No
3	 b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces 		No
5.	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	- 🛛 Yes	No

	CLOCATABLE PLANT The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>)	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
2.	 For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? 	6)]	□No □No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		□No
	 b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes Yes	□No □No

	HANGES dministrative Changes:	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
1.	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent				
	associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?	Yes	XNo		
2.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	Yes	L.No		
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:					
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been				
	a) Installation of any new process equipment?	🗌 Yes	🖾No		
	b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	🗌 Yes	🖾No		
	c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	- 🗌 Yes	🖾No		
	d) A change in ownership?		🖾No		
4.	If the answer to any question $3a d$. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee su	omitted			
	30 days prior to the change?		No		

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

10/17/2012

Date of Inspection

10/2013

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: THE CRUSHER IS LOCATED AT NW 90 STREET AND NW 102 AVENUE IN EL DORAL, MIAMI DADE COUNTY. THE SITE IS A LENNAR HOME CONSTRUCTION PROJECT. THE CRUSHER IS DESIGN TO CRUSH 50 TONS PER HOUR; IT DOES NOT REQUIRE A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST.

REVIEWED By Ray Gordon at 10:48 am, Oct 23, 2012