

<u>HUMAN CREMATORY</u> COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST



INSPECTION TYPE : ANNUAL (INS	1, INS2) 🛛 COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)		
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
FACILITY: Richard E. Sorensen Funer	DISTRICT:			
DBA/Site Name: Gee & Sorensen Fu	Southwest			
ADDRESS: 3180 30th. Avenue N	orth	CONTACT PHONE:		
St. Petersburg, FL	727-323-5111			
ARMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 5/10/2012		
1030516 001	1030516-002-AG	Renewal Date: 4/10/2012 Test Date: 7/15/2000		
EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION: Human Crematory: B&L Systems, Model Phoenix II-1. Must operate at 1,600 degrees F. in the secondary chamber. Nominal batch weight of 300 pounds. Larger weights require special operating procedures.				
INSPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	neck only one box)		
10-07-09		iance; Significant Non-Compliance		
	PART I: General Review:			
1. Permit File Review		∑Yes ☐ No		
2. Introduction and Entry		⊠Yes □ No		
Comments: This emission unit was inspected to determine the annual compliance status. I met with the crematory manager, Mr. Sorensen for the inspection of the facility and emission unit.				
3. Is the Authorized Representative sti Comments:	<u> </u>			
4. Is the facility contact still Richard Sorensen? Comments: No Comments: No				
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]				
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296. 401(5), F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
Compliance Demonstration [62-296.401(5)(h), F.A.C.] 1. New Facility / New Process Equipment— Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes No 2. Xisting Facilities				
Was an annual visible emissions compliance test conducted on each crematory unit for each calendar year: Yes No Test Reports 1. Does the submitted visible emission test(s) demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity, sixminute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes in any one-hour period? [62-296.401(5)(b)1., F.A.C.]				
2. Was the test conducted with the unit ope	erating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cada	ver? [62-296.401(5)(g)] 🛚 Yes 🔲 No		
3. Was the department notified at least 15	days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.	C.] 🖂 Yes 🔲 No		
4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b) \square Yes \square No				

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296. 401(5), F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
5.	Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C]		
6.	Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9?a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity ofn/a% for the highest six minute average. b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the opacity standard?		
/.	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?	L Yes K No	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
1.	Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	🗌 Yes 🔀 No	
2.	a) Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? b) Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at □ 1,800¹ □ 1,600² degrees was determined? c) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the recording of such measurements, maintenance, reports and records? 1) All temperature measurements	Yes No Yes No	
3.	1 – Application received on or after 8/30/89; 2 – Application received prior to 8/30/89 Was this crematory unit application to construct: [62-296.401(5)(c), F.A.C.] (check only one box) a) \[\begin{align*}		
4.	If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F? b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes No	
5.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1800° F?		

2 of 3 Revised 05/08

TO THE PARTY OF TH	
PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)
process begins in the primary chamber?	
process begins in the primary chamber:	Mies III wo
6. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies, as demonstrated by MSD sheet?[62-296.401(5)(d), F.A.C.]	🛛 Yes 🔲 No
a) If the answer to question 6 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of their use and for at least two years after their use?	🛛 Yes 🔲 No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at this location?	Yes No
PART IV: Equipment Maintenance	
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncomplianc	e)
Equipment Maintenance: – [62-296.401(5)(e), F.A.C.]	
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	
2. Are there maintenance/repair/adjustment records kept onsite for at least 2 years?	X Yes No
3. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?	\bigsim Yes \bigsim No
4. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	X Yes No
If yes go to a) – b) a) Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift?	🛛 Yes 🔲 No
b) Was the flame adjusted when necessary?	⊠ Yes □ No
PART V: Special Conditions And Procedures	
PART V: Special Conditions And Procedures (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance	e)
	□ Yes ⊠ No
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Permit Effective Period — [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Permit Effective Period — [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?	

3 of 3 Revised 05/08

	if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to nt recurrence of the noncompliance? Yes No	
PART VI: Comments		
No Visible Emissions test was performed. The unit was not in operation.		
Reviewed temperature charts for the months of 10/1/2008 thro	ough 10/07/2009.	
Exit Interview: During the closing conference, I informed	Mr. Sorensen, facility appears to be in compliance at	
this time.		
Mike Ojo Thomas	10-07-09	
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030516 001 70709.doc		

4 of 3 Revised 05/08