NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING PLANTS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 7775329 DATE: 12/28/11 ARRIVE: 8:40 DEPART	: <u>9:50</u>				
FACILITY NAME: PALMETTO MATERIALS TRANSFER & RECYCLING	·				
FACILITY LOCATION: 1312 CANAL RD					
PALMETTO 34221-4107					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: R MCCASH Email: smccash@wsii.us CONTACT NAME: Email: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/9/2010 / 10/9/2015 (effective date) PHONE: (817)632-4080 Mobile: (561)613-1405 PHONE: Mobile:					
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Richard Johnson, Site Manager Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)				
2. Is the Authorized Representative still R MCCASH? If no, who is?:	⊠ Yes □No				
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?					

Emissions Unit Section 1 -NMMP Plant-crusher,2xdeckhoppr/screen,conveyors,125T/hr,RICE

		(check ☑	only one			
		box for each				
Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO - Nonmetallic Mineral Processi		1			
	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the major is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Grant Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15) Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ity te, t Gravel; Salt; oride, , Kernite,				
2.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Yes	□No □No			
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		□No			
4.	Is the EU one of the following? crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station; crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}	⊠ Yes	No			
If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.						
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	□ v	⊠ ът-			
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	Yes	⊠No			
7.	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No			
8.	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No			
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No			

1 -NMMP Plant-crusher,2xdeckhoppr/screen,conveyors,125T/hr,RICE

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	— ed I	Yes	⊠No
10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line? {Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		Yes	⊠No
If answer to any of the six Questions 5-10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11. 11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		Yes	⊠No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20 13. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		Yes	⊠No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 14. Initial Tests: a. Western initial PM stock test performed on the central device within 180 days of			
 a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ ¹	Yes	□ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	<u> </u>	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No

1-NMMP Plant-crusher,2xdeckhoppr/screen,conveyors,125T/hr,RICE

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	⊠No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22;			_
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
de real militima di distribuita di construcción de la construcción de			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		¥7 _	— ₃₇₋ .
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? 🗵 N/A	Ш	Yes	∐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	П	Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	ت	1 63	₩140
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's			
instructions?		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250	_	• • •	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the			
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
10 Is wat sunpression used to control emissions from the FII?		Voc.	⊠ No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	⊠No
If yes:		Yes	⊠No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		Yes	⊠No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		Yes	⊠No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete 		Yes	⊠No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		Yes	⊠No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? 			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? ————————————————————————————————————			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? ————————————————————————————————————			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, 		Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 		Yes	
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 		Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests: 		Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 		Yes	□No ⊠No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Yes Yes	□No ⊠No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Yes Yes	□No □No □ No □No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	□No ⊠No

1 -NMMP Plant-crusher,2xdeckhoppr/screen,conveyors,125T/hr,RICE

22. If the EU is a building enclosing a		s and all enclosed EUs are no	t		
individually in compliance with ear. a. Was an initial PM stack test perf		trol device within 180 days of			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?					
$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through we}\}$	<u> </u>	es			
purpose of exhausting from a buildi	ng air carrying particul	ate matter (PM) emissions from	ı		
one or more affected EUs.}			_	_	
b. Was the EU found to be in comp	liance with the PM limit	t of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf))?		
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fr	om non-vent building of	penings less than or equal to 7%	% opacity? ∐ Y	es No	
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control	emissions from the EU	?		es 🗵No	
If yes, does the owner/operator main				_	
a. a device for the continuous meas					
		ual basis in accordance with ma	_	_	
				esNo	
pascals +1 inch water gauge p	must be certified by the	manufacturer to be accurate wi	ithin +250		
and	.cssure.}				
b. a device for the continuous meas	urement of the scrubbin	g liquid flow rate to the wet scr	ubber and the		
device has been calibrated on a	an annual basis in accord	dance with manufacturer's instr	uctions? TY	es 🔲No	
		manufacturer to be accurate wi			
of design scrubbing liquid flow	v rate.}				
24 When was the last VE test conduc	ted by the expense/energy	ston for this EU9 12/27/10			
24. When was the last VE test conducta. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60			5 years? X	\[\] \[\] \[\] \[\]	
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpa		30 been tested within the past 3	years? 🖂 10	es ∐No	
<u> </u>		endar years?	X Ye	esNo	
		ar year?			
25 Was a VE took conducted by the co	·····		N 11		
25. Was a VE test conducted by the orange a. Was the VE test conducted at a property of the conducted by the orange of the conducted by the conducted					
Rate: unknown	locess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?	X Ye	es 🔲No	
b. Was the VE test conducted accor	ding to EPA Method 9?		× Ye	es 🔲No	
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate com			Ye	esNo	
			_	<u>_</u>	
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>in</i>				=	
a. Was the VE test conducted at a property Rate:	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?	Ye	es UNo	
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ding to FPA Method 02			es 🔲No	
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				55 ∐N0	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate com	pliance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)	T Ye	es 🔲No	
•			··		
	VF Onge	city Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart OOO	TTT T	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constructed, m		
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or reconstructe		
	Subpart 000	to 4/22/2008	after 4/22/2008	I	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%		
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%		
	1	1070	1 / 0		

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:	d
a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the condrop points)?	nveyor
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	Yes 🗌 No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A	
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	
c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
	box for each question) YesNo YesNo
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY 1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	box for each question)

(27	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ane/yr ≤ 1.00 e/yr	No No No No No
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	/-11- [7]	
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	(check ✓ box for each	only one question)
	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	☐ Yes	⊠No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- ⊠ Yes	No
	b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		□No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□No
DI	NI OCATA DI E DI ANTE		
	The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check ✓ box for each	only one question)
2.	For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	5)]	□No
	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose? If YES, what was the purpose? {Note: crushing recycled asphalt pavement (rap) at an asphalt plant is considered routine and so therefore must be authorized in the facility's air construction or operation permit.} b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes	□No
	If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period?	∐ Yes	□No

CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number or associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admits. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days. 	on of the facility or any emissions units or inistrative change at the facility? Yes	⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is sub d) A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registrat	??	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No
30 days prior to the change?		□No

Max Grondah/	12-28-11	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
My Gill	12-28-15	
'/ Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: Inspection conducted during annual compliance test. Test performed by Dale Wingler of Southern Environmental Sciences. This crusher is portable but it does not relocate from the current location. The crusher is not set up with a functioning belt scale, and the plant staff has not determined actual operating rates. They process concrete and they have a truck scale on site to determine weights for sale of material. During the test, staff kept the crusher hopper filled and Mr. Johnson said the plant is running under normal conditions. I did not observe any emissions from the crusher or from the yard, even though it was fairly windy. The yard is surrounded by an approx. 8' pvc fence.