

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 0730107 DATE: <u>6/19/2007</u>	ARRIVE: DEPART:
FACILITY NAME: CARVER CLEANERS	
FACILITY LOCATION: 1215 North Monroe St	
TALLAHASSEE 32303	
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: KOLA ALABI	PHONE: (850)224-5002
CONTACT NAME:	PHONE:
REMITTANCE YEAR: 2006 ENTITLES	MENT PERIOD: 7/2/2006 / 7/2/2011 (effective date) (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (chec	ek ☑ only one box)
☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPL	IANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: FACILITY CLASSIFICATION - Rule 62-213	1 300 FAC
(check \square only one box in A)	NOUV FAC
A. 1. Existing small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 140 gal/yr transfer only, x < 200 gal/yr both types, x < 140 gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)	2. New small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 140 gal/yr transfer only, x < 200 gal/yr both types, x < 140 gal/yr (constructed on or after 12/9/91)
3. Existing large area source dry-to-dry only, $140 \le x \le 2{,}100$ gal/yr transfer only, $200 \le x \le 1{,}800$ gal/yr both types, $140 \le x \le 1{,}800$ gal/yr (constructed before $12/9/91$)	4. New large area source dry-to-dry only, $140 \le x \le 2,100$ gal/yr transfer only, $200 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr both types, $140 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr (constructed on or after $12/9/91$)
5. Ineligible for General Permit drop store/out of business/petroleum facility exceeds above limits	
B . The total quantity of perchloroethylene (perc) purch cleaning facility was gallons.	hased within the preceding 12 months by this dry

PA	ART III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC	(check ☑ only one box
Do	es the responsible official of the dry cleaning facility:	for each question)
1.	Store perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	⊠Yes □No □N/A
2.	Examine the containers for leakage?	⊠Yes □ No □ N/A
3.	Close and secure machine doors except during loading/unloading?	⊠ Yes □ No
	Drain cartridge filters in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?	□Yes □ No □ N/A
5.	Maintain solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds according to the manufacturer's specifications?	□Yes □ No □ N/A
	ART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC efer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page 1 of 4, this form)	
	1. If the facility classification is a Existing small area source , no controls are requi	ired. Proceed to Part V.
	2. If the facility classification is a <u>New small area source</u> , the machine should be e condenser. Complete section A. below.	quipped with a refrigerated
	3. If the facility classification is a Existing large area source , the machine should be refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below <i>must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993</i>	
	4. If the facility classification is a <u>New large area source</u> , the machine should be econdenser. Complete both sections A and B below.	quipped with a refrigerated
A.	Has the responsible official of all <u>existing large</u> <u>area & new sources</u> :	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
1.	Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	Yes No
2.	Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	- ∐Yes ∏No ⊠N/A
3.	Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	- ∐Yes ∐No ⊠N/A
4.	Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	- ⊠Yes □No
5.	Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?	- □Yes □No ⊠N/A
6.	Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?	⊠Yes □No

PA	PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS - Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)				
В.	Does the responsible official of an existing large or new large area source also:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
1.	Measure and record the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines on a weekly basis?	⊠Yes □No			
2.	Measure and record the washer exhaust temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet weekly?	- ∐Yes □ No ⊠N/A			
	a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than $20^{\rm o}$ F?	☐Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A			
3.	Measure and record the perc concentration in the exhaust stream weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?	□Yes □ No ⊠ N/A			
	a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?	☐Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A			
4.	Assure that the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations is at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?	□Yes □ No ⊠ N/A			
5.	Equip transfer machines (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?				
6.	Route airflow to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	☐Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A			
PA	ART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC	(check ☑ only one box for			
Do	es the responsible official:	each question)			
1.	Maintain receipts for perc purchased?	Yes No			
2.	Maintain rolling monthly total of yearly perc consumption?	☐ Yes ☒ No			
3.	Maintain leak detection inspection and repair reports for the following:				
	a) documentation of leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or;	Yes No N/A			
	b) documentation of parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt?	☐ Yes ☐ No N/A			
4.	Maintain calibration data? (for applicable direct reading instruments)	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A			
5.	Maintain exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A			
6.	Maintain a startup/shutdown/malfunction plan?	∑ Yes □ No			
7.	Maintain deviation reports?	Yes No No N/A			
	a) Problem corrected?	Yes No No N/A			
8.	Maintain a compliance plan, if applicable?	Yes No N/A			

PART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC

1. Does the responsible official conduct a weekly (for small sources, bi-weekly) leak

(check ☑ only one box for each question)

	∑ Yes ☐ No
2. Does the facility maintain a leak log?	Yes No
3. Does the responsible official check the following areas for leaks? a) Hose connections, fittings, couplings, and valves	
4. Which method(s) of detection (is/are) used by the responsible official?	
a) Visual examination (condensed solvent on exterior surfaces) b) Physical detection (airflow felt through gaskets) c) Odor (noticeable perc odor) d) Use of direct-reading instrumentation (FID/PID/calorimetric tubes) e) Halogen leak detector	- b) ⊠ c) ⊠ d) □**(see below)
**If using direct-reading instrumentation, is the equipment: 1) Capable of detecting perc vapor concentrations in a range of 0-500 ppm? 2) Calibrated against a standard gas prior to and after each use (PID/FID only)? 3) Inspected for leaks and obvious signs of wear on a weekly basis?	- 1) Yes No - 2) Yes No - 3) Yes No - 4) Yes No
Tracy White 6/19/200	07
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspec	ction
Inspector's Signature Approximate 1	Date of Next Inspection
Inspector's Signature Approximate I	Date of Next Inspection
	ed that there was a "change in ownership" the inspector reviewed the Department
COMMENTS: Kola Alabi was on-site. He explained he was the owner of the facility. Mr. Alabi explaine on April 1, 2007. The Tallahassee Branch Office had no record of this change. However,	ed that there was a "change in ownership" the inspector reviewed the Department ."
COMMENTS: Kola Alabi was on-site. He explained he was the owner of the facility. Mr. Alabi explained on April 1, 2007. The Tallahassee Branch Office had no record of this change. However, computer records and located an entry related to "updated company name and RO change."	ed that there was a "change in ownership" the inspector reviewed the Department ." nge in the RO to Mr. Alabi.
COMMENTS: Kola Alabi was on-site. He explained he was the owner of the facility. Mr. Alabi explaine on April 1, 2007. The Tallahassee Branch Office had no record of this change. However, computer records and located an entry related to "updated company name and RO change. A June 21, 2007 fax was received by the Division of Air Resources that described a charmed However, the name that appears on the facility, and on Mr. Alabi's business card, is "1.75"	ed that there was a "change in ownership" the inspector reviewed the Department." nge in the RO to Mr. Alabi. Cleaners." Mr. Alabi was supposed to
COMMENTS: Kola Alabi was on-site. He explained he was the owner of the facility. Mr. Alabi explaine on April 1, 2007. The Tallahassee Branch Office had no record of this change. However, computer records and located an entry related to "updated company name and RO change. A June 21, 2007 fax was received by the Division of Air Resources that described a charmed However, the name that appears on the facility, and on Mr. Alabi's business card, is "1.75 respond by phone for further explanation, but did not follow-up with the inspector. The site name appears to be "1.75 Cleaners," but it appears that the ownership is still under the company of the facility is the company of the facility is appears that the ownership is still under the facility is the facility in the facility in the facility is the facility in the facility in the facility is the facility in the facility in the facility is the facility in the facility in the facility is the facility in the facility in the facility is the facility in the facility in the facility in the facility is the facility in the facility in the facility in the facility is the facility in the facilit	ed that there was a "change in ownership" the inspector reviewed the Department." Inge in the RO to Mr. Alabi. G Cleaners." Mr. Alabi was supposed to er "Carver Cleaners" and the RO is Mr.
COMMENTS: Kola Alabi was on-site. He explained he was the owner of the facility. Mr. Alabi explained on April 1, 2007. The Tallahassee Branch Office had no record of this change. However, computer records and located an entry related to "updated company name and RO change." A June 21, 2007 fax was received by the Division of Air Resources that described a change. However, the name that appears on the facility, and on Mr. Alabi's business card, is "1.75 respond by phone for further explanation, but did not follow-up with the inspector. The site name appears to be "1.75 Cleaners," but it appears that the ownership is still under Alabi. The perc rolling total needs to be re-calculated. It appeared that last year's month of percentage.	ed that there was a "change in ownership" the inspector reviewed the Department." Inge in the RO to Mr. Alabi. G Cleaners." Mr. Alabi was supposed to er "Carver Cleaners" and the RO is Mr.