NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING PLANTS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/I ARMS COMPL		Y (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 7771302 DA	TE: <u>12/23/2013</u>	ARRIVE: <u>0845</u>		DEPART: <u>1140</u>	
FACILITY NAME: CE	EM ENTERPRISES-DOUBLE D	CRUSHERS			
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 12608 AVALON RD				
	WINTER GARDEN 3	34787-9743			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: NORMA MEEKS Email: estimating@cemddc.com CONTACT NAME: ERIC MEEKS Email: ericm@cemddc.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/11/2011 / 8/11/2016 (effective date) (end date)		Mobile:	(407)884-9148 (407)238-2328 (407)509-3409		
Facility Section					

PART I: INSPECTION CON	1PLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only	v one box)
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

PA	RT II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check 🗹	only one
1.	Name(s) of facility representative(s): Kyle Branum, Site Manager	box for each question)	
	Brief Notes:		
2.	Is the Authorized Representative still NORMA MEEKS?	Xes Yes	□No
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still ERIC MEEKS?	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	Yes Yes	□No ⊠No

Emissions Unit Section <u>2 –NMMP Plant-secondary crusher, 133x152, 400 T/hr</u>

1.	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock S (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.} Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite, ulite; ∑ Yes	□No □No
3.	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	🛛 Yes	No
4. If :	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes Yes	No
	opart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. The answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
6	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	🖾No
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	🖾No
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ?	Yes	🖾No
0.	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes	🖾No

bel	the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or It conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, nding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	XNo
{N wh at wii of	ote: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or tich separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operated all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material th sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processin the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wette lely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}	d 8	_
do	the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line wnstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, nding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	🖾No
an mi mo thr	ote: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract y nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic neral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface pisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material cough screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by t suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
subpa	wer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to rt OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11.W	hen was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? <u>02/25/2005</u>		
12. W	as the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	🖾No
If ans	wer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13.Do	bes the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
If ans	wer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
	itial Tests:		
a.	Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
	If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes Yes	□No □No
	If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	No
	the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	dividually in compliance with emissions limits: Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the	Yes	🗌 No
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
c. '	If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	YesYesYes	□No □No □No

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: Conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; Uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
 a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} 	Yes	No
 and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 	Yes	No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		□No
If yes:		
 a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	DNo
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	XNo
 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No □No □No

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? X N/A	Yes	🗌 No
$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the }$		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}		_
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	L.No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	L.No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	🖾No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		_
instructions?	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	_	
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? (Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within 15%	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
of design scrubbing inquid now rate.}		
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? <u>12/21/2012</u>		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	🛛 Yes	No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	🖾No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes Yes	No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	🛛 Yes	No
Rate: <u>200 TPH</u>		—
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}\%$ for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes Yes	No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Xes Yes	No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Xes	No
Rate: <u>200TPH</u>		_
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}\%$ for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	🛛 Yes	No

	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majori is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.} Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	ty e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	□No
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	🖾 Yes	No
3.	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	🛛 Yes	□No □No
	It the EO one of the following?		011
su	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
If	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	🖾No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	🖾No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ?	□ Yes	🖂No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes	⊠No

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	🖾No
<i>Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or</i>		
which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	ed	
at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi		
of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wett		
solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.		
10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	🖾No
<i>Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract</i>		
any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 2/25/2005		
11. When was the EO last constructed, mounted, of reconstructed: $\frac{2/25/2005}{2}$		
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	🖾No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,		
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	T Yes	No
Hoods, raits, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		NO
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
If answer to Question 15 is 100° ship the following questions and go an eery to Question 17		
14. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? \neg N/A	Yes	No No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	TYes	No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	No
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	_	_
initial startup of the EU? N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the } A$		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}	— ••	
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	L.No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	L.No
d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	L.No

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	- 🗌 Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250	—	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and th	a	
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?		
		LNo
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
If yes:		
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following		
questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,		
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		🖾No
Hoods, rans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		 NO
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	—	
initial startup of the EU? 🕅 N/A	Yes	∐ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	No
		_

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? XA	Yes	□ No
$\{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the $	—	_
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}	—	—
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	L.No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	∐No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	🖾No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	e	
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? <u>12/21/2012</u>	□ ••	
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	∐ Yes	LNo
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	\bigvee Yes	L.No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	⊠No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Xes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Yes	No
Rate: <u>200 TPH</u>	_	_
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes Yes	No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the <i>unspector</i> for this unit during this site visit.	\boxtimes Yes	\square No
Rate: <u>200 TPH</u>		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Xes	No

Emissions Unit Section <u>4 – NMMP Plant-crusher power unit, 535 Hp, diesel RICE</u>

Ic	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processir	ng Plante?	
15	<i>[Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock S (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlow and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.]</i>	ty e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	🛛 Yes	□No □No □No ⊠No
su If	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
6. 7.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	No
Í	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes	L.No

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,			
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	□No
	<i>{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or</i>		103	
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	ed		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material			
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi			
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wett	ed		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line			
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		17	
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	L.No
	<i>(Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract</i>			
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic			
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface			
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material			
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by			
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
- 0				
	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to			
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.			
IJ	ine answer to all of the six Questions 3-10 above is No then continue to Question 11.			
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?		Yes	No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,			
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
14	.Initial Tests:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of			
	initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	🗌 No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?		Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?		Yes	□No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes	No
15	.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
15	individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of			
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	\square	Yes	No No
Í	$\{A ``vent'' is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the and the second second$			_
Í	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
Í	one or more affected EUs.}			_
Í	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?		Yes	No
Í	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Ц	Yes	No
Í	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	\Box	Yes	No

4 –NMMP	Plant-crusher	power unit,	535 Hp,	diesel RICE

I	16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
	If yes, the owner operator: Conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; Uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); Conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; Uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); Conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; Conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests u		
I			
I	17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,	_	_
I	were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? 🔲 N/A	Yes	∐ No
I			
I	18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
I	If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
I	a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
I	scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
I			
I	instructions?	- 🗌 Yes	L.No
I	{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
I	pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
I	and		
I	b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	3	
I	device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?		No
I	{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
I			
I	of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
I			
I	19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	L.No
I	If yes:		
I	a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
I	the discharge spray nozzles?		
I	b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
I	corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
I			
I	c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,	—	
I	recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	L.No
I			
I	If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following		
I	questions and go directly to Question 24.		
I			
I	20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
I	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	\Box Vac	No
I	noous, rans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device.		
l	01 T-141-1 (D-14-1		
l	21. Initial Tests:		
l	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	_	_
l	initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	No No
l	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
l	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	TYes	No
l	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	No
	a in jes, was are spacify less than of equal to 7% spacify.		

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A		
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the	Yes	∐ No
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}		
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	\square Yes	\square No
e. were mittal fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than of equal to 7% opacity ?		
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's	_	_
instructions?	Yes	L.No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and th		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	<u> </u>	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? <u>12/21/2012</u>		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	Xes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	\square No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	🖾No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Yes	No
Rate:		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes	No
	—	
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	∐No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Yes	LNo
Rate:		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	LNo
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes	LNo

Is the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Benonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock S	y e, Gravel; Salt;	
(5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlor and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	Kernite,	
 Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)? Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983? Is the EU one of the following? crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} 	 ∑ Yes ∑ Yes ∑ Yes ∑ Yes 	□No □No □No □No
If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? 6. Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)? 7. Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	 Yes Yes Yes Yes 	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		⊠No
 10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	⊠No
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 2/25/2005		
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	⊠No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? [Yes	No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
 14. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? [c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)? [d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity? [Yes Yes Yes Yes	□ No □No □No □No
 15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A [A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs. 	Yes	🗌 No
one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? [c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? [d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity? [Yes Yes Yes	No No No

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
 a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		No
 and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
		NO
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,		
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	🖾No
21 Initial Testa		
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? X N/A	Yes	□ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	\square Yes	\square No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	=	\square No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	∐ Yes □ Yes	\square No
u. If yes, was the opacity less than of equal to 770 opacity?		

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? \square N/A	Yes	∐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}	□ • •	
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		L.No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	LNo
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	🖾No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	ie	
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? <u>12/21/2012</u>	_	_
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	Yes	L.No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	🖂 Yes	L.No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	⊠No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Yes	No
Rate: 200 TPH		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Xes Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Xes Yes	No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Xes Yes	No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Yes	No
Rate: 200 TPH		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Xes Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Xes Yes	No

Ш

Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processir		
{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority			
	is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit		
	Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and		
	(3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock		
	(5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo		
	and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic		
	(17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.]	ume,	
	(17) Micu, (10) Kyunite, including Mudulusite, Sulimanite, Topaz, and Dumoriterite.j		
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Xes	No
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	Xes	No
3.	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	🛛 Yes	No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	🛛 Yes	No
	\Box crusher, \Box grinding mill, \Box bucket elevator, \boxtimes belt conveyor, \Box bagging operation,		
	storage bin, cenclosed truck loading station cenclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
If	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
If	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
э.	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	🖾No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	🖂No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		<u> </u>
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ?	Yes	🖾No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or	_	_
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	🖾No
1			

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	⊠No
 10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	⊠No
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 2/25/2005		
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	🖾No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
 14. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	 Yes Yes Yes Yes 	□ No □No □No □No
 15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from 	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	YesYesYes	□No □No □No

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: Conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; Uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ıg	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
 a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	No
 and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 	Yes	No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	□ Yes	□No
If yes:		
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,	_	_
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,		
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	🖾No
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	□ ••	—
initial startup of the EU? 🕅 N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	L.No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	No

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? X N/A	Yes	🗌 No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the	—	_
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}		
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	🖾No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and th	_	_
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?	Yes	L.No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? <u>12/21/2012</u>		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	Yes	No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:	∇ Vac	
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years? ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Yes Yes	∐No ⊠No
II. has the EO been tested yet within the current calendar year?	les	⊠ N0
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	\boxtimes Yes	\square No
Rate: 200 TPH		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	X Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Xes Yes	No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Xes Yes	No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	🛛 Yes	No
Rate: 200 TPH		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	🛛 Yes	No

Ш

Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processi		
	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit	ty	
	is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit		
	Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and		
	(3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock A		
	(5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlor		
	and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax,		
	and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	uiite;	
	(17) Mica, (16) Kyanile, including Andalusile, Sulimanile, Topaz, and Dumoriterile.		
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Xes Yes	No
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	🖾 Yes	No
3.	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	🛛 Yes	No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	🛛 Yes	No
	🗌 crusher, 🗌 grinding mill, 🗌 bucket elevator, 🔀 belt conveyor, 🗌 bagging operation,		
	\Box storage bin, \Box enclosed truck loading station \Box enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
lf	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
~.	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	🖾No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	🖾No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	_	_
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ?	Yes	🖾No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or	□ . .	N
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	🖾No
lí –			

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	lg	⊠No
 10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	⊠No
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 2/25/2005		
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	🖾No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
 14. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No □No □No
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
 individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A <i>{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the</i> <i>purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from</i> 	Yes	🗌 No
one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	 Yes Yes Yes 	□No □No □No

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ıg	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	DNo
 a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	No
 and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 	Yes	No
19.Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	XNo
 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? ∑ N/A b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? X N/A	Yes	No No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}		
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	🖾No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and th	e	
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate. }		
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? <u>12/21/2012</u>	—	—
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	∐ Yes	No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	\bigvee Yes	L.No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	⊠No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Yes	No
Rate: 200 TPH		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Xes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}\%$ for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Xes	No
	_	_
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	🛛 Yes	No
Rate: <u>200 TPH</u>		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}\%$ for the highest six-minute average.		_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	🛛 Yes	No

Emissions Unit Section <u>8 – NMMP Plant-generator diesel RICE, 275 Hp</u>

		(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	question)
1. 2. 3.	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processi {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majori is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Grani Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chla and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermid (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	ng Plants? ty te, l Gravel; Salt; oride, f Kernite, culite; Yes ∑ Yes ∑ Yes ∑ Yes	□No □No □No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	☐ Yes	⊠No
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	🗌 Yes	No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	🗌 Yes	No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes	No

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	No
<i>{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or</i>		NO
	ad	
which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate		
at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi		
of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is weth	tea	
solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10 Is the EU a screening operation, busket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		
grinding min or storage on in the production line?	∐ Yes	No
<i>Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract</i>		
any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
wei suppression systems is not considered to be saturated for purposes of this definition.		
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 - 10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,		
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	T Yes	No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	D No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	No
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? \square N/A	Yes	∐ No
$\{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the$		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}	□ . .	
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	L.No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	L.No
d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	LNo

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250	- 🗌 Yes	LNo
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	TYes	□No
If yes:		
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,		
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
21 Initial Tasta		
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	T Yes	□ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	\square Yes	\square No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	\square Yes	\square No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	\square No
, ,, ,,,,		

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	□ No
(A "matter is any on ming through which there is machanically induced air flow for the		
$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the } A$		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}		
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	T Yes	No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	T Yes	No
c. Were mittal fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than of equal to 7% opacity?		NO
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	T Yes	□No
		NO
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	e	_
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?	Yes	L.No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
of design seruboling inquid now rate.		
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? <u>12/21/2012</u>		
• •		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	Yes Yes	LNo
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	T Yes	□No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	☐ Yes	🖂No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Yes	No
		140
Rate: b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	V ac	
	∐ Yes	LNo
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	—	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes	LNo
	_	
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	∐No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Yes	No
Rate:		—
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		
	V	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	∐ Yes	LNo
VE Opacity Limits		
VE Opicity Linus		

VE Opacity Limits			
	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%

<u>R</u>]	EASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
1.	 Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? N/A If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring? 	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
	 b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A 	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes □ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No □ No □ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY (check \square only one box for each question) 1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? ------ Yes ...No ...No c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? ------ Xes ...No 2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? ------ Yes X..No If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? ----- Yes X..No If YES, what other general permit units or activities?

3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:	
	a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? Xes	No
	b) 23,000 gallons of gasoline? Xes	No
	c) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? Xestimation Standard Cubic feet on Network Comparison of the standard cubic feet on the standard cub	No
	d) 1.3 million gallons of propane? 🛛 Yes	No
	e) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? Xes	No
<u>(</u> 27) gal diesel/yr + () gal gasoline/yr + () MM SCF nat. gas/yr + () MM gal propane/yr ≤ 1.00 ? 75,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/yr	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	No

(GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹	only one
1	. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	box for each	question)
	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	🖂No
2	 a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition? 	- 🛛 Yes	— —No
	 b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? 		No
3	B. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		
	permit and Department rules?	- 🛛 Yes	No

	ELOCATABLE PLANT The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
2.	 For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	5)]	□No □No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		□No
	 b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period? 	Yes Yes	□No □No

	HANGES Iministrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?	nits or	XNo
	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	Yes	LNo
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes Yes Yes omitted	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No

Ilka Bundy

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

December 23, 2013

Date of Inspection

December 31, 2014

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: The 15 day notification was waived by Ilka Bundy, Environmental Team Leader. No objectionable odors were noted. Facility appears to be in compliance with the air general permit at this time. The diesel RICE engines will be tested for visible emission before permit renewal.