

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCO	VERY (CI)	
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	NO:	
A IDC ID#- 1100044 DA	TE: 07002000	ADDIVE: 1250	DEPART, 1404	
AIRS ID#: 1190044 DA	TE: <u>0/08/2009</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1350</u>	DEPART: <u>1404</u>	
FACILITY NAME: SU	MTER CREMATION SERV	VICES, INC.		
FACILITY LOCATION	Sold unit to Foste	venue Facility is closed, ouer's Pet Cremation 101037' Please inactivate Facility	7	
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	D REPRESENTATIVE: 1	RONNIE GRAVES PHO	ONE: (352)568-0223	
CONTACT NAME: Ta	ammy Fudge	РНО	NE: (352)793-4477	
ENTITLEMENT PERIO				
	(Effective date) (End da	te)		
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS	(check 🗹 only one box)		
IN COMPLIANO	CE MINOR Non-CO	OMPLIANCE SIGNIFIC	CANT Non-COMPLIANCE	
			T	
PART II: <u>TESTING/RE</u> (Check ☑ appropria		<u>REMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401,	, F.A.C.	
(Check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any ob	te box (as)) jectionable odor(s) detected?	?		☐ Yes ☐ No
(Check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any ob 2. Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?	te box (as)) jectionable odor(s) detected ssions test conducted during	this site visit according to EPA	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	
 (Check ☑ appropria Were there any ob Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?- In order to demons days prior to the A 	te box (as)) pjectionable odor(s) detected sistematic sitematic s	this site visit according to EPA plants of the control of the cont	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? (R	☐Yes ☐ No
 (Check ☑ appropria Were there any ob Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?- In order to demons days prior to the A 62-296.401(6)(j), 	te box (as)) spectionable odor(s) detected sistence during sistence individual source compacts Notification form submits. F.A.C.)	this site visit according to EPA pliance, was an annual visible er ission, and within 60 days prior	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? (R	☐Yes ☐ No
 (Check ☑ appropria Were there any ob Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?- In order to demons days prior to the A 62-296.401(6)(j), In order to demons completed within a) Carbon Monox 	te box (as)) jectionable odor(s) detected ssions test conducted during strate individual source compaGP Notification form submits. F.A.C.)strate individual source compaGD days prior to the AGP Notification form submits. (CO) emissions equal to	this site visit according to EPA plants, was an annual visible er ission, and within 60 days prior pliance were the remaining application form submission? (Response)	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? (R ciable standards testing ule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) parts per million by	Yes □ No ule □Yes □ No
 (Check ☑ appropria Were there any ob Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?- In order to demons days prior to the A 62-296.401(6)(j), In order to demons completed within a) Carbon Monox volume, dry basis, 	te box (as)) jectionable odor(s) detected sisions test conducted during strate individual source compage Notification form submits. F.A.C.)strate individual source compage 60 days prior to the AGP Notification forms ubmits.	this site visit according to EPA pliance, was an annual visible er ission, and within 60 days prior pliance were the remaining application form submission? (Recorded or below the requirements of 10 ourly average basis and tested according to the courly average basis and tested according to the courly average basis and tested according to the courly average basis and tested according to EPA plants and tested according to the EPA p	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? (R missions test conducted for each anniversary date? (R missions test conducte	Yes □ No ule □Yes □ No
 (Check ☑ appropria Were there any ob Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?- In order to demons days prior to the A 62-296.401(6)(j), In order to demons completed within a) Carbon Monox volume, dry basis, 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test pe 	te box (as)) ijectionable odor(s) detected assions test conducted during assions test conducted during astrate individual source compacts from Strate individual source compacts from the AGP Notification form submit a few prior to the AGP Notification form submit for the AGP Notification forms equal to a few prior to the AGP Notification for th	this site visit according to EPA pliance, was an annual visible er ission, and within 60 days prior pliance were the remaining appliotification form submission? (Reform below the requirements of 10 ourly average basis and tested according to the priority and th	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? (R missions test conducted for each anniversary date? (R missions test conducte	Yes No
(Check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any ob 2. Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?- 3. In order to demons days prior to the A 62-296.401(6)(j), 4. In order to demons completed within a) Carbon Monox volume, dry basis, 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test pe c) Particulate mat dry standard cubic	te box (as)) pjectionable odor(s) detected a sisions test conducted during strate individual source compacts. F.A.C.)——————————————————————————————————	this site visit according to EPA pliance, was an annual visible erission, and within 60 days prior pliance were the remaining application form submission? (Response or below the requirements of 10 ourly average basis and tested according to 7% O ₂ and tested according to 2.20	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? (R missio	Yes No Sule No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No
(Check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any ob 2. Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?- 3. In order to demons days prior to the A 62-296.401(6)(j), 4. In order to demons completed within a) Carbon Monox volume, dry basis, 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test pe c) Particulate mat dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter62-2 5. Was all emissions	te box (as)) pjectionable odor(s) detected sisions test conducted during strate individual source compacts. Compacts individual source compacts individual	this site visit according to EPA pliance, was an annual visible erission, and within 60 days prior pliance were the remaining appliotification form submission? (Reform the prior or below the requirements of 10 ourly average basis and tested according to 7% O ₂ and tested according ource operating at the manufacture	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? (R cicable standards testing ule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by ccording to EPA Method F.A.C.)? ments of 0.080 grains per ug to EPA Method 5	Yes No Sule No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No
(Check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any ob 2. Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?- 3. In order to demons days prior to the A 62-296.401(6)(j), 4. In order to demons completed within a) Carbon Monox volume, dry basis, 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test pe c) Particulate mat dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter62-2 5. Was all emissions capacity? 6. Was CO & PM co	te box (as)) pjectionable odor(s) detected assions test conducted during strate individual source compage Notification form submits. F.A.C.)strate individual source compage 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form sequal to a corrected to 7% O ₂ on an head of the corrected to 7% O ₂ on an head of the corrected to 7% O ₃ on an head of the corrected to 7% O ₄ on an head of the corrected to 10% of th	this site visit according to EPA pliance, was an annual visible er ission, and within 60 days prior pliance were the remaining application form submission? (Reform the properties of 10 ourly average basis and tested according to 10 ourly average basis and tested according to 10 ourly average basis and tested according to 10 or below the requirement of 10 ourly average basis and tested according to 10 or below the requirement of 10 ource operating at the manufacture ource operating at the manufacture ource operation of a test report for an	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? (Reicable standards testing ule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by ccording to EPA Method F.A.C.)? nents of 0.080 grains per ug to EPA Method 5 urers recommended identical crematory unit?	Yes No Sule Yes No
(Check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any ob 2. Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?- 3. In order to demons days prior to the A 62-296.401(6)(j), 4. In order to demons completed within a) Carbon Monox volume, dry basis, 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test pe c) Particulate mat dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter62-2 5. Was all emissions capacity? 6. Was CO & PM co 7. Was the Departme	te box (as)) pjectionable odor(s) detected assions test conducted during strate individual source compacts. AC.)————————————————————————————————————	this site visit according to EPA pliance, was an annual visible erission, and within 60 days prior pliance were the remaining appliotification form submission? (Reform the property of the pr	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? (Reicable standards testing ule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 00 parts per million by ccording to EPA Method F.A.C.)? ments of 0.080 grains per ug to EPA Method 5 urers recommended identical crematory unit? 1 compliance test?	Yes No Lule Yes No Yes No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record	temperati	ires in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co		
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?		
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?		□ No
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the re-		
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	Jording of	1 Sucii
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	□Yes	□ No
2) Monitoring device	Yes	□ No
3) Performance Testing Measurements		☐ No
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	Yes	□ No
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	Yes	□ No
6) Adjustments	Yes	☐ No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes	☐ No
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes	☐ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)	103	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)		
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)		
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:		
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F ?	□Yes	□ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	1 cs	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	□Yes	□ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	1 cs	☐ 1 10
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	Yes	□ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	103	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the		
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□ No
4. If constructed <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:	103	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence tin	ne	
@ 1800° F?		□ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	1 cs	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	□Ves	□ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic		
process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes	□ No
5. Are appropriate leak-proof containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	1 cs	
plastics used during the cremation of dead animals?	□Yes	☐ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	ш т сз	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	<i>y</i>	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	□Yes	□ No
b) If plastic bags are used for the cremation of animals are they non-chlorinated and no less than 3 mils	☐ 1 C3	
thick?	□Yes	□ No
c) Are dead animals, which have been used for medical or commercial experimentation, or other	1 cs	
materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C.), incinerated at this location?	□Yes	□ No
6. During this review period, was the largest batch load cremated 500 pounds per hour or less?	Yes	☐ No
7. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	Yes	☐ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the duration		
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	□Yes	☐ No
of the operator is employment at for an additional two years after termination of employment:	103	

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>S</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.		
1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially of recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4. local program office? 2. If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent the was required, have all operators been retrained to ope 3. In the case of new or modified equipment, where a Do required, has the owner submitted copies of all operators a) submitted within the 15 day required window foll	t replacement? different than that noted on the most e owner submit a new and complete .050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or at a Department air construction permit erate the modified unit? epartment air construction permit was tor training certificates?	☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes	□No □No □No □No □No □No □No
Joseph V. Panetta Inspector's Name (Please Print) Inspector's Signature	Date of Inspection Approximate Date of Next Inspec	tion	

COMMENTS: On May 19, 2009 I received a registration notice from Tallahassee on Foster's Pet Cremation. I noticed it said cremation unit was bought from Sumter Cremation Services.

On June 29th I called Sumter Cremation and spoke with David Hickey, Production Manager. Mr. Hickey put me through to Ronnie Graves' (owner) phone message. I left a message asking to please call me back. I am trying to verify that you are no longer a cremation service. Mr. Graves sent the Department an email stating he sold the equipment to Foster's Pet Cremation. Below is the content of the email from Mr. Graves (I have also attached the email to this inspection).

Today I verified Sumter Cremation Unit was not in place. It is now a car repair facility. Also performed VE on Unit today at Foster's.

Hi Joe,

We closed Sumter Cremation as of May 1st 2009. We had almost no business from local vets. It was not a good experience with the locals. We sold our equipment to Kevin Foster in Spring Hill. They moved everything out on June 15th. Sorry I missed you the other day,

Ronnie Graves

Ronnie N. Graves BOCPO, CO, LPO, RTPProsthetics Research Specialists, Inc. 720 East Southland Ave Bushnell, Fl 33513

Work: 352-793-4477 Cell: 352-603-4249 Fax: 352-793-1448

Ronnie@ProstheticsResearch.com

I visited the facility on July 08, 2009 and confirmed closure and machine removal.

Spoke with Tammy Fudge 1-352-793-4477 Please Inactivate this Facility

Panetta, Joe

From: Ronnie Graves [ronnie@prostheticsresearch.com]

Sent: Thursday, July 09, 2009 10:20 AM

To: Panetta, Joe Subject: Sumter Cremation

Hi Joe,

We closed Sumter Cremation as of May 1^{st} 2009. We had almost no business from local vets. It was not a good experience with the locals. We sold our equipment to Kevin Foster in Spring Hill. They moved everything out on June 15^{th} .

Sorry I missed you the other day, Ronnie Graves

Ronnie N. Graves BOCPO, CO, LPO, RTP Prosthetics Research Specialists, Inc. 720 East Southland Ave Bushnell, FI 33513 352-793-4477 Work 352-603-4249 Cell 352-793-1448 Fax

Ronnie@ProstheticsResearch.com