

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	e 🗌
<ol> <li>(check  appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary  ; 2) a relocatable  ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check  only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)</li></ol>	ing
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	ing ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)			
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))			
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)  1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant tak emissions by:  a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and y  1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, sto  2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-s emissions?  3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to  4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wir particulate matter from stock piles?	ards, which shall include one or more of the folloock piles, and yards?		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – I A. New or Modified Process Equipment	Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.		
Since the last inspection has there been     a) installation of any new process equipment?     b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?			
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?		Yes ⊠ No	
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or			
local program office?		]Yes □ No	
Ilka Bundy	2/7/08		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	2/7/09		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection		
COMMENTS: Original bags are in the baghouse. Uncontrolled emissions were escaping from the black plastic corrageted piping.			

**COMMENTS:** Original bags are in the baghouse. Uncontrolled emissions were escaping from the black plastic corrageted piping. A tear in the piping caused cement dust to escape into the atmosphere. The test was stopped for repairs. The black pipe was cut and reattached. The test restarted at 11:18 AM. The observed opacity for the baghouse was zero percent. The silo loading rate was 32.33 tph.