

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

> Northwest District Office 2353 Jenks Avenue Panama City, Florida 32405-4389

Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

June 1, 2012

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL mpeavy@fairpoint.net

Mr. Marlon Peavy Peavy Funeral Home Omega Crematory 20367 NW Evans Avenue Blountstown, Florida 32424

Dear Mr. Peavy:

On April 19, 2012, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected the Peavy Funeral Home Omega Crematory facility ID 0130010. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact C. Mark Sumner at 850/767-0046, or by email at <u>mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us</u>.

Sincerely,

Clifford D. Wilson III, P.E. Panama City Branch Administrator

CDW/ms

Enclosure

c: Ms. Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Pensacola (<u>mary.beth.curle@dep.state.fl.us</u>) Ms. Carol Melton, FDEP Pensacola (<u>carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us</u>)



HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DI ARMS COMPLA	ISCOVERY (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0130010 DATE: 4/19/2012	ARRIVE: <u>12:52</u>	DEPART: <u>2:21</u>		
FACILITY NAME: PEAVY FUNERAL HOME OME	EGA CREMATORY			
FACILITY LOCATION: 20367 NE Evans Ave				
BLOUNTSTOWN 3	2424			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MA Email: mpeavy@fairpoint.net CONTACT NAME: Marlon Peavy Email: mpeavy@fairpoint.net ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/1/2007 / 6/1/2012 (effective date) (end date)		PHONE: (850)674-2266 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:		
- 	Facility Section			
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check 🗹 only one box))		
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COM	1PLIANCE SIG	NIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING (check only one box for each question)				
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Marlon Peavy</u>		DOX IOF	each question)	
Brief Notes: I met Mr. Peavy and he provided acces	s to the facility and all r	equested records at the time of this	s inspection.	
 Is the Authorized Representative still MARLON PEA If no, who is?: <u>n/a</u> 	AVY?	Xe	es 🗌No	
If different, did the facility provide an administrative 3. Is the facility contact still Marlon Peavy? If no, who is?: <u>n/a</u>	update within 30 days?	N/A 🗌 Ye		
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least	inspection? 15 days in advance?	X Ye		

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 – Human Crematory Incinerator</u>

i			
PA	ART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	 a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989? b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the 	🛛 Yes	No
3.	 b. If yes, were design carculations provided then to communa sufficient volume in the secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
4.	 a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing 		□No □No
	operation? 🖾 N/A	Yes	No
	 d. Date of last VE test: 4/19/2012 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? If no, what was the problem (if known)? n/a 		□No □No
PA	ART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	- 🛛 Yes	□No □No □No
	 c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six minute average. d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		DNo
	 Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	/A ☐ Yes /A ☐ Yes A ☐ Yes ards?	⊠No □No □No □No
	If yes, what reason? n/a	Yes Yes	⊠No
PA	ART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
	Were there any objectionable odors detected?		⊠No
	Continuous Monitoring Systems – Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the		

		secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	🖂 Yes	No
(Application or initial notification: ¹ received on or after 8/20/80; ² received before 8/20/80)	b		🛛 Yes	No

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

c.	Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?		
	1) All temperature measurements	X Yes	s 🗌No
	2) all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements;	—	
	monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	Yes Yes	
	3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on $(2/13/12)$ N/A		
	4) Adjustments	\bowtie Yes	=
	5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	\boxtimes Yes \boxtimes Yes	=
	6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	🛛 Yes	6 LNo
d.	Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of	_	_
	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	Yes Yes	
e.	Was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/07$? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	🛛 Yes	s []No
	(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatica		
	control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	Yes Yes	s []No
	(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity exceeds 15% opacity ?	X Yes	s DNo
	(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in		N0
	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	X Yes	s ПNo

PART IV: <u>SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES</u>	(check ⊻ box for each	5
 If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less that throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F b process begins in the primary chamber? 	efore the cremation	□No
 2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F b process begins in the primary chamber? 	efore the cremation	□No

PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>		(check \blacksquare box for each	2
1.	<i>Other than</i> human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	Yes	🖾No
2.	Do cremation containers contain no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check ☑ box for each	2
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	- 🛛 Yes	No
 Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?	- Xes	□No □No □No
b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?	- 🕅 Yes	No

PART VII: <u>EU INSPECTIO</u>	N COMPLIANCE STATUS (check	\checkmark only one box)
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

Facility Section (continued)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
Administrative Changes:		
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representati associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	s or	⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊡.No

C. Mark Sumner

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Mark Sen

Inspector's Signature

4/19/2012

Date of Inspection

April 2013

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: The air permit for your human crematory will expire on 6/1/2012. A renewal application was received by the Department on 5/24/2012. Mr Marlon Peavy, owner and Mr Bill Arlington of Arlington Environmental were on site at the time of this inspection. Mr. Marlon Peavy and Mr. Travis Peavy were trained to use the crematory equipment on 2/23/2007 by Calvin Wilkerson at AI environmental Consulting Services Inc. A random review of the temperature charts for this facility from 4/16/2012 to 1/4/2011did not reveal any records with insuficent temperatures. The temperature probe was checked and calibrated on 2/13/2012 by Crematory Repair Specialists. The containers used at this crematory are manufactured byStarmark of Florida , and documentation was provided by the manufacturer to certify they contain no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics. Mr Arlington conducted the Method 9 visual emission test for the crematory at the time of this inspection, and a review of the results of this VE test revealed a 0% opacity observed for the duration of the test.