

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<u>INSPECTI</u>		ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/I	DISCOVERY (CI AINT NO:) 🗌	
AIRS ID#:	7775320 DAT	E: <u>11/8/11</u>	ARRIVE:	D	EPART:	
FACILITY	NAME: ROC	CKFILL ROAD PLANT				
FACILITY	LOCATION:	2690 ROCKFILL I	RD			
		FORT MYERS 3	33916-4802			
Email: CONTACT Email:	UTHORIZED NAME: DA MENT PERIO		016		9)897-6000 9)590-5986 9)590-5986	
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
DADT II. (MCITE INTD	ODUCTORY MEETING	r			
1. Name(s)		esentative(s):	<u>u</u>		(check ☑ box for each	only one a question)
2. Is the Au If no, wh		esentative still STEVE WA	AGENER?			□No
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still DAN VICTOR?			☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No		
4. Will faci	lity be conduct		y's inspection?ast 15 days in advance?			□No □No

Emissions Unit Section 2 -CCB Plant-S silo (cement) w/silotop dust collector,380 Bbl subject to 5% Opacity Limit

\mathbf{P}_{F}	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹	only one
		`	only one
1.	Date of last inspection: $\underline{12/22/10}$	box for each	question)
2.	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	☐ No
	b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	🕅 Yes	□ No
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	_	
	operation?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	d. Date of last VE test: 12/22/10		
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	⊠ Yes	□ No
	f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?		□ No
	g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 22.65 tons/hour	Z 105	
	h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state		
	whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A	Yes	□ No
	i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?		□ No
	j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour		
		⊠ Yes	□ No
	k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	△ res	∐ No
	If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
D.	ADTH. CTACK EMICCIONC Comments of the control of th		
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	only one
	enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	question)
1	We a might amissions took and head by the facility for this mit down this site wints	∇ v	□ Na
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	∐ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.		
	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes	☐ No
	If not, what was the problem (if known)?	_	
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co	nducted at a r	ate
	that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \) \(\subseteq \text{N/A} - \text{silo not loading rate} \)		
	e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		□ No
	f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour		
	g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ and go to	h	
	1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		☐ No
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching ra		
	duration?		□No
	duration?3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minu	- Yes	☐ No
	3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minu	Yes Yes	☐ No
	3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minuth. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which	Yes ites is separate	☐ No
	 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minuth. h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector. 	Yes tes its separate ector	
	 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minuth. h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collected while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration. 	Yes ites is separate ector YES Yes	□ No
2.	3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut. h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collected while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut.	Yes ites its separate ector Xes	□ No
2.	3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minut. h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collected while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	Yes ites it is separate ector Y Nes Yes Example Yes	□ No□ No
2.	3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut. h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collected while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes ites it is separate ector Y X Yes es. Yes	□ No
2.	3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut. h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collected while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes ites ites ites ites Yes ector Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut. h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collected while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes ites ites ites ites Yes ector Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	□ No□ No

Facility Section (continued)

C'	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		
<u></u>	DISTRIBUTION OF GENERAL FERMIT ELIGIDILITY	(check	only one
		box for ea	ch question)
1	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:		
1.	a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	Yes	⊠ No
	b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?		⊠ No
	c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		= "
	c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
_	D 4' 6 '1' ' 1 1		
2.	Does this facility include:	c	
	a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception	OI	
	units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or		N N
	Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	- U Yes	⊠ No
	If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
l	If YES, what other general permit units or activities?	1 cs	
	if TES, what other general permit units of activities?		
2	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:		
٥.	a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Yes	⊠ No
			⊠ No
	b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?		
	c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?		⊠ No
	d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?		⊠ No
	e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes	⊠ No
	1 I' 1/ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	/ 1	000
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal prop		.00?
	275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propar	ie/yr	
4	The decrease of the control of the c	4	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum		
	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	- Yes	⊠ No
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(ahaala	only one
		box for ea	ch question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed		
	the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control		
	devices?	\ Yes	⊠ No
2	Does the owner or operator:		
۲.	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- Yes	⊠ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		Z 110
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- Yes	⊠ No
3	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access	- L 168	
٥.	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	3	
	permit and Department rules?	□ v _{cc}	⊠ No
I	permit and Department rules:	L Yes	⊠ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary □; relocatable ⊠; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip th</i>)	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by tel e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relo 	on?
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to re-	
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operand the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no reperfected by the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	eated usage)? Yes No
<u>CHANGES</u>	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any en operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the f 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	nissions units or Facility?
 c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership?	Yes No
30 days prior to the change?	
Sherrill Culliver 11/8/11	
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection	
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of COMMENTS: All emission units were tested on 11/8/11.	of Next Inspection