

<u>HUMAN CREMATORY</u> COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST



IN	INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)					
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
FA	CILITY: Richard E. Sorensen Funera	al Home, Inc.	DISTRICT:			
DB	A/Site Name: Gee & Sorensen Fu	Southwest				
ΑI	DDRESS: 3180 30th. Avenue No.	orth	CONTACT PHON	E:		
	St. Petersburg, FL		727-323-5111			
AR	RMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 5/10/2012			
	1030516 001	1030516-002-AG	Renewal Date: Test Date:	4/10/2012 8/9/2000		
EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION: Human Crematory: B&L Systems, Model Phoenix II-1. Must operate at 1,600 degrees F. in the secondary chamber. Nominal batch weight of 300 pounds. Larger weights require special operating procedures.						
INS	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	eck \(\text{only one box} \)			
1	0/6/11		iance; Significant	Non-Compliance		
		PART I: General Review:				
1.	Permit File Review			⊠Yes □ No		
2. Introduction and Entry Comments: This emission unit was inspected to determine the annual compliance status. I met with the crematory manager, Mr. Eric Drew's for the inspection of the facility and emission unit. □ No						
3.	Is the Authorized Representative still Richard Sorensen?					
	Comments: Mr. Sorensen stills the Aut	thorized Representative for the facility.				
4.	<u></u> .					
	Comments: Mr. Sorenson stills the fac	·				
5.	5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Yes No [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]					
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296. 401(5), F.A.C. (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)						
Compliance Demonstration [62-296.401(5)(h), F.A.C.]						
<u>201</u> 1.	☐ New Facility / ☐ New Process Equi		oeration?			
2.	Existing Facilities Was an annual visible emissions complied	ance test conducted on each crematory unit for	each calendar year: -	⊠ Yes □ No		
	minute average, except that visible emiss six minutes in any one-hour period? [62]	(s) demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent of sions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allow 2-296.401(5)(b)1., F.A.C.]	ed for up to	⊠ Yes □ No		
2.		rating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cada	_			
3.	Was the department notified at least 15 d	days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.	C.]	⊠ Yes □ No		
4.	Was the required test report filed with th	ne department as soon as practical, but no later	than 15 days after the			
	test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b) -	e department as soon as practical, our no taler				

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296. $401(5)$, F.A.C. (check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
6. 7.			☐ No
	PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS		
	(check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance	.)	
1.	Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	- Yes	⊠ No
2.	 Continuous Monitoring System – [62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.] a) Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?		□ No
	 All temperature measurements	🖂 Yes [□ No
	3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on <u>(3/16/11)</u>	⊠ Yes [⊠ Yes [No No No No
	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings8) Are all the above records available for at least 2 years?	🛛 Yes [No No
	 a) Date range for records reviewed: From:11-1-10 To:10-6-11 9) Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If yes, go to 9) a) - c) a) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatically 		□ No
	control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?		
	c) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?		
	1 – Application received on or after 8/30/89; 2 – Application received prior to 8/30/89		
3.	Was this crematory unit application to construct: [62-296.401(5)(c), F.A.C.] (check only one □ box) a) □ <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #4 and skip #5) b) ☑ <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #4 and continue on to #5)		
4.	If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F? b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F		
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?		
5.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1800° F?	⊠ Yes 「	□ No
	b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?		
	c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than $1600^{\circ}F$ before the cremation process begins in the primary chamber?	- X Yes	☐ No

2 of 3 Revised 05/08

	PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS					
(check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)						
6. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies, as demonstrated by MSD sheet?	Yes No					
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of their use and for at least two years after their use?	Yes No					
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at this location?	Yes No					
PART IV: <u>Equipment Maintenance</u> (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)						
Equipment Maintenance: – [62-296.401(5)(e), F.A.C.]						
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	Yes No					
2. Are there maintenance/repair/adjustment records kept onsite for at least 2 years?	Yes No					
3. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?	Yes No					
4. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	Yes No					
a) Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift?b) Was the flame adjusted when necessary?	Yes No					
DADT V. Consist Conditions And Duncadones						
PART V: Special Conditions And Procedures (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)						
	· 🗌 Yes 🗵 No					
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Permit Effective Period — [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]	Yes No					
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Permit Effective Period — [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]	· ☐ Yes ☒ No · ☐ Yes ☐ No · ☒ Yes ☐ No					
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Permit Effective Period — [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?	· ☐ Yes ☒ No · ☐ Yes ☐ No · ☒ Yes ☐ No					
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility	Yes ⋈ No					
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Permit Effective Period − [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?	Yes					
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Permit Effective Period − [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?	Yes					

3 of 3 Revised 05/08

and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent re	currence of the noncompliance? Yes No
PART VI	: Comments
An AQD VE test was not performed because the emission unit w	vas not in operation.
Reviewed temperature charts for the months of 11/01/2010 throad	ugh 10/06/2011 indicated emission unit in compliance.
Certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they are o	composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastic was kept
onsite. The emission unit was calibrated on 3-16-11. See attack	hed calibration data sheets.
Exit Interview: During the closing conference, I informed M	Ar. Richard Sorensen, facility appears to be in compliance at
this time.	
Mike Ojo Thomas	8/10/11
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030516 001	80687.doc

4 of 3 Revised 05/08