

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE	ERY (CI)		
I	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO	О:		
AIRS ID#: 7775324 DAT	E: <u>7/28/09</u>	ARRIVE: <u>8:20 am</u>	DEPART: <u>9:15 am</u>		
FACILITY NAME: SCHWAB READY MIX - PLANT NO. 2					
FACILITY LOCATION: 2110 and 2130 Pondella Road					
	NORTH FORT MYERS	33903-			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DAVID SCHWAB PHONE: (239)561-0536					
CONTACT NAME:		PHON	Е:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIO					
	(effective date) (end date)				
PART I: INSPECTION (COMPLIANCE STATUS (cho	eck 🗹 only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE		· —	NT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PADT II. TESTING/DEC	OPDIZEEDING DEGIHDEM	HENTE Dula 62 206 414 E	24.6		
(check ☑ appropriate	box(es))	<u>IEN 15</u> – Kule 02-290.414, F	.A.C.		
Stack Emissions					
1. Were visible emission 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ons tests conducted during this	site visit according to EPA M	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter \times Yes No		
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?					
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,					
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? \overline Yes \overline No					
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then					
a) Was the batching	g operation in operation during	the visible emissions test?			
duration?					
	ne weigh hopper (batcher) opera ollector, are the visible emission	•	-		
			ate and duration? \(\big \)Yes \(\big \) No		

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of tannual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	to ⊠Yes □ No
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☑ only one box.)	le 🗌
 (check appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable 	ing ☐Yes ☑ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)					
(check \square appropriate box(es))					
(check is appropriate box(cs))					
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)					
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take i	reasonable precautions to control unconfined				
emissions by:	cusonable procusations to constant sincommen				
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yare	ds which shall include one or more of the following:				
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? \[\textstyre Yes					
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control					
	Yes No				
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other pa					
	duce airborne particulate matter? Yes No				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind					
	\text{Yes} \tag{\text{No}}				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate er					
b) use of spray out, enute, or partial energence to	inssions at the drop point to the data.				
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Ru	le 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.				
A. New or Modified Process Equipment					
1. Since the last inspection has there been					
a) installation of any new process equipment?					
b) alterations to existing process equipment without repla					
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different					
recent notification form?	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —				
d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete					
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, I	FAC) to the appropriate DEP or				
local program office?					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
COMMENTS: Plant is not in operation so truck batching were not i	n operation. Yard maintance was not controlled because of the				

lack of operation.