

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY	7 (CI) ∐	
1	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0251224 DAT	E: <u>4/16/2007</u>	ARRIVE: 11:39AM	DEPART: <u>12:25 PM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: SUPERBLOCK				
FACILITY LOCATION:	11500 NW 134 Street			
	MEDLEY 33178			
RESPONSIBLE OFFICE	AL: AUGUSTIN MIJARES	PHONE:	(305)262-3250	
CONTACT NAME:		PHONE:		
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITL!	EMENT PERIOD: 7/31/2006 (effective date)	/ 7/31/2011 (end date)	
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box)				
IN COMPLIANC	E MINOR Non-COMP	PLIANCE SIGNIFICANT	`Non-COMPLIANCE	
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
Stack Emissions				
1. Were visible emissi 62-297, F.A.C.)?	1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? Yes No				
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,				
3. During visible emis at a rate that is repr	esentative of the normal silo loa	ector exhaust points was the loadinating rate, or at least at the minim	ng of the silo conducted num 25 tons per hour rate,	
3. During visible emis at a rate that is reprunless such rate is u4. Are emissions from to this question is "	esentative of the normal silo loa unachievable in practice? n the weigh hopper (batcher) op Yes", then continue on to quest	ector exhaust points was the loading rate, or at least at the minimeration controlled by the silo dust tions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer	ng of the silo conducted num 25 tons per hour rate,	
3. During visible emis at a rate that is reprunless such rate is the variety of variety of the variety of variety	esentative of the normal silo loa unachievable in practice?	ector exhaust points was the loading rate, or at least at the minimeration controlled by the silo dust tions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer	ng of the silo conducted num 25 tons per hour rate,	

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	⊠Yes □ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)				
(check d appropriate box(es))				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)				
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined				
emissions by:				
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:				
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? \Big Yes \Big No				
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control				
emissions?				
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to				
	eas to reduce airborne particulate matter? \big Yes \big No			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of				
	\(\text{\text{Yes}}\) \(\text{No}\)			
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mit				
5) 400 to François and 1	1840 Chinasiana at 111 La 11			
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURE	\overline{ES} – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.			
A. New or Modified Process Equipment				
1. Since the last inspection has there been				
a) installation of any new process equipment?				
b) alterations to existing process equipment without	out replacement? Yes No			
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially				
recent notification form?	Yes No			
d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the				
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or				
local program office?				
FRANK DELGADO	4/16/07			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
,	4			
	4/2008			
	11 2 000			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
hispector o digitatore	Tippioximue Dute of None Impection			
COMMENTS: THE CEMENT SILO DUST COLLECTOR				
I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURIN				

TEST WAS COMPLETED AT 12:15 PM.