

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 W. Government Street, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

June 20, 2012

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested jrporter@cemexusa.com

Mr. Jeffrey R. Porter Environmental Manager, Southeast Materials Division CEMEX Construction Materials Florida, LLC 3275 River Road Navarre, Florida 32566

Dear Mr. Porter:

On June 19, 2012, a Department representative with the Air Program conducted a compliance assistance visit at your facility, ID 1131129. A report of the visit is enclosed.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850/595-0662 or e-mail jennifer.waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Carol Melton

Carol Melton Air Compliance Supervisor

CM/jw/c

Enclosure

www.dep.state.fl.us

	-	L MOIECIO	1	-
er age		VA	C.	1
F	LOR	IDA		

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)		DISCOVERY (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 1131129 DA	TE: <u>6/19/12</u>	ARRIVE:	DEPART	`:
FACILITY NAME: CE	EMEX-NAVARRE READY	Y-MIX		
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 3275 RIVER RD			
	NAVARRE 325	66-9429		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: jrporter@cen CONTACT NAME: JJ Email: jrporter@cen ENTITLEMENT PERIO	EFFREY PORTER* nexusa.com	3/2014	PHONE: (561)820-84 Mobile: (561)718-75 PHONE: (561)820-84 Mobile: (561)718-75	564 415
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> IN COMPLIAN	N COMPLIANCE STATU CE MINOR Non-O		x) GNIFICANT Non-COMF	PLIANCE
	RODUCTORY MEETIN	<u>G</u>		(check \square only one box for each question)
1. Name(s) of facility rep	presentative(s):			1 /
Brief Notes:				
2. Is the Authorized Rep If no, who is?:	resentative still JEFFREY	PORTER*?		∐ Yes ∐No
If different, did the fac 3. Is the facility contact s If no, who is?:	cility provide an administra still JEFFREY PORTER*? -	tive update within 30 days		
4. Will facility be condu- If yes, was the compli	cting VE test(s) during toda ance authority notified at le	ay's inspection? east 15 days in advance?		🗌 Yes 🖾No - 🗌 Yes 🗍No

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 – Concrete Batch Plant subject to 5% Opacity Limit</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 8/16/11	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)
 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing 	
operation?	N/A Yes No
 d. Date of last VE test: e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after th f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour 	Yes No
 h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? [] i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour 	N/A Yes No
 k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the las If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	st VE test? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹 only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site vis	sit? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute a 	
 c. Did the visible emission test resulted in an opticity of % for the highest six initial e c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A -	
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust co <i>If YES, then continue on to questions</i> $g.1) - g.3$) <i>below. If answer NO, then skip</i> $g.1) - g.$	and go to h
 Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal 	
duration?	Yes No
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust co	ollector which is separate
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcl conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate a 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration?	and duration? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site v a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? tons/hour. 	average.

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 only one
	box for each question)
	box for each question)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	
a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	
b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	
c 100 tons per year of more of any other regulated air ponutant?	
2. Does this facility include:	
a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the	e exception of
units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300	
Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	Yes No
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such oth permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?	
If YES, what other general permit units or activities?	
In 125, what other general permit and or activities.	
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:	
a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	
b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?	
c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?	
d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?	
e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes No
gal diesel/yr +gal gasoline/yr +MM SCF nat. gas/yr +M	M gal propane/vr < 1 00?
275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM	
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly f	
for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	Yes No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	□ No
 Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? 	- 🗌 Yes	□ No
 b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? 3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access 		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	🗌 Yes	No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
1. Is the facility: stationary : relocatable ; or consisting of both stationary and concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only station</i>)	
 Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	Yes No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Pro- e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to chan b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [ging location? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days follo c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [I to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business day	DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]
 If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate 	ate permit:
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, the If YES, what was the purpose?	re is no repeated usage)? Yes No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Yes No Yes No
CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or	box for each question) authorized representative not
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facilit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change in a social change in the facility of the facility	box for each question) authorized representative not ty or any emissions units or inge at the facility? Yes No
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facili operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative cha 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been 	box for each question) authorized representative not ty or any emissions units or inge at the facility? Yes No ? Yes No
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facili operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative cha 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change <u>New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership</u>: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each question) authorized representative not by or any emissions units or inge at the facility? Yes No ? Yes No
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facilit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative cha 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change <u>New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership</u>: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each question) authorized representative not ty or any emissions units or nge at the facility? Yes No ?? Yes No Yes No rent? Yes No
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facilit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative cha 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change <u>New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership</u>: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each question) authorized representative not ty or any emissions units or nge at the facility? Yes No ?? Yes No Yes No rent? Yes No
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facilit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative cha 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change <u>New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership</u>: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each question) authorized representative not ty or any emissions units or unge at the facility? Yes No error
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facilit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative cha 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change <u>New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership</u>: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially diffed. A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the process and the process for the process of the proces	box for each question) authorized representative not ty or any emissions units or unge at the facility? Yes No error
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facilit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative cha 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change <u>New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership</u>: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially diffed. A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the process and the process for the process of the proces	box for each question) a authorized representative not a yor any emissions units or ange at the facility? Yes No error Yes No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facilit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative cha 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially differed. A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the 30 days prior to the change? Jennifer Waltrip June 19, 20	box for each question) a authorized representative not a yor any emissions units or ange at the facility? Yes No error Yes No

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: The facility has not been constructed.