	WHENTIAL PROTECTION
W OCH	1 Came
FL	ORIDA

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
AIRS ID#: 0251224 DATE: <u>3/16/2009</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:42 AM</u> DEPART: <u>1:15 PM</u>						
FACILITY NAME: SUPERBLOCK						
FACILITY LOCATION: 11500 NW 134 Street						
MEDLEY 33178-3123						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: AUGUSTIN MIJARES PHONE: (305)262-3250						
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:						
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/31/2006 / 7/31/2011 (effective date) (end date)						
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))						
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?						

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)
 <u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xest Complexity Action form Submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ∑Yes ∑No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check \mathbf{M} appropriate box(es))
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ⊠only one box.</i>)
2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing

2.	If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> ,	
	then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
	b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	
	calendar year?	Yes No
	c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	Yes No
	d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	Yes No
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
	a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	∐Yes ∐ No
	b) material processed on a monthly basis?	Yes No
	c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	□Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	ol
	emissions?	- 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operate	or to
	re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
	particulate matter from stock piles?	- 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
)) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>

1. Since the last inspection has there been		
a) installation of any new process equipment?	Xes	No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?		No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
recent notification form?	Yes	No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program office?	Xes	No

FRANK DELGADO

b

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

3/16/2009

Date of Inspection

3/2010

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: ON MARCH 16, 2009 AT 10:42 A.M., I VISITED THIS FACILITY TO WITNESS A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST AND TO CONDUCT THE ANNUAL COMPLIANCE INSPECTION. ON SITE I MET AGUSTIN MIJARES, THE FACILITY'S PLANT MANAGER, ANTONIO MAZPULE, THE FACILITY'S ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT AND RYAN PETERSON, THE VISIBLE EMISSIONS OBSERVER FROM ARLINGTON ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES. MR. PETERSON CONDUCTED A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST ON THE CEMENT SILO. THE VE TEST STARTED AT 12:28 PM. THE SILO WAS LOADED WITH CEMENT AT 10 PSI. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURING THE TEST.

TWO SILOS WITH DUST COLLECTORS HAVE BEEN INSTALLED SINCE LAST COMPLIANCE INSPECTION. ONE CONTROL PARTICULATE EMISSIONS FROM THE BLOCK MANUFACTURING AND THE OTHER ONE IS FOR WHITE CEMENT. MR. MIJARES IS PLANNING TO MANUFACTURE PAVERS. A GP NOTIFICATION HAS BEEN SUBMITTED TO TALLAHASSEE.

THE PLANT'S WEIGH HOPPER DUST COLLECTOR AND THE TWO NEW SILOS WILL BE TESTED AT A LATER DATE. THE HOUSEKEEPING IS GOOD.

I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE PARTICULATES AROUND THE FACILITY.