Gene	
8 SA 1	
FLORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS RE-INSPECTION (FU				
AIRS ID#: 7775354 DATE: <u>08-31-07</u>	ARRIVE: <u>0730 hrs</u> DEPART:			
FACILITY NAME: MARATHON SITE				
FACILITY LOCATION: 210 Lindhal Str	eet			
MARATHON	33050			
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: MICHAEL GILI	BERT PHONE: (305)481-7010			
CONTACT NAME:	PHONE:			
REMITTANCE YEAR: 2007 E	ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/3/2006 / 9/3/2011 (effective date) (end date)			
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING RE</u> (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	<u>QUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.			
 62-297, F.A.C.)?	nring this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter \			

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)
<u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? □Yes □ No b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? □Yes □ No
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xest Complexity Complexity
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ⊠Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check 🗹	appropriate	box(es))
----------	-------------	----------

1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (*Please check I only one box.*)

2.	If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processi plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>),) <i>below</i> .)	ng □Yes 🛛 No
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	
	b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	
	calendar year?	Yes No
	c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	Yes No
	d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	Yes No
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
		Yes No
		Yes No
	c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	∐Yes ∐ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? \square Yes \square No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions?XYes No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? XYes No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles?
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes X No

Barbara Nevins

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

08-31-07

Date of Inspection

Barbara Nevins

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: The VE test this day was on the concrete sile. The flyash silo was not filled or tested. Another VE test date will be scheduled for the flyash silo when it is next filled. There were no visible emissions from the concrete silo observed during a 39 minute test. The test duration extended to the end of the off-loading of concrete from the tanker to the silo. The VE observer was Ivan Mixon.