

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 W. Government Street, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

August 19, 2011

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested jrporter@cemexusa.com

Mr. Jeffrey R. Porter Environmental Manager, Southeast Materials Division CEMEX Construction Materials Florida, LLC 3275 River Road Navarre, Florida 32566

Dear Mr. Porter:

On August 16, 2011, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 1131129. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility has not been constructed. Please notify the Department when, or if, construction begins on the facility.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850/595-0662 or e-mail jennifer.waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Carol Melton

Carol Melton

Air Compliance Supervisor

CM/jw/c

Enclosure



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<u>IN</u>	SPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, IN RE-INSPECTION (DISCOVERY (CI)				
ΑI	RS ID#: 1131129 DATE: <u>8/16/11</u>	ARRIVE:	_ DEPART: _				
FA	CILITY NAME: CEMEX-NAVARRE RE	EADY-MIX					
FA	ACILITY LOCATION: 3275 RIVER	RD					
	NAVARRE	32566-9429					
CO	WNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATI Email: DNTACT NAME: JEFFREY PORTER Email: WTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/28/2009 / (effective date)	IVE: JEFFREY PORTER 6/28/2014 (end date)	PHONE: (561)820-8415 Mobile: (561)718-7564 PHONE: (561)820-8415 Mobile: (561)718-7564				
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
	Name(s) of facility representative(s):		ŀ	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)			
	Brief Notes: Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFI If no, who is?: If different, did the facility provide an admit Is the facility contact still JEFFREY PORTI	nistrative update within 30 days	s?	☐ Yes □No ☐ Yes □No ☐ Yes □No			
4.	If no, who is?: Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during If yes, was the compliance authority notified			☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No			

Emissions Unit Section 1 - Concrete Batch Plant subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
operation?	Yes	☐ No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes	☐ No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check ☑	only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	•
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	☐ Yes	☐ No
 a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. 	Yes	☐ No
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes	□ No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conthat is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not loading rate?		
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice? f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour		□ No
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) and go to	Yes	☐ No
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes	☐ No
duration?3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minu	- Yes	☐ No
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll	is separate	
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute.	Yes Yes	☐ No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	□ No □ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? tons/hour. 		□ No

Facility Section (continued)

			1
<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check box for eac	only one h question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		□ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		☐ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	-	No No No No No No No
4.	275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propar Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	nption	□ No
G	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check box for eac	only one h question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	☐ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- Yes	□ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		(check ☑ box for each	•
1. Is the facility: stationary \(\sigma\); relocatable \(\sigma\); or consisting of both concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants?			• /
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		- Yes	☐ No
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department of e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business data. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notice.	ay prior to changing location?fication Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	□ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five bus c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notif to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least fi	ication Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	[i)]	NoNo
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separat and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions ur a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine p If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long co-located at the permitted facility?	nit in that separate permit: urpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage it was	e)?	□ No □ No □ No
CHANGES		(check ☑ box for each	
A 1		DOX TOT CACIT	question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocated operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor address. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	ion of the facility or any emissions ur ministrative change at the facility? ys of the change? nt? bstantially different?	tive not its or Yes	No No No No No
Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocated operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor address. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	ion of the facility or any emissions ur ministrative change at the facility? ys of the change? at? bstantially different? ation form and the appropriate fee sub-	tive not its or Yes	 No No No No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocated operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor address. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days. New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	ion of the facility or any emissions ur ministrative change at the facility? ys of the change? at? bstantially different? ation form and the appropriate fee sub-	titive not its or -	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocated operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor address. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 day New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	ion of the facility or any emissions ur ministrative change at the facility? ys of the change? at? bstantially different? ation form and the appropriate fee sub	titive not its or -	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocated operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor address. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 day New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	August 16, 2011	titive not its or -	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocated operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor add 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 day New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	August 16, 2011 Date of Inspection	titive not itis or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Ye	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo