CHINERTAL PROTECTION	
our Van	
FLORIDA	

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



# COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)	]			
AIRS ID#: 0251224 DATE: <u>4/26/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>12:50 PM</u> DEPAI	RT: <u>2:42 PM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: SUPERBLOCK PLANT					
FACILITY LOCATION: 11500 NW 134TH S	ST				
MEDLEY 33178-3	3123				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: A Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/11/2009 / 5/11/2 (effective date) (end date)	Mobile: PHONE: Mobile: 2014	-3250			
Facility Section					
PART I:       INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS         IN COMPLIANCE       MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE					
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-CO	OMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COM	MPLIANCE			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING         1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): IVAN         Brief Notes:	<u>1</u>	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
<ul> <li>2. Is the Authorized Representative still AGUSTIN M If no, who is?: <u>FRANK PEREZ</u></li> <li>If different, did the facility provide an administration</li> <li>3. Is the facility contact still ?</li></ul>	ve update within 30 days?	☐ Yes ⊠No ⊠ Yes ☐No			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today If yes, was the compliance authority notified at leas	st 15 days in advance?	⊠ Yes □No ⊠ Yes □No			

<u>1-One (1) Dust Collector on top of West Cement silo subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: 2/28/2011</li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?</li></ol>	- 🗍 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>	box for each o	question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir emissions by:</li> </ol>	ned	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ul>		🗌 No
<ul> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li> <li>2) approval of particulate motion from reads and other proval energy under control of the</li> </ul>	Xes	🗌 No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	Vas	□ No
<ul> <li>4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?</li> </ul>	_	
<ul><li>b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?</li></ul>		□ No
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>		□ No □ No

2 – CCB Plant-block mfg, split South silo(cement), w/silotop DC subject to Reasonable Precautions				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each d			
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>2/28/2011</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?</li></ol>	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned			
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the <ol> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li> <li>removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	- Xes	□ No □ No		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		□ No □ No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No		

-1.1. D

ъ

<u>5 – CCB Plant-block mig,split North sho(cement), w/shotop DC subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>					
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each				
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>2/28/2011</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?</li></ol>	🛛 Yes 🗌 Yes	□ No ⊠ No □ No			
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)			

1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:

CCD DL ... 4 LI

~

	<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>		🗌 No
	control emissions?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🖂 Yes	□ No
	<ul><li>4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?</li></ul>	_	No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Xes Yes	🗌 No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes Yes	□ No □ No

4 – CCB Plant-central dust collector and Batcher dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)		
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>2/28/2011</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?</li></ol>	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned			
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the <ol> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	- Xes	□ No		
<ul> <li>3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?</li> <li>4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?</li></ul>		□ No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?		D No		
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	D No No		

## **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? Yes b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? Yes c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? Yes	1	No No No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? Yes If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	1 🔀	No
	<ul> <li>b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? Yes If YES, what other general permit units or activities?</li> </ul>	1	Чo
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? Yes b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? Yes c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? Yes d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? Yes e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? Yes	ז ז ז	No No No No
	gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yrMM gal propane/yr1.00?275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal propane/yr1.3 MM gal propane/yr		
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? Yes	] ]	No

## GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			
	devices?		Yes	🖂 No
2.	Does the owner or operator:			
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all			_
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No
3.				
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general			
	permit and Department rules?	$\bowtie$	Yes	No No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:         1. Is the facility: stationary [X]; relocatable []; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable []         concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the follow)	(check 🗹 box for each ing question 2.	question)
<ul> <li>2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?</li></ul>	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? -</li> <li>c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900</li> </ul>	D(6)] 	No No
<ul> <li>to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation</li> <li>3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: <ul> <li>a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e., there is no repeated usay If YES, what was the purpose?</li> <li>b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?</li></ul></li></ul>	ermit, ge)? 🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> </ul>
CHANGES	(check ☑ box for each	2

Ac	dministrative Changes:	,	
1.	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not		
	associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or		
	operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 🗌 Yes	🛛 No	
2.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? 🗌 Yes	No No	
Ne	ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
	a. Installation of any new process equipment? Yes	🛛 No	
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	🛛 No	
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? [] Yes	🛛 No	
	d. A change in ownership? Yes	🛛 No	
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted	_	
	30 days prior to the change? Yes	No No	

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

4/26/2011

Date of Inspection

4/2013

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** WILLIAM ARLINGTON PERFORMED FIVE (5) VISIBLE EMISSIONS (VE) TESTS ON THREE SILOS' DUST COLLECTOR, ONE CENTRAL DUST COLLECTOR AND THE WEIGH HOPPER. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURING THE TESTS. THE SILOS WERE LOADED WITH CEMENT APPROXIMATELY 8-12 PSI. THE HOUSEKEEPING IS GOOD.

I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUND THE FACILITY.

**REVIEWED** By Ray Gordon at 11:31 am, May 31, 2012