

$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: | ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) | COMPLAINT/DISCOV | VERY (CI) |
|---|--|--|------------------------------|
| | RE-INSPECTION (FUI) | ARMS COMPLAINT | NO: |
| | | | |
| AIRS ID#: 7775332 DA | TE: <u>07/16/2009</u> | ARRIVE: <u>1:39pm</u> | DEPART: <u>2:52pm</u> |
| FACILITY NAME: AG | GREGATE PLANT | | |
| FACILITY LOCATION | 7000 Sr 50 | | |
| | WEBSTER 3359 | 7-6010 | |
| OWNER/AUTHORIZE | D REPRESENTATIVE: | WOODY SANDERSON PHO | NE: (843)241-3253 |
| CONTACT NAME: | | РНО | NE: |
| ENTITLEMENT PERIO | OD: 6/22/2006 / 6/22/ (effective date) (end date) | | |
| | | | |
| PART I: INSPECTION | COMPLIANCE STATU | $\underline{\mathbf{S}}$ (check $\mathbf{\square}$ only one box) | |
| ☐ IN COMPLIANO | CE MINOR Non-C | OMPLIANCE SIGNIFIC | ANT Non-COMPLIANCE |
| | | | |
| PART II-A: AIR GENE (check R appropriate | RAL PERMITS – Rule 62 te box(es)) | 2-210.310, F.A.C. | |
| | | Eligibility – Rule 62-210.310(2 | |
| 1.Does this facility ke a) 10 tons per yea | eep records to show that it car ar or more of any hazardous | loes not have the potential to emits air pollutant? | : |
| | | | Yes No N/A |
| c) 100 tons per ye | ear or more of any other reg | gulated air pollutants? | ⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A |
| 2. Does this facility | | | |
| of units and ac | tivities that are exempt fror | d by the applicable air general pen permitting pursuant to subsection | |
| general permit | and the air general permit | by another air general permit who interest specifically allow the u | se of one another |
| | | | |
| 1. Has the owner or | operator of this facility com | ion/Re-registration – Rule 62-2: pleted and submitted the proper it to be used?; | |
| = | | | e)?; |
| PART II-A: <u>AIR GI</u> | ENERAL PERMITS – Ru | le 62-210.310, F.A.C., Cont. | |
| (check R appropriat | | on mont of the facilities | □ \$7-2 |
| | | or part of the facility?;struction, modification, or equipn | Yes No N/A |
| | | | Yes No N/A |

| GENERAL CONDITIONS – Rule 62-210.310(3), F.A.C. 1. Does the air general permit registration form contain all current information regarding the facility?; | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 2. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?; | | | | | |
| 3. Does the owner or operator: a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?; Yes No | | | | | |
| b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?; Yes No | | | | | |
| 4. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules? Yes □ No | □ N/A | | | | |
| PART II-B: <u>DETERMINATION</u> <u>OF FACILITY TYPE/APPLICABILITY</u> | | | | | |
| (check \mathbf{R} only <u>one</u> box) | | | | | |
| FOR FACILTIES SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(1)) | | | | | |
| (If you have checked ${f R}$ this category, answer <u>all</u> questions <u>INCLUDING</u> those with **.) | | | | | |
| <u>Subject Facilities</u> : (applicable fixed or portable facilities include each crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, crushers & grinding mills at hot mix asphalt facilities that reduce the size of non-mettalic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement & subsequent affected facilities up to, but not including the first storage silo or bin.) | | | | | |
| FOR FACILITIES NOT SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(2), (b), (c), and (d)) (If you have checked R this category, answer all questions EXCEPT those with **.) | | | | | |
| Non-Subject Facilities: (includes all facilities in underground mines; stand-alone screening operations at plants w/o c grinding mills; facilities not subject to subparts F (Portland Cement Plants) or I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities) of this part sand & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 23 megagrams/hr (25 tons/hr) or less; portable sand & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 136 megagrams/hr (150 tons/hr) or less; common clay plants, and pumice w/capacities of 9 megagrams/hr (10 tons/hr) or less.) | rt; <u>fixed</u> avel | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| PART III: EMISSION STANDARDS – Chapter 62-210.310(5)(e), F.A.C. | | | | | |
| (check \mathbf{R} appropriate box(es)) | | | | | |
| Stack Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C. **1. Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60, Appendix A)? | ☐ No | | | | |
| **2. Do stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point: **a) exceed 7% percent opacity? | □ No | | | | |
| **b) exceed the particulate matter standard of <u>0.05</u> grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)? Yes | | | | | |

| PART III: <u>EMISSION</u> <u>STANDARDS</u> – Chapter 62-210.310(5)(e), F.A.C., Cont. (check R appropriate box(es)) |
|---|
| bin exceed 7% percent opacity? |
| <u>Visible</u> <u>Emissions</u> - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C. |
| **1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60, Appendix A)? |
| **2. Do visible emissions from any: **a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10% percent opacity? |
| 3. Pursuant to subparagraph 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., are visible emissions from any crusher, grinding, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer points on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other emission point NOT subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, equal to or greater than 20% percent opacity? |
| Emission Points Enclosed in Buildings - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C. |
| **4. Is any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed in a building? (<i>If answer to question #4 is YES</i> , then proceed to #4.a)) |
| **a) If enclosed in a building are the stack emissions discharged from a wet scrubbing control device? (<i>If answer to this question is <u>NO</u>, then proceed to the next question #4.b)1) & 2). If <u>YES</u> skip to #4.c).) </i> |
| **b) If the stack emissions from enclosed emission points are not discharged from a wet scrubbing control device is: 1) the particulate matter in excess of 0.05 grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)? |
| 2) the opacity greater than $\underline{7}\%$ percent? |
| **c) Do the stack emissions from the baghouse(s) inside of the building(s) exceed 7/2% percent opacity? |
| **5. Do visible emissions from any: **a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10% percent opacity? Yes No |
| **b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity? Yes No |
| Wet Screening/Wet Mining Operations: |
| **6. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the wet screening operations and subsequent screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to the next crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin? Yes No |
| **7. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin in the production line? Yes No |

| PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C. |
|---|
| (check \mathbf{R} appropriate box(es) |
| Compliance Demonstration – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3, F.A.C.) 1. Is each affected emission point tested according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3.e., F.A.C.) ✓ Yes ✓ No |
| Compliance New Facilities – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3., F.A.C.) 2. Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes □ No |
| Compliance Existing Facilities – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3., F.A.C.) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test? ✓ Yes ✓ No |
| <u>Test Methods and Procedures</u> – Chapter 62-297, F.A.C., 40 CFR 60.675, and 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C. |
| 4. Were all referenced visible emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 9? 🖂 Yes 🗌 No |
| 5. Were all referenced unconfined or fugitive emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 22? Yes No |
| 6. Were all referenced stack emissions or particulate matter tests conducted using EPA Methods 5 or 17? Yes No |
| Reporting and Recordkeeping – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3., F.A.C.)[Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and |
| 40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.] |
| Facility and/or Equipment Replacement |
| **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the replacement of existing facility and/or equipment: |
| **a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Railcar Loading Station, **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and the rated capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment? |
| **b) for a Screening Operation, **1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and the total surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation? |
| **c) for a Conveyor Belt, **1)the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor belt? Yes No |
| **d) for a Storage Bin, **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins? |
| Performance/Compliance Testing |
| **8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate? Yes No |
| **9. After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, did the owner or operator submit semiannual reports to the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gain) and liquid flow rate differ by more than ±30 percent from the averaged determined during the most recent performance test? |
| **a) Were the reports postmarked within 30 days following the end of the second and fourth calendar quarters? |

|] Yes 🗌 No |
|--------------------------|
| |
| Yes No |
|] Yes 🛛 No |
|] Yes 🛛 No |
|] Yes 🔲 No |
| |
| Yes No |
|] Yes 🔲 No |
| Yes No |
| Yes No ite Yes No Yes No |
|]]]] i |

| PART V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C. (Continued) |
|---|
| (check \mathbf{R} appropriate box(es)) |
| **2. Does this facility incorporate the use of a wet scrubber to control emissions? (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.) (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a) and 2.b), below.) |
| **a) Does the wet scrubber have continuous monitoring systems (CMS) for: **1) the measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber? |
| manufacturer's instructions and to the tolerances below? Yes No |
| **1) ±250 pascals ±1 inch water guage pressure for measuring pressure losses of the gas stream? |
| **2) ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate? Yes No |
| |
| PART VI: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C. |
| (check \mathbf{R} appropriate box(es)) |
| 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ (<i>Please check</i> R <i>only one box.</i>) |
| 2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants, located with stationary or relocatable concreted batching plants: a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? |
| b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: 1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel |
| 2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline |
| 3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas |
| 4) 1.3 million gallons of propane |
| 5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite Yes No |
| 3) Of all equivalent proface amount if multiple facts are used offsite |
| 3. Does the owner/operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant submitting this registration maintain a log book or books to account for fuel consumption on a monthly basis? |
| 4. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility (not a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt plant? |
| a) If <u>YES</u> , does the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) provide for the operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit? |
| 5. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>non-routine</u> <u>activity</u> , such as |
| destruction of a building, at a regularly permitted facility (not a Title V source)? |

| PART VII: REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS/EMISSION 210.310(5)(e)3.c., F.A.C. (check R appropriate box(es)) | CONTROL MEASURES & TECHNOLOGY – Rule | 62- |
|--|---|----------|
| | | |
| <u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the nonmetallic mineral properties of the prope | rocessing plant take reasonable precautions to control unc | onfined |
| emissions by: | seessing plant take reasonable precautions to control unc | omnica |
| - | r drop points? 🖂 Y | |
| 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking area | and yards, which shall include one or more of the followings, stock piles, and yards?Y | |
| 2) application of water or environmentally safe demissions? | ust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control | es 🗌 No |
| | ther paved areas under control of the owner/operator to as to reduce airborne particulate matter? | es 🛛 No |
| <u>.</u> | | es ⊠ No |
| | 🖂 Y | 'es 🗌 No |
| 6) the use of hoods, fans, filters and similar equip matter? | | res ⊠ No |
| 7) the enclosure or covering of conveyor systems | s? | es 🛛 No |
| | | |
| PART VIII: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR A. New or Modified Process Equipment | <u>ES</u> – Rule 62-210.310(2), F.A.C. | |
| 1. Since the last inspection has there been | | |
| \ ' \ 11 \ ' \ C | _ | _ |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| b) alteration of existing process equipment withou | t replacement? \[Y | |
| b) alteration of existing process equipment withouc) replacement of existing equipment substantially | t replacement? \[Y | es 🛭 No |
| b) alteration of existing process equipment withouc) replacement of existing equipment substantially | t replacement? | Yes ⊠ No |
| b) alteration of existing process equipment withou c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.05) | t replacement? | Yes ⊠ No |
| b) alteration of existing process equipment withou c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.05) | t replacement? | Yes ⊠ No |
| b) alteration of existing process equipment withou c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.05 local program office? | t replacement? | Yes ⊠ No |
| b) alteration of existing process equipment withou c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.05 local program office? | t replacement? | Yes ⊠ No |

COMMENTS: Pre-Inspection review: It appears that this facility has more emission points than are being tested. Need to confirm emission point numbers with points actually at the facility. Inspection Findings: This facility processes wet product and has a crusher that is used to break-up presized material. This facility only has 5 emission points that are subject to VE testing. I reviewed testing history and confirmed that since 2006, all 5 subject emission points have been tested annually as required by Subpart OOO. Mr. Billy Braswell answered checklist questions. Some questions not marked are not releavent to this facility. Photos were taken during my visit to this site. Roads in the area of this facility were saturated with water due to the processing of the saturated material. Method 9 Visible Emissions testing was conducted and witnessed at this facility. Test report results were received by the Department on July 24, 2009. Testing was conducted by Mr. Adam West of Koogler and Associates.