

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)		
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0251219 DATE: <u>4/24/2008</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:45 AM</u> DEPART: <u>12:25 PM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: CUSTOM BUILDING PRODUCTS - MIAMI PLANT		
FACILITY LOCATION: 8850 NW 79 Avenue		
MEDLEY 33166-2122		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: FRED GOMEZ PHONE: (305)885-3444		
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/2/2006 / 6/1/2011		
(effective date) (end date)		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)		
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.		
(check ☐ appropriate box(es))		
Stack Emissions		
Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? Yes No		
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted		
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?		
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then		
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)		
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test?		
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? Yes No b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and		
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration?		
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration?		

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas application of water or environmentally safe du emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and ot re-entrainment, and from building or work area reduction of stock pile height, or installation of particulate matter from stock piles? 	and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: s, stock piles, and yards? ust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?		
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4)		
FRANK DELGADO	4/24/2008	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	4/2009	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
RAMIREZ, THE FACILITY'S PRODUCTION MANAGER A LOADED AT LEAST MORE THAN 25 TONS PER HOUR A	THE FACILITY'S MAINTENANCE SUPERVISOR AND CARLOS	

COLLECTOR MAINTENANCE PROGRAM.