

<u>HUMAN CREMATORY</u> COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST



INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)						
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
FACILITY: Richard E. Sorensen Funera	DISTRICT:					
DBA/Site Name: Gee & Sorensen Fur	Southwest					
ADDRESS: 3180 30th. Avenue No.	CONTACT PHONE	:				
St. Petersburg, FL	727-323-5111					
ARMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date:	5/10/2012			
1030516 001	1030516-002-AG	Renewal Date:	4/10/2012			
Test Date: 7/15/2000						
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Human Crematory: B&L Systems, Model Phoenix II-1. Must operate at 1,600 degrees F. in the secondary chamber. Nominal batch weight of 300 pounds. Larger weights require special operating procedures.						
INSPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	eck 🗆 only one box)				
10/11/10		iance; Significant N	on-Compliance			
	PART I: General Review:					
1. Permit File Review			∑Yes ☐ No			
2. Introduction and Entry			⊠Yes □ No			
Comments: This emission unit was inspected to determine the annual compliance status. I met with the crematory owner, Mr. Richard Sorensen for the inspection of the facility and emission unit.						
-	3. Is the Authorized Representative still Richard Sorensen?					
Comments: Mr. Sorensen stills the Aut	·					
<u> </u>	4. Is the facility contact still Richard Sorensen?					
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did th	e facility provide an administrative update v	vithin 30 days?	Yes No			
[62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]						
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296. 401(5), F.A.C. (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)						
Compliance Demonstration [62-296.401(5)(h), F.A.C.] 1. New Facility / New Process Equipment— Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes No						
2. \boxtimes Existing Facilities Was an annual visible emissions compliance test conducted on each crematory unit for each calendar year: \boxtimes Yes \square No						
minute average, except that visible emiss six minutes in any one-hour period? [62]	s) demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent of sions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allow 2-296.401(5)(b)1., F.A.C.]	ed for up to	🛭 Yes 🔲 No			
2. Was the test conducted with the unit open	rating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cada	ver? [62-296.401(5)(g)]	Yes ☐ No			
3. Was the department notified at least 15 d	lays prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.	C.]	⊠ Yes □ No			
	e department as soon as practical, but no later		⊠ Yes □ No			
5. Was the facility visible emissions test(s)	conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-29	97.401(9)(c), F.A.C]	⊠ Yes □ No			

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296. 401(5), F.A.C. (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
6. 7.			□ No	
	PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS			
	(check \Box appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)		
1.	Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	- Yes	⊠ No	
2.	 a) Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?		□ No	
	recording of such measurements, maintenance, reports and records? 1) All temperature measurements		□ No	
	3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on <u>(6/14/10</u>)	⊠ Yes [⊠ Yes [No No No No	
	7) Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings8) Are all the above records available for at least 2 years?	\(\times \text{Yes} \) \(\text{Yes} \)	No No	
	 a) Date range for records reviewed: From:8-1-09 To:10-11-10 9) Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If yes, go to 9) a) - c) a) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatically 	- X Yes	□ No	
	control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement? b) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity		☐ No	
	exceeds 15% opacity?			
	1 – Application received on or after 8/30/89; 2 – Application received prior to 8/30/89	<u></u>		
3.	Was this crematory unit application to construct: [62-296.401(5)(c), F.A.C.] (check only one □ box) a) □ <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #4 and skip #5) b) ☑ <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #4 and continue on to #5)			
4.	If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F?b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F			
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?			
5.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1800° F?	⊠ Yes 「	□ No	
	b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?			
	c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than $1600^{\circ}F$ before the cremation process begins in the primary chamber?	- X Yes [☐ No	

2 of 3 Revised 05/08

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (sheek = appropriate heavise) if a sheeked heavis checked, this would indicate paragraphic pass.					
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
6. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies, as demonstrated by MSD sheet?					
their use and for at least two years after their use?b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at					
this location?	Yes No				
PART IV: Equipment Maintenance					
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
Equipment Maintenance: – [62-296.401(5)(e), F.A.C.]					
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	⊠ Yes □ No				
2. Are there maintenance/repair/adjustment records kept onsite for at least 2 years?	⊠ Yes □ No				
3. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup,					
shutdown and malfunction?	\(\sum \text{ Yes } \text{No} \) \(\sum \text{ Yes } \text{ No} \)				
If yes go to a) – b) a) Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift?b) Was the flame adjusted when necessary?	⊠ Yes ☐ No ⊠ Yes ☐ No				
PART V: Special Conditions And Procedures					
)				
PART V: Special Conditions And Procedures (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]	□ Yes ⊠ No				
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]					
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Permit Effective Period — [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?					
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Permit Effective Period — [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?					
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Permit Effective Period — [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?					
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Permit Effective Period − [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? 2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration? New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership - [62-210.310(2)(b)2, F.A.C] C Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment?					

3 of 3 Revised 05/08

and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent re	ecurrence of the noncompliance? Yes No
PART V	I: Comments
An AQD VE test was not performed because the emission unit	
Reviewed temperature charts for the months of 8/01/2009 throu	gh 10/11/2010 indicated emission unit in compliance.
Certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they are	composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastic was kept
onsite. The emission unit was calibrated on 6-14-10. See attac	hed calibration data sheets.
Exit Interview: During the closing conference, I informed I	Mr. Richard Sorensen, facility appears to be in compliance at
this time.	
Mike Ojo Thomas	10/11/10
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection
Inspector's Signature H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030516 001	Approximate Date of Next Inspection 75671.doc

4 of 3 Revised 05/08