

<u>HUMAN CREMATORY</u> COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST



IN	INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)					
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
FACILITY: Richard E. Sorensen Funeral Home, Inc.			DISTRICT:			
DB	SA/Site Name: Gee & Sorensen Fu	Southwest				
ADDRESS: 3180 30th. Avenue North			CONTACT PHONE:			
	St. Petersburg, FL	727-323-5111				
ARMS NO:		PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 4/5/17			
	1030516 001	1030516-002-AG	Renewal Date: 3/6/17 Test Date: 6/26/00)		
EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION: Human Crematory: B&L Systems, Model Phoenix II-1. Must operate at 1,600 degrees F. in the secondary chamber. Nominal batch weight of 300 pounds. Larger weights require special operating procedures.						
INS	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check □ only one box)				
1	2/23/13		☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Compliance; ☐ Significant Non-Compliance			
	_	PART I: General Review:				
1.	Permit File Review		∑Yes [No		
2.	Introduction and Entry		⊠Yes [No		
	Comments: This emission unit was inspected to determine the annual compliance status. I met with the crematory manager, Mr. Eric Drews and the owner, Richard Sorenson for the inspection of the facility and emission unit.					
3.	Is the Authorized Representative st	ill: Richard Sorensen?	⊠Yes [No		
	Comments: Mr. Sorensen stills the Authorized Representative for the facility.					
	The e-mail address is: admin@geeandsorensen.com					
4.						
	Comments: Mr. Sorenson stills the facility contact. The e-mail address is: admin@geeadsorensen.com					
5.						
		STING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296. 40 x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would in				
Compliance Demonstration [62-296.401(5)(h), F.A.C.] 1. New Facility / New Process Equipment— Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes No						
2.	Existing Facilities Was an annual visible emissions compliance test conducted on each crematory unit for each calendar year: Yes No					
	Test Reports Does the submitted visible emission test(s) demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity, sixminute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes in any one-hour period? [62-296.401(5)(b)1., F.A.C.]					
2.	Was the test conducted with the unit ope	rating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cada	ver? [62-296.401(5)(g)] 🛛 Yes	☐ No		
3.	Was the department notified at least 15 days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.C.] ⊠ Yes □ No			\square No		
4.	Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? $[62-297.310(8)(b)$ \square Yes \square No			☐ No		

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296. 401(5), F.A.C. (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
5.	Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C]		□ No	
6.	Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9?			
	 a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the opacity standard? 	· 🗌 Yes	☐ No	
7.	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?	· 🗌 Yes	⊠ No	
	PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS			
	(check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance))		
1.	Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	- Yes	⊠ No	
2.	a) Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	- \(\times \) Yes	 No 	
	 a) Date range for records reviewed: From:12-26-12 To: _12-23-13	- 🛭 Yes	☐ No	
3.	Was this crematory unit application to construct: [62-296.401(5)(c), F.A.C.] (check only one □ box) a) □ <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #4 and skip #5) b) □ <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #4 and continue on to #5)			
4.	If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F? b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	- Yes		
5.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1800° F? b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600° F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600° F before the cremation	_	□ No□ No	

2 of 3 Revised 05/08

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (sheek appropriate bay(se) if a sheded bay is sheeked this would indicate page appropriate bay(se)					
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) process begins in the primary chamber?					
process begins in the primary chamber:	ĭ les ☐ No				
6. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies, as demonstrated by MSD sheet?[62-296.401(5)(d), F.A.C.]	∑ Yes □ No				
 a) If the answer to question 6 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of their use and for at least two years after their use? b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at this location? 					
PART IV: Equipment Maintenance					
(check ☐ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
Equipment Maintenance: – [62-296.401(5)(e), F.A.C.]					
[02 256:101(0)(0),1.1.10.]					
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	Yes ☐ No				
2. Are there maintenance/repair/adjustment records kept onsite for at least 2 years?	Yes ☐ No				
3. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?					
4. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	Yes ☐ No				
If yes go to $a(b-b)$					
a) Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift?b) Was the flame adjusted when necessary?	$\begin{array}{c c} X & Yes & \square & No \\ X & Xes & \square & No \end{array}$				
PART V: Special Conditions And Procedures					
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]					
Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?	⊠ Yes □ No				
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration?	Yes No				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership - [62-210.310 (2)(b)2, F.A.C]					
C Since the last registration form submittal has there been					
a) Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes ⊠ No				
<u>Noncompliance Notice:</u> - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]					
1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit? \[\sum Yes \[\infty No					
If the answer is <u>Yes</u> , proceed to a) and b). a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department?	Yes No				

3 of 3 Revised 05/08

 A description of and cause of noncompliance? Dates and times of noncompliance; or if not corrected, the and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recu 	anticipated time noncompliance is expected to continue
PART VI:	Comments
An AQD VE test was not performed because the emission unit was	not in operation. Reviewed temperature charts for the months of
12-26-12 through 12/23/13 indicated emission unit in compliance v	with temperature. Certifying documentation from the
manufacturer that cremation containers are composed of 0.5% or l	less by weight chlorinated plastic was kept onsite. The emission
unit was calibrated on 9-20-12. See attached calibration data shee	ets.
Exit Interview: During the closing conference, I informed Mr. Ric	chard Sorensen, facility appears to be in compliance at this
time.	
Mike Ojo Thomas	12-23-13
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection
Inspector's Signature H:\USERS\WPDOCS\Airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030516	Approximate Date of Next Inspection 001 88162.doc

4 of 3 Revised 05/08