

## **HUMAN CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	•	· · · —		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT N	O: 		
<b>AIRS ID#:</b> 0130010 <b>DA</b>	TE: <u>02/25/2009</u>	ARRIVE: 9:00am	DEPART: <u>11:00a</u>	<u>m</u>	
FACILITY NAME: PE	AVY FUNERAL HOME OME	EGA CREMATORY			
FACILITY LOCATION	<b>N:</b> 20367 NE Evans Ave				
	BLOUNTSTOWN 32	2424			
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	D REPRESENTATIVE: MA	ARLON PEAVY PHON	<b>NE:</b> (850)674-2266		
CONTACT NAME: M	Iarlon Peavy	PHON	<b>NE:</b> (850)674-2266		
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/1/2007 / 6/1/2012 (effective date) (end date)					
IN COMPLIAN	CE MINOR Non-COM	IPLIANCE SIGNIFICA	ANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: TESTING/RE	ECORDKEEPING REOUIRE	EMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, I	F.A.C.		
(check <b>☑</b> appropria					
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropria</li> <li>Were there any ob</li> <li>Was a visible emi</li> </ol>	te box(es))  ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during thi	s site visit according to EPA M	Jethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropria</li> <li>Were there any ob</li> <li>Was a visible emi 62-297, F.A.C.)?-</li> <li>In order to demon</li> </ol>	te box(es))  ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during thi strate individual source complia	as site visit according to EPA M	Jethod 9 (Ref.: Chapterissions test conducted 60	☐ Yes ⊠ No ⊠Yes ☐ No	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropria</li> <li>Were there any ob</li> <li>Was a visible emine 62-297, F.A.C.)?-</li> <li>In order to demone days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401)</li> </ol>	te box(es))  pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during thi strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submiss: (5)(i), F.A.C.)	as site visit according to EPA Mance, was an annual visible emition, and within 60 days prior to	Jethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter issions test conducted 60 o each anniversary date?		
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropria</li> <li>Were there any ob</li> <li>Was a visible emi 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>In order to demon days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401</li> <li>In order to demon completed within a) Carbon Monog</li> </ol>	te box(es))  ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during thi strate individual source complia (5)(i), F.A.C.) strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Notic xide (CO) emissions equal to or	ance, was an annual visible eminion, and within 60 days prior to ance were the remaining applic fication form submission? (Rul	dethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter descriptions test conducted 60 descriptions each anniversary date? descriptions able standards testing de 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) parts per million by	Yes □ No	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropria</li> <li>Were there any ob</li> <li>Was a visible emi 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>In order to demon days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401</li> <li>In order to demon completed within a) Carbon Monor volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test per control of the properties of the prop</li></ol>	te box(es))  pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during thi strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submiss: (5)(i), F.A.C.) strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Noti- xide (CO) emissions equal to or corrected to 7% O <sub>2</sub> on an hour 62-297, F.A.C.)? erformed according to EPA Meters	ance, was an annual visible eminon, and within 60 days prior to ance were the remaining applic fication form submission? (Rul below the requirements of 100 rly average basis and tested accepted 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F	lethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter dissions test conducted 60 o each anniversary date?  able standards testing the 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) o parts per million by cording to EPA Method	<ul><li>Yes □ No</li><li>Yes □ No</li></ul>	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropria</li> <li>Were there any ob</li> <li>Was a visible eminosis 62-297, F.A.C.)?-</li> <li>In order to demondays prior to the Angular (Rule 62-296.401)</li> <li>In order to demondem (Rule 62-296.401)</li> <li>In order to demondem</li></ol>	te box(es))  ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during thi strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submiss: (5)(i), F.A.C.) strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Noti- xide (CO) emissions equal to or corrected to 7% O <sub>2</sub> on an hour 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ance, was an annual visible eminon, and within 60 days prior to ance were the remaining applic fication form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 rly average basis and tested accepted 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, Fequal to or below the requirement o 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according	dethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter dissions test conducted 60 o each anniversary date? dable standards testing to e62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) disparts per million by cording to EPA Method details. F.A.C.)?	<ul><li>Yes □ No</li><li>Yes □ No</li><li>Yes □ No</li><li>Yes □ No</li></ul>	
(check ☑ appropria  1. Were there any ob  2. Was a visible emi 62-297, F.A.C.)?-  3. In order to demon days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401  4. In order to demon completed within a) Carbon Monor volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test pe c) Particulate ma dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter.62-  5. Was all emissions	te box(es))  ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during thi  astrate individual source complia AGP Notification form submiss: (5)(i), F.A.C.) strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or corrected to 7% O <sub>2</sub> on an hour 62-297, F.A.C.)? erformed according to EPA Met tter emissions test with results of c foot (ft³) of flue gas, corrected	ance, was an annual visible eminon, and within 60 days prior to ance were the remaining applic fication form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 rly average basis and tested acceptable (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, Fequal to or below the requirement to 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according	dethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter desissions test conducted 60 of each anniversary date? desissions test conducted 60 of each anniversary date? desissions test conducted 60 of each anniversary date? desistandards testing designed 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) designed parts per million by cording to EPA Method designed for EPA Method 5 designed	Yes No	
(check ☑ appropria  1. Were there any ob  2. Was a visible emi 62-297, F.A.C.)?-  3. In order to demon days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401)  4. In order to demon completed within a) Carbon Monor volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test pe c) Particulate ma dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter.62)  5. Was all emissions capacity? 6. Was CO & PM co  7. Was the Department	te box(es))  ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during thi strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submiss: (5)(i), F.A.C.) strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submission form submission for a complia 1 60 days prior to the AGP Notification for an hour form for a corrected to 7% O <sub>2</sub> on an hour formed according to EPA Meet the remissions test with results of the foot (ft <sup>3</sup> ) of flue gas, corrected -297, F.A.C.)?	ance, was an annual visible eminon, and within 60 days prior to ance were the remaining applic fication form submission? (Ruler below the requirements of 100 rly average basis and tested acceptable of the days prior to the date of the last formal or to the date of the last formal of the single prior to the date of the last formal of the single prior to the date of the last formal of the single prior to the date of the last formal of the single prior to the date of the last formal of the single prior to the date of the last formal of the single prior to the date of the last formal of the single prior to the single prior	Jethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter dissions test conducted 60 o each anniversary date?  able standards testing de 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Disparts per million by cording to EPA Method derived for EPA Method 5  Terrs recommended dentical crematory unit? Compliance test?		

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is there <b>Continuous Emissions Monitoring System</b> (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record	temperatures in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co	
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the re-	
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	cording or such
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	⊠Yes □ No
2) Monitoring device	⊠Yes □ No
3) Performance Testing Measurements	
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	
6) Adjustments	
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	⊠Yes ☐ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one <b>box</b> )	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
3. If constructed <b>BEFORE</b> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ <b>1600°F</b> ?	∐Yes ∐ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	☐Yes ☐ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	☐Yes ☐ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	☐Yes ☐ No
4. If constructed <b>ON</b> or <b>AFTER</b> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence tin	ne
@ 1800° F?	⊠Yes □ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes ☐ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic	
process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes ☐ No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	<b>△105 △110</b>
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	⊠Yes □ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	
	⊠Yes ☐ No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	DVac D M
this location?	∐Yes ⊠ No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	∑Yes ☐ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the du	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	⊠Yes ∐ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR A. New or Modified Process Equipment	RES – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.
<ol> <li>Since the last inspection has there been         <ul> <li>a) installation of any new process equipment?</li> <li>b) alterations to existing process equipment with</li> <li>c) replacement of existing equipment substantial recent notification form?</li> <li>d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62 local program office?</li> </ul> </li> <li>If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent was required, have all operators been retrained to a sequired, has the owner submitted copies of all operators of a</li></ol>	out replacement?
Gerald Sheehan	02/25/2009
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** Mr. Marlon Peavy, the owner, who provided me with requested records and escorted me on my inspection of the crematory, met me upon my arrival at the facility. Mr. Luis Lloréns, President of Environmental Consulting Services, arrived at the facility around 9:30 am to conduct the facilities annual Visible Emissions (VE) test. I stayed and witnessed the VE testing. I did not observe any visible emissions during the test nor were any objectionable odors detected.

Documentation was available that indicated that appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5% (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics are used during the cremation.