CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/		(CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0310540 DA	TE: <u>4/12/2013</u>	ARRIVE:	 -	DEPART:		
FACILITY NAME: JA	CKSONVILLE PLANT					
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 3570 MASTERS R	λD.				
	JACKSONVILLE	32226-2320				
Email: CONTACT NAME: JA Email:		J BAKER	Mobile:	904)714-0041 904)714-1717		
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	OD: 10/2/2011 / 10/2/ (effective date) (end da	/2016 (ate)				
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INT	RODUCTORY MEETING	G			(check 🗹	only one
	presentative(s): James Mcki				ox for each o	•
Brief Notes: <u>Walked thru plant with Mr. Mckinney</u> , facility was in operation at time of inspection. Area was clean and well maintained.						
2. Is the Authorized Repu If no, who is?:	resentative still J BAKER?				🛛 Yes	No
	cility provide an administrat still JAMES MCKINNEY? -				☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
	cting VE test(s) during today ance authority notified at lea				Yes Yes	⊠No □No

Emissions Unit Section

1-CCB Plant-split silo(cement) compart.#1,w/individ.baghouse subject to Reasonable Pr	<u>ecautions</u>	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection:		
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	🛛 Yes	No No
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes	🗌 No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions $< 20\%$ opacity? \Box N/A	Yes	🗌 No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.				
	<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>				
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ed			
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 		🗌 No		
	 control emissions?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
	a) a reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
	particulate matter from stock piles?	· 🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes Yes	□ No □ No		

Emissions Unit Section

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection:	
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? \Box Yes	
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	∐ No
c. what caused the problem(s) (if known):	
	ł
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
$\Gamma A K \Gamma \Pi (\Gamma \Gamma \Gamma E L D U D S E K V A \Gamma I U I V S - Kult U 2 - 270.414(2), \Gamma A C.$	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined	
emissions by:	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Xes	🗌 No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to	
control emissions? Xes	No No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
particulate matter from stock piles? Xes	□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	
b. If tested: ()% opacity. were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? [Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	L No
c. what caused the problem(s) (if known):	

Emissions Unit Section <u>3 – CCB Plant-silo (flyash) w/dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? ∑ Yes If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ∑ Yes b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ∑ N/A ∑ Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	□ No
control emissions? X Yes 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	No No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	
particulate matter? X Yes	🗌 No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? Xes	🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	D No No

Emissions Unit Section <u>4 – CCB Plant-truck loadout w/dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection: 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? □ Yes If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? □ Yes b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? □ N/A □ Yes	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
 <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u> 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? Yes 	□ No □ No
 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? Yes 	□ No □ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	D No No

Emissions Unit Section

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfin emissions by:	ied	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		□ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to		
control emissions?	- 🖂 res	No No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	\bigtriangledown v _{os}	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	—	
particulate matter from stock piles?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	🗌 No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	—	—
 a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? 	· [] Yes	∐ No □ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	•
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
 Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? 		🛛 No
 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gen permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 		🛛 No
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? 	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
$\frac{0 \text{ gal diesel/yr} + 0 \text{ gal gasoline/yr} + 0 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr} + 0 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}}{275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr} 23,000 \text{ gal gasoline/yr}} \frac{44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr}}{44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr}} \frac{1.3 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}}{1.3 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}} \frac{1.3 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}}{1.3 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}}$	ane/yr	
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consu for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🛛 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
2. Does the owner or operator:a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	_	No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ☑ box for each	•
1. Is the facility: stationary]; relocatable]; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following question 2.</i>)		
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900] 	(6)]	No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?	6)]	∐ No □ No
 If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation pe and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usag If YES, what was the purpose? 		🗌 No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	D No No
CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each	
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent		
 associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions u operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	🗌 Yes	⊠ No □ No
 Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee su 30 days prior to the change?	bmitted	D No

William Coffman

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

4/12/13

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Area is paved. Electric motors are used to power all equipment.Last VE's were done on 4/3/13 on all emission units.It was windy during inspection and I observed no problems with fugitive particulate matter.