

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Jeff Kottkamp Lt. Governor

Charlie Crist

Governor

Secretary

Michael W. Sole

Northwest District Branch Office 630-3 Capital Circle Northeast Tallahassee, Florida 32301

May 7, 2008

Kola Alabi Carver Cleaners 1215 North Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32303-6148

Dear Mr. Alabi:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The program identification number for this facility is 0730107. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

Based on the inspection results, the Tallahassee Branch Office reported a status of <u>In-Compliance</u> for your facility. Note that your compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

In order to complete the yearly inspection process, the enclosed "Annual Compliance Certification Form" will have to be submitted. Please fill out your relevant sections of the form, including the Annual Reporting Period. The start date of April 1, 2007 can be used. Please check your compliance status box, sign and date the bottom of the form, and return or mail the form back to this office. You may keep the yellow copy for your records.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. You are encouraged to review the enclosed inspection checklist and its comments section. If you have any questions, your local contact is Tracy White at (850) 488-3704 or tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Cliff McKeown Engineer Specialist

CM/tw Enclosures

cc: Rick Bradburn, FDEP, Pensacola

Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Erica Mitchell, FDEP



PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 0730107 DA	ΓΕ: <u>4/29/2008</u>	ARRIVE: 1:30 DEPART:
FACILITY NAME: CA	RVER CLEANERS	
FACILITY LOCATION	: 1215 North Monroe St	t s
	TALLAHASSEE 32	2303-6148
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	D REPRESENTATIVE: KO	OLA ALABI PHONE: (850)224-5002
CONTACT NAME:		PHONE:
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	DD: 7/2/2006 / 7/2/2011 (effective date) (end date)	
PART I: INSPECTION IN COMPLIANC	COMPLIANCE STATUS (E MINOR Non-COM	,
	LASSIFICATION - Rule 62- y one box in A)	-213.300 FAC
A. 1. Existing small dry-to-dry only transfer only, both types, x < (constructed by	y, x < 140 gal/yr x < 200 gal/yr < 140 gal/yr	2. New small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 140 gal/yr transfer only, x < 200 gal/yr both types, x < 140 gal/yr (constructed on or after 12/9/91)
transfer only,	y, $140 \le x \le 2,100$ gal/yr $200 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr $0 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr	4. New large area source dry-to-dry only, $140 \le x \le 2,100$ gal/yr transfer only, $200 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr both types, $140 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr (constructed on or after $12/9/91$)
5. Ineligible for drop store/out facility exceed	of business/petroleum	
B. The total quantity cleaning facility w		ourchased within the preceding 12 months by this dry

PA	ART III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-213.300 FAC	(check ☑ only one box
Do	oes the responsible official of the dry cleaning facility:	for each question)
1.	Store perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	⊠Yes □No □N/A
2.	Examine the containers for leakage?	⊠Yes □ No □ N/A
3.	Close and secure machine doors except during loading/unloading?	⊠ Yes □ No
	Drain cartridge filters in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?	⊠Yes □ No □ N/A
	Maintain solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds according to the manufacturer's specifications?	□Yes □ No ☒ N/A
	ART IV: <u>PROCESS VENT CONTROLS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC efer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page <u>1</u> of <u>4</u> , this form)	
	1. If the facility classification is a Existing small area source , no controls are requi	ired. Proceed to Part V.
	2. If the facility classification is a <u>New small area source</u> , the machine should be e condenser. Complete section A. below.	equipped with a refrigerated
	3. If the facility classification is a Existing large area source, the machine should be refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993	ow. Carbon adsorber
! _ 	4. If the facility classification is a New large area source, the machine should be excondenser. Complete both sections A and B below.	quipped with a refrigerated
A.	Has the responsible official of all existing large area & new sources:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
1.	Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	- ⊠Yes □No
2.	Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	- ⊠Yes □No □N/A
3.	Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	- ⊠Yes □No □N/A
4.	Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	Yes No
5.	Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?	- □Yes □No ⊠N/A
6.	Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?	⊠Yes □No

B. Does the responsible official of an existing large or new large area source also: 1. Measure and record the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines on a weekly basis?	
located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines on a weekly basis? Yes No	
2. Measure and record the washer exhaust temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet weekly?	
a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F? Yes No N/A	
3. Measure and record the perc concentration in the exhaust stream weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber? Yes No N/A	
a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm? Yes No N/A	
4. Assure that the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations is at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?	
5. Equip transfer machines (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils? Yes No N/A	
6. Route airflow to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? Yes No N/A	
PART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC (check ☑ only one box for	
Does the responsible official: each question)	
1. Maintain receipts for perc purchased? Yes No	
2. Maintain rolling monthly total of yearly perc consumption? ————————————————————————————————————	ì
3. Maintain leak detection inspection and repair reports for the following:	
a) documentation of leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or; X Yes No N/A	
b) documentation of parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt?	
4. Maintain calibration data? (for applicable direct reading instruments) Yes No N/A	
5. Maintain exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations? Yes No N/A	
6. Maintain a startup/shutdown/malfunction plan? 🖂 Yes 🗌 No	
7. Maintain deviation reports? Yes No XN/A	
a) Problem corrected? Yes No N/A	
8. Maintain a compliance plan, if applicable? Yes No N/A	

PART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC

1. Does the responsible official conduct a weekly (for small sources, bi-weekly) leak

(check ☑ only one box for each question)

2. Does the facility maintain a leak log? X Yes No
3. Does the responsible official check the following areas for leaks? a) Hose connections, fittings, couplings, and valves
4. Which method(s) of detection (is/are) used by the responsible official?
a) Visual examination (condensed solvent on exterior surfaces)
**If using direct-reading instrumentation, is the equipment: ** \begin{align*} N/A \\ 1) Capable of detecting perc vapor concentrations in a range of 0-500 ppm? 1) \begin{align*} Yes & \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box
4/00/0000
Tracy White 4/29/2008
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Inspection
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection Approximate Date of Next Inspection COMMENTS: I met with Kolawole Alabi. Mr. Alabi supplied the 2007 and 2008 drycleaner recordkeeping calendars. Leak and temperature checks appeared to be bi-weekly and were current. PCE receipts were available. I reviewed the 2007 calendar for the month of April, about the time that Mr. Alabi had assumed RO status for the facility. It appeared that the rolling total had been started at zero. I also noted
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection Approximate Date of Next Inspection COMMENTS: I met with Kolawole Alabi. Mr. Alabi supplied the 2007 and 2008 drycleaner recordkeeping calendars. Leak and temperature checks appeared to be bi-weekly and were current. PCE receipts were available. I reviewed the 2007 calendar for the month of April, about the time that Mr. Alabi had assumed RO status for the facility. It appeared that the rolling total had been started at zero. I also noted some math errors in the calculation of the current 2008 total. Mr. Alabi seemed to agree that there was an error. Mr. Alabi was informed that, since he had no records from the previous RO, he probably should have based his starting total on the amount of PCE that was in the machine at the time. He appeared to have records of the machine's contents at that time. I encouraged
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection Approximate Date of Next Inspection COMMENTS: I met with Kolawole Alabi. Mr. Alabi supplied the 2007 and 2008 drycleaner recordkeeping calendars. Leak and temperature checks appeared to be bi-weekly and were current. PCE receipts were available. I reviewed the 2007 calendar for the month of April, about the time that Mr. Alabi had assumed RO status for the facility. It appeared that the rolling total had been started at zero. I also noted some math errors in the calculation of the current 2008 total. Mr. Alabi seemed to agree that there was an error. Mr. Alabi was informed that, since he had no records from the previous RO, he probably should have based his starting total on the amount of PCE that was in the machine at the time. He appeared to have records of the machine's contents at that time. I encouraged him to go back and revise his total, and fax me the most current month back with a corrected total. I observed the machine. It was not in operation at the time of inspection. It was a "RealStar" machine. No leaks were noted. No
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection Approximate Date of Next Inspection COMMENTS: I met with Kolawole Alabi. Mr.Alabi supplied the 2007 and 2008 drycleaner recordkeeping calendars. Leak and temperature checks appeared to be bi-weekly and were current. PCE receipts were available. I reviewed the 2007 calendar for the month of April, about the time that Mr. Alabi had assumed RO status for the facility. It appeared that the rolling total had been started at zero. I also noted some math errors in the calculation of the current 2008 total. Mr. Alabi seemed to agree that there was an error. Mr. Alabi was informed that, since he had no records from the previous RO, he probably should have based his starting total on the amount of PCE that was in the machine at the time. He appeared to have records of the machine's contents at that time. I encouraged him to go back and revise his total, and fax me the most current month back with a corrected total. I observed the machine. It was not in operation at the time of inspection. It was a "RealStar" machine. No leaks were noted. No strong odors. Facility appeared to be clean and well-kept. Waste containers were covered and leak-tight. On May 5, 2008 I received a fax from Mr. Alabi that contained a copy of the April 2008 calendar page. The rolling total appeared to

Also attention needs to be devoted to the correct addition and subtraction of past and current PCE purchases for the PCE rolling total. Errors were found during the inspection. It is recommended that Mr. Alabi double check the calculations to insure the total is as accurate as possible.